Revista de la Unión Matemática Argentina Accepted article · Early view version

This peer-reviewed unedited article has been accepted for publication. The final copyedited version may differ in some details. Volume, issue, and page numbers will be assigned at a later stage. Cite using this DOI, which will not change in the final version: https://doi.org/10.33044/revuma.3833.

ON A FRACTIONAL NIRENBERG EQUATION: COMPACTNESS AND EXISTENCE RESULTS

AZEB ALGHANEMI AND RANDA BEN MAHMOUD

Abstract. This paper deals with a fractional Nirenberg equation of order $\sigma \in (0, \frac{n}{2})$, $n \geq 2$. We study the compactness defect of the associated variational problem. We determine precise characterizations of critical points at infinity of the problem, through the construction of a suitable pseudo gradient at infinity. Such a construction requires detailed asymptotic expansions of the associated energy functional and its gradient. This study will then be used to derive new existence results for the equation.

1. Introduction

Over the past decades fractional analysis has aroused the interest of many scientists. This is mainly due to its numerous applications in various scientific domains such as biology, medicine, engineering and mathematical analysis. See [18] and [25] and references therein. In this paper we are concerned with fractional partial differential equation arising in geometric context. Namely, the prescribed fractional Q-curvature problem on the standard sphere. Let S^n, $n \geq 2$, be the unit sphere of $\mathbb{R}^{n+1}$ equipped with its standard metric g_0. Let $g = u^{\frac{4}{n-2\sigma}} g_0$, $u \in C^\infty(S^n, \mathbb{R}^+)$, $\sigma \in (0, \frac{n}{2})$ be a metric of S^n conformably equivalent to g_0. The fractional Q-curvature Q_σ of order σ associated to the metric g is defined by

$$Q_\sigma = c(n,\sigma)^{-1} u^{-\frac{n+2\sigma}{n-2\sigma}} P_\sigma^{g_0}(u), \text{ on } S^n,$$

where $c(n,\sigma) = \Gamma(\frac{n}{2}+\sigma)/\Gamma(\frac{n}{2}-\sigma)$, Γ is the gamma function and

$$P_\sigma^{g_0} = \Gamma(B + \frac{1}{2} + \sigma)/\Gamma(B + \frac{1}{2} - \sigma),\ B = \sqrt{-\Delta_{g_0} + (\frac{n-1}{2})^2}$$

is the conformal fractional operator of order σ of (S^n, g_0). It can be seen, via the stereographic projection, as the pull back operator of the fractional Laplacian $(-\Delta)^\sigma$ on $\mathbb{R}^n$. The problem of finding conformal metrics g with a fractional Q-curvature $Q_\sigma = K$ on S^n is then reduced to the solvability of the following fractional Nirenberg equation

$$(P_\sigma) : \begin{cases} P_\sigma^{g_0} u = c(n,\sigma) K u^{\frac{n+2\sigma}{n-2\sigma}}, \\ \\ u > 0 \qquad\qquad \text{on } S^n, \end{cases}$$

Key words and phrases. Fractional PDE's, Lack of compactness, Variational calculus, Critical points at infinity.

Submitted: December 26, 2022
Accepted: April 26, 2023
Published (early view): August 22, 2024

Revista de la Unión Matemática Argentina Accepted article · Early view version

This peer-reviewed unedited article has been accepted for publication. The final copyedited version may differ in some details. Volume, issue, and page numbers will be assigned at a later stage. Cite using this DOI, which will not change in the final version: https://doi.org/10.33044/revuma.3833.

$\sigma \in (0, \frac{n}{2})$. When $\sigma = 1$, (P_σ) corresponds to the well know scalar curvature problem (or Nirenberg problem). When $\sigma = 2$, it is the Paneitz curvature problem. When $\sigma \in \mathbb{N}, \sigma \geq 3$, it is the higher order Nirenberg problems related to the so-called GJMS operators. For these themes, we refer to [8, 9, 11, 12, 19, 20, 22, 23, 34, 35, 38] and references therein.

For $\sigma \notin \mathbb{N}$, problem (P_σ) have been the subject of several works after the seminal papers [14], [15] and [24]. We refer to [2, 4, 16, 21, 26, 27] for $\sigma \in (0, 1)$, [3, 28] for $\sigma \in (0, \frac{n}{2})$ and [39, 40] for $\sigma \geq \frac{n}{2}$. Regarding some recent results on related fractional problems, we refere to [5, 6, 13, 30, 31, 32, 37].

In [3] Abdullah Sharaf and Chtioui studied problem (P_σ), $\sigma \in (0, \frac{n}{2})$. Their main hypothesis is the so-called non degeneracy condition. Namely,
(nd) : K is a C^2-function on S^n having only non degenerate critical points and satisfies

$$\Delta K(y) \neq 0, \text{ if } \nabla K(y) = 0.$$

Under the above hypothesis, Abdullah Sharaf and Chtioui studied the lack of compactness of (P_σ) by characterizing the critical points at infinity of the problem and proved existence results through Euler-Hopf type formulas.
Convinced that (nd)-condition would exclude interesting classes of functions K and aiming to include a larger class of prescribed functions in the study of problem (P_σ), we opted in the present work for the following β-flatness hypothesis. Let

$$\mathcal{K} = \{y \in S^n, \, \nabla K(y) = 0\}.$$

$(f)_\beta$: K is a C^1 function on S^n such that around any $y \in \mathcal{K}$, K is expanded as follows

$$K(x) = K(y) + \sum_{k=1}^{n} b_k(y)\big|(x-y)_k\big|^{\beta(y)} + o\big(\|x-y\|^{\beta(y)}\big),$$

in some geodesic normal coordinates system. Here, $\beta(y) = \beta > 1$, $b_k(y) = b_k \in \mathbb{R} \setminus \{0\}$, $\forall k = 1, \dots, n$, $\sum_{k=1}^{n} b_k(y) \neq 0$ and

$$\frac{1}{\beta^*(y)} + \frac{1}{\beta^*(y')} > \frac{2}{n-2\sigma}, \, \forall y \neq y' \in \mathcal{K},$$

where $\beta^*(z) = \min(\beta(z), n)$.
It is easy to see that for $\sigma \in (0, \frac{n}{2} - 1)$, (nd)-condition coincides with $(f)_\beta$-condition with $\beta(y) = 2$ for any $y \in \mathcal{K}$. Let,

$$\Sigma = \Big\{u \in H^\sigma(S^n), \, \|u\|^2 = \int_{S^n} P_\sigma^{g_0} u \, u \, dvg_0 = 1\Big\},$$

where $H^\sigma(S^n)$ is the fractional Sobolev space of order σ. It is straightforward to see that the solutions of problem (P_σ) correspond to the positive critical points of

Submitted: December 26, 2022
Accepted: April 26, 2023
Published (early view): August 22, 2024

Revista de la Unión Matemática Argentina Accepted article · Early view version

This peer-reviewed unedited article has been accepted for publication. The final copyedited version may differ in some details. Volume, issue, and page numbers will be assigned at a later stage. Cite using this DOI, which will not change in the final version: https://doi.org/10.33044/revuma.3833.

the functional

$$J(u) = \frac{1}{\left(\int_{S^n} K u^{\frac{2n}{n-2\sigma}} dv g_0\right)^{\frac{n-2\sigma}{n}}}, \; u \in \Sigma.$$

Due to the compactness defect of the fractional Sobolev embedding $H^\sigma(S^n) \hookrightarrow L^{\frac{2n}{n-2\sigma}}(S^n)$, J fails to satisfy the Palais-Smale condition. It is the occurrence of the critical points at infinity; that are the ends of the non-precompact flow lines of the gradient of J. See ([7], Definition 09). The characterization of the critical points at infinity leads to identify the locations in the variational space where the lack of compactness of the problem occur and plays a fundamental role in the existence and non-existence results of problem (P_σ).
Let $a \in S^n$ and $\lambda > 0$. We define

$$\delta_{(a,\lambda)}(x) = c_0 \frac{\lambda^{\frac{n-2\sigma}{2}}}{\left(1 + \frac{1}{2}(\lambda^2 - 1)\big(1 - \cos d(a,x)\big)\right)^{\frac{n-2\sigma}{2}}},$$

where c_0 is a fixed positive constant. Following [26], $\delta_{(a,\lambda)}$, $a \in S^n$, $\lambda > 0$, are the only solutions of

$$P_\sigma^{g_0} u = u^{\frac{n+2\sigma}{n-2\sigma}}, \; u > 0 \text{ on } S^n.$$

We shall prove the following result prescribing the lack of compactness of the problem. Let

$$\Sigma^+ = \Big\{u \in \Sigma, \; u \geq 0 \text{ on } S^n\Big\},$$

and

$$\mathcal{K}^+ = \Big\{y \in \mathcal{K}, \; -\sum_{k=1}^{n} b_k > 0\Big\}.$$

For any $y \in \mathcal{K}$, we denote

$$\widetilde{i}(y) = \#\Big\{b_k(y), \; 1 \leq k \leq n, \text{ s. t. } b_k(y) < 0\Big\}.$$

Theorem 1.1. *Let $K : S^n \to \mathbb{R}$ be a positive function satisfying $(f)_\beta$-condition. Assume that J has no critical point in Σ^+. There exists a positive constant α_0 such that if $1 < \beta < n + \alpha_0$, the critical point at infinity of J in Σ^+ are*

$$(y_1, \dots, y_p)_\infty := \sum_{i=1}^{p} \frac{1}{K(y_i)^{\frac{n-2\sigma}{n}}} \delta_{(y_i,\infty)},$$

where $y_i \in \mathcal{K}^+$, $\forall i = 1, \dots, p$ and $y_i \neq y_j$, $\forall 1 \leq i \neq j \leq p$. Moreover the index of J at $(y_1, \dots, y_p)_\infty$ equals to $i(y_1, \dots, y_p)_\infty = p - 1 + \sum_{j=1}^{p} n - \widetilde{i}(y_j)$.

In the next, we denote C^∞ the set of all the critical points at infinity of problem (P_σ). Under the assumptions of Theorem 1.1,

Submitted: December 26, 2022
Accepted: April 26, 2023
Published (early view): August 22, 2024

Revista de la Unión Matemática Argentina — Accepted article · Early view version

This peer-reviewed unedited article has been accepted for publication. The final copyedited version may differ in some details. Volume, issue, and page numbers will be assigned at a later stage. Cite using this DOI, which will not change in the final version: https://doi.org/10.33044/revuma.3833.

$$C^\infty = \Big\{(y_1,\dots,y_p)_\infty := \sum_{i=1}^{p} \frac{1}{K(y_i)^{\frac{n-2\sigma}{n}}}\delta_{(y_i,\infty)},\ y_i\in\mathcal{K}^+,\ \forall i=1,\dots,p$$
$$\text{and } y_i\neq y_j,\ \forall 1\le i\neq j\le p\Big\}.$$

If $(y_1,\dots,y_p)_\infty \in C^\infty$, let $W_u^\infty(y_1,\dots,y_p)_\infty$ and $W_s^\infty(y_1,\dots,y_p)_\infty$ designate its unstable and stable manifolds respectively. According to [8], we have

$$dimW_u^\infty(y_1,\dots,y_p)_\infty = codimW_s^\infty(y_1,\dots,y_p)_\infty = i(y_1,\dots,y_p)_\infty.$$

In order to state our first existence result, we need to introduce the following notations. Let $k_0\in\mathbb{N}$ and let $N_{k_0}^\infty$ be a subset of

$$C_{\le k_0}^\infty = \Big\{(y_1,\dots,y_p)_\infty \in C^\infty,\ \text{s. t.},\ i(y_1,\dots,y_p)_\infty \le k_0\Big\}.$$

Define

$$W_u^\infty(N_{k_0}^\infty) = \bigcup_{(y_1,\dots,y_p)_\infty\in N_{k_0}^\infty} W_u^\infty(y_1,\dots,y_p)_\infty.$$

$W_u^\infty(N_{k_0}^\infty)$ defines a stratified set of top dimension less or equal to k_0. To simplify, we assume that it equals to k_0. Since Σ^+ is a contractible space and since $W_u^\infty(N_{k_0}^\infty)\subset\Sigma^+$, there exist at least a contraction $\theta\Big(W_u^\infty(N_{k_0}^\infty)\Big)$ of $W_u^\infty(N_{k_0}^\infty)$ in Σ^+. We then have,

Theorem 1.2. *Let $K: S^n\to\mathbb{R}$ be a positive function satisfying $(f)_\beta$-condition, $1<\beta<n+\alpha_0$. If there exist an integer $k_0\in\mathbb{N}$ and a subset $N_{k_0}^\infty\subset C_{\le k_0}^\infty$ such that*

(a) $$\sum_{(y_1,\dots,y_p)_\infty\in N_{k_0}^\infty} (-1)^{i(y_1,\dots,y_p)_\infty}\neq 1,$$

(b) $\theta\Big(W_u^\infty(N_{k_0}^\infty)\Big)\bigcap W_s^\infty(y_1,\dots,y_p)_\infty=\emptyset,\ \forall (y_1,\dots,y_p)_\infty\in C_{\le k_0+1}^\infty\setminus N_{k_0}^\infty,$

then problem (P_σ) has at least a solution.

As application of the above Theorem, we state the following existence result. Let y_0 and z_0 be two points in S^n such that $K(y_0)=\max_{S^n} K(x)$ and $K(z_0)=\min_{S^n} K(x)$. It is easy to see that under $(f)_\beta$-condition $y_0\in\mathcal{K}^+$ and $z_0\in\mathcal{K}\setminus\mathcal{K}^+$.

Theorem 1.3. *Let $K: S^n\to\mathbb{R}$ be a positive function satisfying $(f)_\beta$-condition, $\beta\in(1,n+\alpha_0)$, such that $\dfrac{K(y_0)}{K(z_0)}<2^{\frac{1}{n-2\sigma}}$. If there exists $k_0\in\mathbb{N}$ such that*

(a′) $$\sum_{y\in\mathcal{K}^+,\, n-\widetilde{i}(y)\le k_0} (-1)^{n-\widetilde{i}(y)}\neq 1,$$

(b′) For any $y\in\mathcal{K}^+$ we have $n-\widetilde{i}(y)\neq k_0+1$,

then (P_σ) admits a solution.

It is easy to see that any integer $k_0\ge n$ satisfies condition (b'). Therefore the following existence result is an immediate consequence of Theorem 1.3.

Submitted: December 26, 2022
Accepted: April 26, 2023
Published (early view): August 22, 2024

Revista de la Unión Matemática Argentina Accepted article · Early view version

This peer-reviewed unedited article has been accepted for publication. The final copyedited version may differ in some details. Volume, issue, and page numbers will be assigned at a later stage. Cite using this DOI, which will not change in the final version: https://doi.org/10.33044/revuma.3833.

Theorem 1.4. *Assume that K satisfies $(f)_\beta$-condition, $1<\beta<n+\alpha_0$. If*

$$\sum_{y\in\mathcal{K}^+}(-1)^{n-\widetilde{i}(y)}\neq 1,$$

Then (1.1) has a solution provided $\dfrac{K(y_0)}{K(z_0)}<2^{\frac{1}{n-2\sigma}}$.

It should be noted that Theorem 1.4 covers the perturbation Theorem of T. Jin, Y. Li and J. Xiong [26] in two ways. First, the flatness order of the prescribed function exceeds the dimension n of its domain. Second, the closeness rate to a positive constant is estimated in our Theorem.
Our aim in the next is to remove condition (b') in the existence result of Theorem 1.3. This leads to another kind of existence result. Nevertheless an additional condition concerning the closeness of $K(y_0)$ with respect to $K(z_0)$ will be imposed.

Theorem 1.5. *Let $K: S^n\to\mathbb{R}$ be a positive function satisfying $(f)_\beta$-condition, $\beta\in(1,n+\alpha_0)$, such that* $\dfrac{K(y_0)}{K(z_0)}<(\dfrac{3}{2})^{\frac{1}{n-2\sigma}}$. *If*

$$\mathcal{K}^+\backslash\{y_0\}\neq\emptyset,$$

then (P_σ) has a solution.

Our method is based on the critical points at infinity theory of A. Bahri [7]. We follow closely the ideas developed in [12] and [29] where the prescribed scalar curvature problem was studied using some topological tools.
In Section 2 we recall some preliminaries related to the associated variational structure. In Section 3 we perform an asymptotic analysis on the gradient field of J under condition $(f)_\beta$, $\beta\in(1,\infty)$ and we construct a suitable pseudo gradient allowing us to prove Theorem 1.1. The proof of the existence results will be performed in Section 4.

2. Preliminaries

We start this section by characterizing the sequences of Σ^+ which violate the Palais-Smale condition for the functional J. For $p\in\mathbb{N}$, and $\varepsilon>0$ small enough, we denote

$$V(p,\varepsilon)=\begin{cases} u\in\Sigma,\ \exists\,\alpha_1,\dots,\alpha_p>0,\ \exists\,\lambda_1,\dots,\lambda_p>\varepsilon^{-1} \text{ and } a_1,\dots,a_p\in S^n, \text{ s. t} \\ \|\, u-\sum_{i=1}^p\alpha_i\delta_{(a_i,\lambda_i)}\,\|<\varepsilon,\ \left|J(u)^{\frac{n}{n-2\sigma}}\alpha_i^{\frac{4}{n-2\sigma}}K(a_i)J(u)^{\frac{n}{n-2\sigma}}-1\right|<\varepsilon, \\ \forall 1\leq i\leq p,\ \text{ and } \varepsilon_{ij}<\varepsilon\,\forall i\neq j, \end{cases}$$

where

$$\varepsilon_{ij}=\left(\frac{\lambda_i}{\lambda_j}+\frac{\lambda_j}{\lambda_i}+\lambda_i\lambda_j\mid a_i-a_j\mid^2\right)^{-\frac{n-2\sigma}{2}}.$$

Following [10] and [36], we have

Submitted: December 26, 2022
Accepted: April 26, 2023
Published (early view): August 22, 2024

Revista de la Unión Matemática Argentina Accepted article · Early view version

This peer-reviewed unedited article has been accepted for publication. The final copyedited version may differ in some details. Volume, issue, and page numbers will be assigned at a later stage. Cite using this DOI, which will not change in the final version: https://doi.org/10.33044/revuma.3833.

Proposition 2.1. *Let (u_k) be a non-precompact sequence in Σ^+ such that $J(u_k)$ is bounded and $\partial J(u_k)$ tends to zero. There exist $p \in \mathbb{N}$, a positive sequence (ε_k), tending to zero and a subsequence of (u_k) denoted again (u_k) such that $u_k \in V(p, \varepsilon_k)$, $\forall k \geq 1$.*

A parametrization of $V(p,\varepsilon)$ is given in the following Proposition

Proposition 2.2. [8] *For any $u \in V(p,\varepsilon)$, the minimization problem*

$$\min_{\alpha_i>0,\, \lambda_i>0,\, a_i\in S^n} \left\| u - \sum_{i=1}^{p} \alpha_i \delta_{(a_i,\lambda_i)} \right\|$$

has a unique solution $(\bar\alpha, \bar\lambda, \bar a)$. Moreover $v := u - \sum_{i=1}^{p} \bar\alpha_i \delta_{(\bar a_i,\bar\lambda_i)}$ satisfies the following orthogonality condition

$$(V_0):\ \Big\langle v, \varphi \Big\rangle = 0,\ \forall\, \varphi \in \left\{ \delta_{(a_i,\lambda_i)}, \frac{\partial \delta_{(a_i,\lambda_i)}}{\partial \lambda_i}, \frac{\partial \delta_{(a_i,\lambda_i)}}{\partial a_i}, i = 1, ..., p \right\}.$$

Here $\langle .,. \rangle$ denotes the inner product of $H^\sigma(S^n)$.

Next we deal with the v-part of u. Following [2] and [10] we have

Proposition 2.3. *For any $\sum_{i=1}^{p} \alpha_i\ \delta_{(a_i,\lambda_i)} \in V(p,\varepsilon)$, the minimization problem*

$$\min_{v\ satisfies\ (V_0)} J\Big(\sum_{i=1}^{p} \alpha_i \delta_{(a_i,\lambda_i)} + v \Big).$$

has a unique solution $\overline{v} = \overline{v}(\alpha, a, \lambda)$. In addition there exists a change of variables $V = v - \overline{v}$ such that the following expansion holds

$$J\Big(\sum_{i=1}^{p} \alpha_i \delta_{(a_i,\lambda_i)} + v \Big) = J\Big(\sum_{i=1}^{p} \alpha_i \delta_{(a_i,\lambda_i)} + \overline{v} \Big) + \|V\|^2.$$

Moreover, under $(f)_\beta$-condition we have following estimate

$$\begin{aligned} \|\overline{v}\| \ &\leq\ c \sum_{i=1}^{p} \Big[\frac{1}{\lambda_i^{\frac{n}{2}}} + \frac{1}{\lambda_i^{\beta}} + \frac{|\nabla K(a_i)|}{\lambda_i} + \frac{(\log \lambda_i)^{\frac{n+2\sigma}{2n}}}{\lambda_i^{\frac{n+2\sigma}{2}}} \Big] \\ &+\ c \begin{cases} \sum_{i\neq j} \varepsilon_{i\,j}^{\frac{n+2\sigma}{2(n-2\sigma)}} \Big(\log \varepsilon_{i\,j}^{-1} \Big)^{\frac{n+2\sigma}{2n}}, & n \geq 3 \\ \sum_{i\neq j} \varepsilon_{i\,j} \Big(\log \varepsilon_{i\,j}^{-1} \Big)^{\frac{n-2\sigma}{n}}, & n = 2. \end{cases} \end{aligned}$$

We now define a critical point at infinity [7].

Definition 2.4. A critical point at infinity of the functional J is an end of a non precompact flow line $u(s)$ of the gradient vector field $(-\partial J)$. According to

Submitted: December 26, 2022
Accepted: April 26, 2023
Published (early view): August 22, 2024

Revista de la Unión Matemática Argentina Accepted article · Early view version

This peer-reviewed unedited article has been accepted for publication. The final copyedited version may differ in some details. Volume, issue, and page numbers will be assigned at a later stage. Cite using this DOI, which will not change in the final version: https://doi.org/10.33044/revuma.3833.

Propositions 2.1 and 2.2, $u(s)$ can be described at infinity of the form

$$u(s) = \sum_{i=1}^{p} \alpha_i(s)\, \delta_{(a_i(s),\lambda_i(s))} + v(s),$$

where $\|v(s)\| \to 0$ and $\lambda_i(s) \to \infty$, $\forall i = 1,\dots,p$. If we set $y_i := \lim_{s\to+\infty} a_i(s)$ and $\alpha_i = \lim_{s\to+\infty} \alpha_i(s), \forall i = 1,\dots,p$. Then

$$(y_1,\dots,y_p)_\infty = \sum_{i=1}^{p} \alpha_i \delta_{(y_i,\infty)}$$

denotes a critical point at infinity.

3. Proof of Theorem 1.1

In this section we characterize the critical points at infinity of problem (P_σ), $\sigma \in (0, \frac{n}{2})$ under condition $(f)_\beta$. We construct in $V(p,\varepsilon)$, $p \geq 1$, a suitable pseudo gradient W for which J decreases and the Palais-Smale condition is satisfied a long its flow lines as long as these flow lines do not enter the neighborhood of a critical points $y_1,\dots,y_p \in \mathcal{K}^+$ such that $y_i \neq y_j$, $\forall 1 \leq i \neq j \leq p$. We shall prove the following result

Theorem 3.1. *Let $K : S^n \longrightarrow \mathbb{R}$ be a positive function satisfying $(f)_\beta$- condition. There exists $\alpha_0 > 0$ such that if $\beta \in (1, n+\alpha_0)$, the following holds:*
For any $p \geq 1$ there exists a bounded pseudo gradient W in $V(p,\varepsilon)$, $\varepsilon > 0$ small enough, such that

i) $\Big\langle \partial J(u), W(u)\Big\rangle \leq -c\Big(\sum_{i=1}^{p}\Big(\frac{1}{\lambda_i^{\beta(y_i)}} + \frac{|\nabla K(a_i)|}{\lambda_i}\Big) + \sum_{i\neq j}\varepsilon_{ij}\Big),$

ii) $\Big\langle \partial J(u+\overline{v}), W(u) + \frac{\partial \overline{v}}{\partial(\alpha,a,\lambda)}W(u)\Big\rangle \leq -c\Big(\sum_{i=1}^{p}\Big(\frac{1}{\lambda_i^{\beta(y_i)}} + \frac{|\nabla K(a_i)|}{\lambda_i}\Big) + \sum_{i\neq j}\varepsilon_{ij}\Big)$, *for any $u \in V(p,\varepsilon)$. Moreover*

iii) *For any $i = 1,\dots,p$, $\max_{s\geq 0} \lambda_i(s)$ is bounded unless $a_i(s) \longrightarrow y_i \in \mathcal{K}^+$, $\forall i = 1,\dots,p$ with $y_i \neq y_j$, $\forall 1 \leq i \neq j \leq p$. In this case all the parameters $\lambda_i(s)$ increases and tend to ∞.*

The first step in the construction of the required pseudo gradient W is to describe the variation of the energy functional J with respect to the parameters λ_i and a_i, $i = 1,\dots,p$ of $V(p,\varepsilon)$. Namely,

Proposition 3.2. *Let K be a positive function satisfying $(f)_\beta$- condition. There exists $\alpha_0 > 0$ such that if $\beta(y) \in (1, n+\alpha_0)$ for any $y \in \mathcal{K}$, the following holds:*

Submitted: December 26, 2022
Accepted: April 26, 2023
Published (early view): August 22, 2024

Revista de la Unión Matemática Argentina Accepted article · Early view version
This peer-reviewed unedited article has been accepted for publication. The final copyedited version may differ in some details. Volume, issue, and page numbers will be assigned at a later stage. Cite using this DOI, which will not change in the final version: https://doi.org/10.33044/revuma.3833.

For any $u=\sum_{i=1}^{p}\alpha_i\delta_{(a_i,\lambda_i)}\in V(p,\varepsilon)$ *such that* $a_i\in B(y_i,\rho)$, $y_i\in\mathcal{K}$, *we have*

$$\begin{aligned}
&\Big\langle \partial J(u),\alpha_i\lambda_i\frac{\partial\delta_{(a_i,\lambda_i)}}{\partial\lambda_i}\Big\rangle\\
=\;& J(u)\tilde{c}_i\frac{\alpha_i^2(n-2\sigma)}{nK(a_i)}\sum_{k=1}^{n}b_k(y_i)\begin{cases}\dfrac{1}{\lambda_i^{\beta^*(y_i)}}(1+o(1)), & \text{if } \beta(y_i)\neq n\\[2mm] \dfrac{log\lambda_i}{\lambda_i^{\beta(y_i)}}(1+o(1)), & \text{if } \beta(y_i)=n\end{cases}\\
-\;& c_1J(u)\sum_{j\neq i}\alpha_i\alpha_j\lambda_i\frac{\partial\varepsilon_{ij}}{\partial\lambda_i}+O\Big(\mid a_i-y_i\mid^{\beta(y_i)}\Big)+O\Big(\frac{\mid a_i-y_i\mid^{\beta(y_i)-1}}{\lambda_i}\Big)\\
+\;&\sum_{j\neq i}o\Big(\varepsilon_{ij}\Big),
\end{aligned}$$

$$\text{where, } c_1=\int_{\mathbb{R}^n}\frac{dz}{(1+|z|^2)^{\frac{n+2\sigma}{2}}} \text{ and } \tilde{c}_i=\begin{cases}\displaystyle\int_{\mathbb{R}^n}\frac{|t_1|^{\beta(y_i)}(|t|^2-1)}{(1+|t|^2)^{n+1}}dt, & \text{if } \beta(y_i)<n\\ 1, & \text{if } \beta(y_i)=n\\ \dfrac{\rho^{\beta(y_i)-n}w_{n-1}}{\beta(y_i)-n}, & \text{if } \beta(y_i)>n\end{cases}.$$

Proof. Using a computation like the one of ([1], Proposition 3.2), we have

$$\begin{aligned}
\Big\langle \partial J(u),\alpha_i\lambda_i\frac{\partial\delta_{(a_i,\lambda_i)}}{\partial\lambda_i}\Big\rangle &= -2J(u)^{\frac{2n-2\sigma}{n-2\sigma}}\alpha_i^{\frac{2n}{n-2\sigma}}\int_{S^n}K(x)\delta_{(a_i,\lambda_i)}^{\frac{n+2\sigma}{n-2\sigma}}\lambda_i\frac{\partial\delta_{(a_i,\lambda_i)}}{\partial\lambda_i}dv_{g_0}\\
&- 2c_1J(u)\sum_{j\neq i}\alpha_i\alpha_j\lambda_i\frac{\partial\varepsilon_{ij}}{\partial\lambda_i}. \qquad (3.1)
\end{aligned}$$

Let π_N be the stereographic projection with respect to the north pole N of S^n. To simplify, we will identify any x of S^n with its projection in $\mathbb{R}^n$. Also,we identify the functional K with its composition with π_N. By any elementary calculation we have

$$\delta_{(a_i,\lambda_i)}^{\frac{n+2\sigma}{n-2\sigma}}\lambda_i\frac{\partial\delta_{(a_i,\lambda_i)}}{\partial\lambda_i}=\frac{n-2\sigma}{2}\frac{\Big(1-\lambda_i^2|x-a_i|^2\Big)\lambda_i^n}{\Big(1+\lambda_i^2|x-a_i|^2\Big)^{n+1}}.$$

It follows that

$$\begin{aligned}
I &:= \int_{\mathbb{R}^n}K(x)\delta_{(a_i,\lambda_i)}^{\frac{n+2\sigma}{n-2\sigma}}\lambda_i\frac{\partial\delta_{(a_i,\lambda_i)}}{\partial\lambda_i}dx\\
&= \int_{\mathbb{R}^n}\Big(K(x)-K(y_i)\Big)\delta_{(a_i,\lambda_i)}^{\frac{n+2\sigma}{n-2\sigma}}\lambda_i\frac{\partial\delta_{(a_i,\lambda_i)}}{\partial\lambda_i}dx,
\end{aligned}$$

Submitted: December 26, 2022
Accepted: April 26, 2023
Published (early view): August 22, 2024

Revista de la Unión Matemática Argentina Accepted article · Early view version

This peer-reviewed unedited article has been accepted for publication. The final copyedited version may differ in some details. Volume, issue, and page numbers will be assigned at a later stage. Cite using this DOI, which will not change in the final version: https://doi.org/10.33044/revuma.3833.

since $\int_{\mathbb{R}^n} \delta_{(a_i,\lambda_i)}^{\frac{n+2\sigma}{n-2\sigma}} \lambda_i \frac{\partial \delta_{(a_i,\lambda_i)}}{\partial \lambda_i} dx = 0.$

Let $\rho > 0$ small enough. In $B(a_i, \rho_0)^c$ we have

$$\begin{aligned}
&\int_{B(a_i,\rho)^c} \big(K(x) - K(y_i)\big) \delta_{(a_i,\lambda_i)}^{\frac{n+2\sigma}{n-2\sigma}} \lambda_i \frac{\partial \delta_{(a_i,\lambda_i)}}{\partial \lambda_i} dx, \\
\leq \ & c(K) \int_{B(a_i,\rho)^c} \frac{\big(1 - \lambda_i^2 |x-a_i|^2\big) \lambda_i^n dx}{\big(1 + \lambda_i^2 |x-a_i|^2\big)^{n+1}}, \\
\leq \ & c(K) \int_{\lambda_i \rho}^{\infty} \frac{r^{n-1} |1 - r^2| dr}{(1+r^2)^{n+1}} \leq O\Big(\frac{1}{\lambda_i^n}\Big).
\end{aligned} \tag{3.2}$$

In $B(a_i, \rho)$, we use $(f)_\beta$-expansion. We obtain that

$$\begin{aligned}
&\int_{B(a_i,\rho_0)} \big(K(x) - K(y_i)\big) \delta_{(a_i,\lambda_i)}^{\frac{n+2\sigma}{n-2\sigma}} \lambda_i \frac{\partial \delta_{(a_i,\lambda_i)}}{\partial \lambda_i} dx \\
= \ & \frac{n-2\sigma}{2} \sum_{k=1}^{n} b_k(y_i) \int_{B(a_i,\rho)} \big|(x-y_i)_k\big|^{\beta(y_i)} \frac{\Big(1 - \lambda_i^2 |x-a_i|^2\Big) \lambda_i^n dx}{\big(1 + \lambda_i^2 |x-a_i|^2\big)^{n+1}} \\
+ \ & o\Big(\int_{B(a_i,\rho)} \big|(x-y_i)\big|^{\beta(y_i)} \frac{\big|1 - \lambda_i^2 |x-a_i|^2\big| \lambda_i^n dx}{\big(1 + \lambda_i^2 |x-a_i|^2\big)^{n+1}} \Big) \\
= \ & \frac{n-2\sigma}{2} \sum_{k=1}^{n} b_k(y_i) \int_{B(0,\lambda_i\rho)} \frac{\big|(t + \lambda_i(a_i - y_i)_k\big|^{\beta(y_i)}}{\lambda_i^{\beta(y_i)}} \frac{1 - |t|^2}{\big(1+|t|^2\big)^{n+1}} dt \\
+ \ & o\Big(\int_{B(0,\lambda_i\rho)} \frac{\big|(t + \lambda_i(a_i - y_i)_k\big|^{\beta(y_i)}}{\lambda_i^{\beta(y_i)}} \frac{|1 - |t|^2|}{\big(1+|t|^2\big)^{n+1}} dt \Big),
\end{aligned}$$

by setting $t = \lambda_i(a_i - y_i)$. Observe that,

$$\begin{aligned}
&\int_{B(0,\lambda_i\rho)} \frac{\big|t + \lambda_i(a_i - y_i)_k\big|^{\beta(y_i)}}{\lambda_i^{\beta(y_i)}} \frac{1 - |t|^2}{\big(1+|t|^2\big)^{n+1}} dt \\
= \ & \int_{B(0,\lambda_i\rho)} \frac{\big|t_k\big|^{\beta(y_i)} \big(1 - |t|^2\big)}{\lambda_i^{\beta(y_i)} \big(1+|t|^2\big)^{n+1}} dt \\
+ \ & O\Big(\int_{B(0,\lambda_i\rho)} \frac{\big|a_i - y_i\big| |t|^{\beta(y_i)-1} |1 - |t|^2| dt}{\lambda_i^{\beta(y_i)-1} \big(1+|t|^2\big)^{n+1}} \Big) + O\Big(\big|a_i - y_i\big|^{\beta(y_i)} \Big).
\end{aligned}$$

$$= -\tilde{c}_i(1 + o(1)) \begin{cases} \dfrac{1}{\lambda_i^{\beta^*(y_i)}}, & \text{if } \beta(y_i) \neq n \\[2ex] \dfrac{\log \lambda_i}{\lambda_i^{\beta(y_i)}}, & \text{if } \beta(y_i) = n \end{cases} + O\Big(|a_i - y_i|^{\beta(y_i)} \Big),$$

Submitted: December 26, 2022
Accepted: April 26, 2023
Published (early view): August 22, 2024

Revista de la Unión Matemática Argentina Accepted article · Early view version

This peer-reviewed unedited article has been accepted for publication. The final copyedited version may differ in some details. Volume, issue, and page numbers will be assigned at a later stage. Cite using this DOI, which will not change in the final version: https://doi.org/10.33044/revuma.3833.

where $\tilde{c}_i = \begin{cases} \displaystyle\int_{\mathbb{R}^n} \frac{|t_1|^{\beta(y_i)}(|t|^2-1)}{(1+|t|^2)^{n+1}}dt, & \text{if } \beta(y_i)<n \\ 1, & \text{if } \beta(y_i)=n \\ \dfrac{\rho^{\beta(y_i)-n}w_{n-1}}{\beta(y_i)-n}, & \text{if } \beta(y_i)>n. \end{cases}$

It follows from the above estimate and (3.2) that

$$\begin{aligned} I &= -\frac{n-2\sigma}{2}\,\tilde{c}_i\,(1+o(1))\Big(\sum_{k=1}^n b_k(y_i)\Big)\begin{cases} \dfrac{1}{\lambda_i^{\beta^*(y_i)}}, & \text{if } \beta(y_i)\neq n \\ \dfrac{\log\lambda_i}{\lambda_i^{\beta^*(y_i)}}, & \text{if } \beta(y_i)=n \end{cases} \\ &+ O\Big(|a_i-y_i|^{\beta(y_i)}\Big)+O\Big(\frac{1}{\lambda_i^n}\Big). \end{aligned}$$

Observe that in the above expansion, the remainder term $O\Big(\dfrac{1}{\lambda_i^n}\Big)$ is very small with respect to $\dfrac{1}{\lambda_i^{\beta(y_i)}}$ if $\beta(y_i)<n$ and $\dfrac{\log\lambda_i}{\lambda_i^{\beta(y_i)}}$ if $\beta(y_i)=n$. However if $\beta(y_i)>n$, $O\Big(\dfrac{1}{\lambda_i^n}\Big)$ is of the same size than $\dfrac{1}{\lambda_i^{\beta^*(y_i)}}$. This presents the difficulty to study the problem for any flatness order $\beta(y)>n$, since the sign of the leader term in the above expansion is unknown. Nevertheless for $n<\beta(y_i)<n+\alpha_0$, α_0 is a small positive constant, the remainder term $O\Big(\dfrac{1}{\lambda_i^n}\Big)$ is very small with respect to $\dfrac{\rho^{n-1}w_{n-1}}{\beta(y_i)-n}\dfrac{1}{\lambda_i^{\beta^*(y_i)}}$. In this case the latest expansion will be reduced to

$$\begin{aligned} I &= -\frac{n-2\sigma}{2}\,\tilde{c}_i\,(1+o(1))\Big(\sum_{k=1}^n b_k(y_i)\Big)\begin{cases} \dfrac{1}{\lambda_i^{\beta^*(y_i)}}, & \text{if } \beta(y_i)\neq n \\ \dfrac{\log\lambda_i}{\lambda_i^{\beta^*(y_i)}}, & \text{if } \beta(y_i)=n \end{cases} \\ &+ O\Big(|a_i-y_i|^{\beta(y_i)}\Big). \end{aligned} \tag{3.3}$$

The expansion of Proposition 3.2 follows from (3.1), (3.3) and the relation $\alpha_i^{\frac{4\sigma}{n-2\sigma}}K(a_i)J(u)^{\frac{n}{n-2\sigma}}=1+o(1)$, $\forall i=1,\dots,p$. □

Proposition 3.3. *Assume that K is a positive on S^n and satisfies $(f)_\beta$-condition. For any $u=\sum_{i=1}^p\alpha_i\,\widehat{\delta}_{(a_i,\lambda_i)}\in V(p,\varepsilon)$ such that $a_i\in B(y_i,\rho)$, $y_i\in\mathcal{K}$, we have*

$$\begin{aligned} &\Big\langle \partial J(u),\frac{\alpha_i}{\lambda_i}\frac{\partial\widehat{\delta}_{(a_i,\lambda_i)}}{\partial(a_i)_k}\Big\rangle \\ &= -c_2\alpha_i^{\frac{2n}{n-2\sigma}}J(u)^{\frac{2n-2\sigma}{n-2\sigma}}\beta(y_i)b_k(y_i)sign\big[(a_i-y_i)_k\big]\frac{|a_i-y_i|^{\beta(y_i)-1}}{\lambda_i}+R, \end{aligned}$$

Submitted: December 26, 2022
Accepted: April 26, 2023
Published (early view): August 22, 2024

Revista de la Unión Matemática Argentina — Accepted article · Early view version

This peer-reviewed unedited article has been accepted for publication. The final copyedited version may differ in some details. Volume, issue, and page numbers will be assigned at a later stage. Cite using this DOI, which will not change in the final version: https://doi.org/10.33044/revuma.3833.

where $(a_i)_k, k = 1, \dots, n$, *denotes the* k^{th}*-component of* a_i *in the geodesic coordinates system and* $c_2 = \dfrac{n-2\sigma}{n}\displaystyle\int_{\mathbb{R}^n} \frac{|t|^2 dt}{(1+|t|^2)^{n+1}}$. *Here,*

$$\begin{aligned} R &= o\Big(\frac{|a_i - y_i|^{\beta(y_i)-1}}{\lambda_i}\Big) + \sum_{l=2}^{E(\beta^*(y_i))} O\Big(\frac{|a_i - y_i|^{\beta(y_i)-l}}{\lambda_i^l}\Big) + \sum_{j\neq i} O\Big(\varepsilon_{ij}\Big) \\ &+ \begin{cases} O\Big(\dfrac{1}{\lambda_i^{\beta(y_i)}}\Big), & \text{if } \beta(y_i) \leq n \\ o\Big(\dfrac{1}{\lambda_i^{\gamma}}\Big), \gamma \in \big(n, \min(n+1, \beta(y_i))\big), & \text{if } \beta(y_i) > n \end{cases} \end{aligned}$$

Proof. Following ([1], Proposition 3.3), we have

$$\begin{aligned} \Big\langle \partial J(u), \frac{\alpha_i}{\lambda_i}\frac{\partial \delta_{(a_i,\lambda_i)}}{\partial (a_i)_k}\Big\rangle &= -2J(u)^{\frac{2n-2\sigma}{n-2\sigma}} \alpha_i^{\frac{2n}{n-2\sigma}} \int_{S^n} K(x)\delta_{(a_i,\lambda_i)}^{\frac{n+2\sigma}{n-2\sigma}} \frac{1}{\lambda_i}\frac{\partial \delta_{(a_i,\lambda_i)}}{\partial (a_i)_k} dv_{g_0} \\ &+ \sum_{j\neq i} O(\varepsilon_{ij}). \end{aligned}$$

Performing a stereographic projection. For any $x \in \mathbb{R}^n$ we have

$$\delta_{(a_i,\lambda_i)}^{\frac{n+2\sigma}{n-2\sigma}} \frac{1}{\lambda_i}\frac{\partial \delta_{(a_i,\lambda_i)}}{\partial (a_i)_k} = (n-2\sigma)\frac{\lambda_i^{n+1}(x-a_i)_k}{\big(1+\lambda_i^2|x-a_i|^2\big)^{n+1}}.$$

It follows that

$$\begin{aligned} I: &= \int_{\mathbb{R}^n} K(x)\delta_{(a_i,\lambda_i)}^{\frac{n+2\sigma}{n-2\sigma}} \lambda_i \frac{\partial \delta_{(a_i,\lambda_i)}}{\partial (a_i)_k} dx \\ & \int_{B(a_i,\rho)} \big(K(x) - K(a_i)\big)\delta_{(a_i,\lambda_i)}^{\frac{n+2\sigma}{n-2\sigma}} \frac{1}{\lambda_i}\frac{\partial \delta_{(a_i,\lambda_i)}}{\partial (a_i)_k} + O\Big(\frac{1}{\lambda_i^{n+1}}\Big). \end{aligned}$$

By a Taylor expansion up to order $E\big(\beta^*(y_i)\big)$, we have

$$\begin{aligned} K(x) - K(a_i) &= \sum_{l=1}^{E(\beta^*(y_i))} \frac{D^l K(a_i)(x-a_i)^l}{l!} \\ &+ \begin{cases} O\Big(|x-a_i|^{\beta(y_i)}\Big), & \text{if } \beta(y_i) \leq n \\ o\Big(|x-a_i|^{\gamma}\Big), \gamma \in \big(n, \beta(y_i)\big), & \text{if } \beta(y_i) > n. \end{cases} \end{aligned}$$

Submitted: December 26, 2022
Accepted: April 26, 2023
Published (early view): August 22, 2024

Revista de la Unión Matemática Argentina Accepted article · Early view version
This peer-reviewed unedited article has been accepted for publication. The final copyedited version may differ in some details. Volume, issue, and page numbers will be assigned at a later stage. Cite using this DOI, which will not change in the final version: https://doi.org/10.33044/revuma.3833.

Therefore, by setting $t = \lambda_i(x - a_i)$, we get

$$\begin{aligned}
I &= (n-2\sigma)\sum_{l=1}^{E(\beta^*(y_i))}\int_{B(0,\lambda_i\rho)}\frac{D^lK(a_i)(t)^l}{l!\lambda_i^l}\frac{t_k}{(1+|t|^2)^{n+1}}dt\\
&+\begin{cases} O\Big(\frac{1}{\lambda_i^{\beta(y_i)}}\Big), & \text{if } \beta(y_i)\le n\\ o\Big(\frac{1}{\lambda_i^{\gamma}}\Big), & \text{if } \beta(y_i)>n\end{cases} + O\Big(\frac{1}{\lambda_i^{n+1}}\Big).\\
&= (n-2\sigma)\int_{B(0,\lambda_i\rho)}\frac{DK(a_i)(t)}{\lambda_i}\frac{t_k}{(1+|t|^2)^{n+1}}dt + \sum_{l=2}^{E(\beta^*(y_i))} O\Big(\frac{|x-a_i|^{\beta(y_i)-l}}{\lambda_i^l}\Big)\\
&+\begin{cases} O\Big(\frac{1}{\lambda_i^{\beta(y_i)}}\Big), & \text{if } \beta(y_i)\le n\\ o\Big(\frac{1}{\lambda_i^{\gamma}}\Big), & \text{if } \beta(y_i)>n\end{cases} + O\Big(\frac{1}{\lambda_i^{n+1}}\Big).
\end{aligned}$$

Observe that,

$$\begin{aligned}
\int_{B(0,\lambda_i\rho)}\frac{t_kDK(a_i)(t)}{\lambda_i(1+|t|^2)^{n+1}}dt &= \sum_{j=1}^{n}\frac{\frac{\partial K}{\partial x_j}(a_i)}{\lambda_i}\int_{B(0,\lambda_i\rho)}\frac{t_kt_j\ dt}{(1+|t|^2)^{n+1}}\\
&= \frac{\frac{\partial K}{\partial x_k}(a_i)}{\lambda_i}\int_{B(0,\lambda_i\rho)}\frac{t_k^2\ dt}{(1+|t|^2)^{n+1}}\\
&= \frac{1}{n}\frac{\frac{\partial K}{\partial x_k}(a_i)}{\lambda_i}\Bigg(\int_{\mathbb{R}^n}\frac{|t|^2}{(1+|t|^2)^{n+1}}dt + O\Big(\frac{1}{\lambda_i^n}\Big)\Bigg).
\end{aligned}$$

Moreover, by $(f)_\beta$-expansion we have

$$\frac{\partial K}{\partial x_k}(a_i) = \beta(y_i)b_k(y_i)\Big[sign(a_i-y_i)_k\Big]\big|(a_i-y_i)_k\big|^{\beta(y_i)-1} + o\Big(\big|a_i-y_i\big|^{\beta(y_i)-1}\Big).$$

It follows that

$$\begin{aligned}
I &= c_2\beta(y_i)b_k(y_i)sign\big[(a_i-y_i)_k\big]\frac{|a_i-y_i|^{\beta(y_i)-1}}{\lambda_i} + o\Big(\big|a_i-y_i\big|^{\beta(y_i)-1}\Big)\\
&+\sum_{l=2}^{E(\beta^*(y_i))} O\Big(\frac{|a_i-y_i|^{\beta(y_i)-l}}{\lambda_i^l}\Big) + \begin{cases} O\Big(\frac{1}{\lambda_i^{\beta(y_i)}}\Big), & \text{if } \beta(y_i)\le n\\ o\Big(\frac{1}{\lambda_i^{\gamma}}\Big), & \text{if } \beta(y_i)>n\end{cases} + O\Big(\frac{1}{\lambda_i^{n+1}}\Big).
\end{aligned}$$

This conclude the proof of Proposition 3.3. $\square$

Submitted: December 26, 2022
Accepted: April 26, 2023
Published (early view): August 22, 2024

Revista de la Unión Matemática Argentina Accepted article · Early view version
This peer-reviewed unedited article has been accepted for publication. The final copyedited version may differ in some details. Volume, issue, and page numbers will be assigned at a later stage. Cite using this DOI, which will not change in the final version: https://doi.org/10.33044/revuma.3833.

In order to prove Theorem 3.1 we introduce the following subsets of $V(p,\varepsilon), p \geq 1$. Let

$$\begin{aligned}\widetilde{V}(p,\varepsilon) \quad &= \quad \Big\{u = \sum_{i=1}^{p} \alpha_i\,\delta_{(a_i,\lambda_i)} \in V(p,\varepsilon),\ \text{s.t, } a_i \in B(y_i,\rho),\ y_i \in \mathcal{K},\\ &\quad\quad \forall i = 1,\dots,p \text{ and } y_i \neq y_j,\ \forall 1 \leq i \neq j \leq p\Big\}.\end{aligned}$$

In ([2], Section 3) it is proved that there is no critical point at infinity in $V(p,\varepsilon) \setminus \widetilde{V}(p,\varepsilon)$. More precisely,

Proposition 3.4. [2]. *There exists a bounded pseudo gradient $W \in V(p,\varepsilon)\setminus\widetilde{V}(p,\varepsilon)$ satisfying inequalities (i) and (ii) of Theorem 3.1 for any $u = \sum_{i=1}^{p} \alpha_i\delta_{(a_i,\lambda_i)} \in V(p,\varepsilon) \setminus \widetilde{V}(p,\varepsilon)$. Moreover,*
$(iii)'$ $\max\limits_{1\leq i\leq p} \lambda_i(s)$ remains bounded as long as the associated flow line $u(s) = \sum_{i=1}^{p} \alpha_i(s)\delta_{(a_i(s),\lambda_i(s))}$ remains in $V(p,\varepsilon) \setminus \widetilde{V}(p,\varepsilon)$.

The next Proposition describes the concentration phenomenon in $\widetilde{V}(p,\varepsilon)$. Namely

Proposition 3.5. *Under the assumption that K is positive on S^n and satisfies $(f)_\beta$-condition, $\beta \in (1,\, n+\alpha_0)$ there exists a bounded pseudo gradient W in $\widetilde{V}(p,\varepsilon)$ such that the assertions $(i), (ii)$ and (iii) of Theorem 3.1 hold.*

Proof. Let γ_0 be a small positive constant. For any $y \in \mathcal{K}$ and for any $\lambda > \varepsilon^{-1}$, we define a neighborhood $V_\lambda(y)$ of y as follows:

$$V_\lambda(y) = \Big\{a \in S^n,\ \big|a - y\big|^{\beta(y)} < \frac{\gamma_0}{\lambda^{\beta^*(y)}}\Big\}.$$

We divide $\widetilde{V}(p,\varepsilon)$ on the following 3-subsets.

$$\begin{aligned}\widetilde{V}_1(p,\varepsilon) \quad &= \quad \Big\{u = \sum_{i=1}^{p} \alpha_i\delta_{(a_i,\lambda_i)} \in \widetilde{V}(p,\varepsilon),\ \text{s. t.}, a_i \in V_{\lambda_i}(y_i), y_i \in \mathcal{K}^+,\\ &\quad\quad \forall i = 1,\dots,p\Big\}.\\ \widetilde{V}_2(p,\varepsilon) \quad &= \quad \Big\{u = \sum_{i=1}^{p} \alpha_i\delta_{(a_i,\lambda_i)} \in \widetilde{V}(p,\varepsilon),\ \text{s. t.}, a_i \in V_{\lambda_i}(y_i), y_i \in \mathcal{K},\\ &\quad\quad \forall i = 1,\dots,p \text{ and } \exists \text{ at least an index } i,\ \text{s. t.}, y_i \notin \mathcal{K}^+\Big\}.\\ \widetilde{V}_3(p,\varepsilon) \quad &= \quad \Big\{u = \sum_{i=1}^{p} \alpha_i\delta_{(a_i,\lambda_i)} \in \widetilde{V}(p,\varepsilon),\ \text{s. t.}, \exists \text{ at least an index } i,\\ &\quad\quad \text{s. t.}, a_i \notin \bigcup_{y\in\mathcal{K}} V_{\lambda_i}(y)\Big\}.\end{aligned}$$

We define on each subset $\widetilde{V}_j(p,\varepsilon)$, $j = 1, 2, 3$ an appropriate pseudo gradient W_j. The required pseudo gradient W of proposition 3.4 will be defined by a convex

Submitted: December 26, 2022
Accepted: April 26, 2023
Published (early view): August 22, 2024

Revista de la Unión Matemática Argentina Accepted article · Early view version

This peer-reviewed unedited article has been accepted for publication. The final copyedited version may differ in some details. Volume, issue, and page numbers will be assigned at a later stage. Cite using this DOI, which will not change in the final version: https://doi.org/10.33044/revuma.3833.

combination of W_j, $j=1,2,3$.

• Pseudo gradient in $\widetilde{V}_1(p,\varepsilon)$. Let $u=\sum_{i=1}^{p}\alpha_i\delta_{(a_i,\lambda_i)}\in\widetilde{V}_1(p,\varepsilon)$. We define

$$W_1(u)=\sum_{i=1}^{p}\alpha_i\lambda_i\frac{\partial\delta_{(a_i,\lambda_i)}}{\partial\lambda_i}.$$

Along $W_1(u)$, all the parameters λ_i, $i=1,\dots,p$, increase according the differential $\dot{\lambda_i}=\lambda_i$. Using the asymptotic expansion of Proposition 3.2, we have,

$$\Big\langle\partial J(u),W_1(u)\Big\rangle\leq -c\sum_{i=1}^{p}\begin{cases}\dfrac{1}{\lambda_i^{\beta^*(y_i)}}, \text{ if } \beta(y_i)\neq n\\[2ex] \dfrac{\log\lambda_i}{\lambda_i^{\beta(y_i)}}, \text{ if } \beta(y_i)=n\end{cases}+\sum_{j\neq i}O(\varepsilon_{ij}), \tag{3.4}$$

since $-\sum_{k=1}^{n}b_k(y_i)>0$, $\forall i=1,\dots,p$.

Claim 1. For any $i\neq j$ we have

$$\varepsilon_{ij}=o\Big(\frac{1}{\lambda_i^{\beta^*(y_i)}}\Big)+o\Big(\frac{1}{\lambda_j^{\beta^*(y_j)}}\Big), \text{ as } \varepsilon\to 0.$$

Indeed, since $y_i\neq y_j$, $\forall i\neq j$, we have

$$\varepsilon_{ij}\leq\frac{c}{\big(\lambda_i\lambda_j\big)^{\frac{n-2\sigma}{2}}}$$

Let γ_1 be a small positive constant. If $\lambda_j^{\frac{n-2\sigma}{2}}\geq\varepsilon^{-\gamma_1}\lambda_i^{\beta^*(y_i)-\frac{n-2\sigma}{2}}$, then

$$\varepsilon_{ij}\leq\frac{c\,\varepsilon^{\gamma_1}}{\lambda_i^{\beta^*(y_i)}}.$$

It follows that $\varepsilon_{ij}=o(\frac{1}{\lambda_i^{\beta^*(y_i)}})$, as $\varepsilon\to 0$.

If $\lambda_j^{\frac{n-2\sigma}{2}}\leq\varepsilon^{-\gamma_1}\lambda_i^{\beta^*(y_i)-\frac{n-2\sigma}{2}}$, then for $\gamma_1<\frac{n-2\sigma}{2}$ the exponent $\beta^*(y_i)-\frac{n-2\sigma}{2}$ is positive, (if not the parameter λ_j will be less than ε^{-1}). It follows that

$$\frac{n-2\sigma}{\lambda_j^{2\beta^*(y_i)-(n-2\sigma)}}\leq\frac{-2\gamma_1}{\varepsilon^{2\beta^*(y_i)-(n-2\sigma)}}\ \lambda_i.$$

Therefore,

$$\frac{1}{\lambda_i^{\frac{n-2\sigma}{2}}}\leq\varepsilon^{-\frac{(n-2\sigma)\gamma_1}{2\beta^*(y_i)-(n-2\sigma)}}\ \lambda_j^{-\frac{(n-2\sigma)^2}{4\beta^*(y_i)-2(n-2\sigma)}}.$$

Thus,

$$\varepsilon_{ij}\leq c\,\varepsilon^{-\frac{(n-2\sigma)\gamma_1}{2\beta^*(y_i)-(n-2\sigma)}}\ \lambda_j^{-\frac{n-2\sigma}{2}\Big(1+\frac{n-2\sigma}{2\beta^*(y_i)-(n-2\sigma)}\Big)}$$

Submitted: December 26, 2022
Accepted: April 26, 2023
Published (early view): August 22, 2024

Revista de la Unión Matemática Argentina Accepted article · Early view version

This peer-reviewed unedited article has been accepted for publication. The final copyedited version may differ in some details. Volume, issue, and page numbers will be assigned at a later stage. Cite using this DOI, which will not change in the final version: https://doi.org/10.33044/revuma.3833.

Using the fact that $\dfrac{1}{\beta^*(y_i)}+\dfrac{1}{\beta^*(y_j)}>\dfrac{2}{n-2\sigma}$, we get

$$\varepsilon_{ij}\le c\,\varepsilon^{-\frac{(n-2\sigma)\gamma_1}{2\beta^*(y_i)-(n-2\sigma)}}\,\lambda_j^{-\beta^*(y_j)-\frac{(n-2\sigma)}{2\beta^*(y_i)-(n-2\sigma)}\left(\beta^*(y_i)+\beta^*(y_j)-2\frac{\beta^*(y_i)\,\beta^*(y_j)}{n-2\sigma}\right)}.$$

Using also the fact that $\lambda_j>\varepsilon^{-1}$, we obtain that

$$\varepsilon_{ij}\le c\,\varepsilon^{\frac{n-2\sigma}{2\beta^*(y_i)-(n-2\sigma)}\left(\beta^*(y_i)+\beta^*(y_j)-\frac{2\beta^*(y_i)\,\beta^*(y_j)}{n-2\sigma}-\gamma_1\right)}\,\frac{1}{\lambda_j^{\beta^*(y_j)}}.$$

Thus for $\gamma_1<\beta^*(y_i)+\beta^*(y_j)-2\frac{\beta^*(y_i)\,\beta^*(y_j)}{n-2\sigma}$, $\varepsilon_{ij}=o\Big(\dfrac{1}{\lambda_j^{\beta^*(y_j)}}\Big)$, as $\varepsilon\to 0$. This conclude the justification of Claim 1.
Using now the result of Claim 1. and inequality (3.4), we get

$$\Big\langle \partial J(u),W_1(u)\Big\rangle\le -c\left(\sum_{i=1}^{p}\frac{1}{\lambda_i^{\beta^*(y_i)}}+\sum_{i\neq j}\varepsilon_{ij}\right).\tag{3.5}$$

Using $(f)_\beta$ expansion, we have

$$\big|\nabla K(a_i)\big|\sim\big|a_i-y_i\big|^{\beta(y_i)-1}.\tag{3.6}$$

Therefore in $\widetilde{V}_1(p,\varepsilon)$, we have

$$\frac{\big|\nabla K(a_i)\big|}{\lambda_i}\le\frac{c}{\lambda_i^{\beta^*(y_i)}}.$$

It follows from (3.5) that

$$\Big\langle \partial J(u),W_1(u)\Big\rangle\le -c\left(\sum_{i=1}^{p}\Big(\frac{1}{\lambda_i^{\beta^*(y_i)}}+\frac{\big|\nabla K(a_i)\big|}{\lambda_i}\Big)+\sum_{i\neq j}\varepsilon_{ij}\right).$$

• Pseudo gradient in $\widetilde{V}_2(p,\varepsilon)$. Let $u=\sum_{i=1}^{p}\alpha_i\delta_{(a_i,\lambda_i)}\in\widetilde{V}_2(p,\varepsilon)$. Denote

$$I=\Big\{i,\ 1\le i\le p,\ \text{s. t. } y_i\notin\mathcal{K}^+\Big\}.$$

We define,

$$Z_I(u)=-\sum_{i\in I}\alpha_i\lambda_i\frac{\partial\delta_{(a_i,\lambda_i)}}{\partial\lambda_i}.$$

Observe that along Z_I, all the parameters λ_i, $i\in I$ decrease according to the differential equation $\dot{\lambda}_i=-\lambda_i$. Using the expansion of Proposition 3.2 and the fact that $-\sum_{k=1}^{n}b_k(y_i)<0,\forall i\in I$, we have

Submitted: December 26, 2022
Accepted: April 26, 2023
Published (early view): August 22, 2024

Revista de la Unión Matemática Argentina Accepted article · Early view version

This peer-reviewed unedited article has been accepted for publication. The final copyedited version may differ in some details. Volume, issue, and page numbers will be assigned at a later stage. Cite using this DOI, which will not change in the final version: https://doi.org/10.33044/revuma.3833.

$$\Big\langle \partial J(u), Z_I(u)\Big\rangle \leq -c\sum_{i\in I}\begin{cases}\dfrac{1}{\lambda_i^{\beta^*(y_i)}}, & \text{if } \beta(y_i)\neq n\\[2ex] \dfrac{\log\lambda_i}{\lambda_i^{\beta^*(y_i)}}, & \text{if } \beta(y_i)= n\end{cases} + \sum_{i\neq j}O(\varepsilon_{ij}).$$

Using Claim 1, the above inequality can be improved as follows

$$\Big\langle \partial J(u), Z_I(u)\Big\rangle \leq -c\sum_{i\in I}\frac{1}{\lambda_i^{\beta^*(y_i)}} + \sum_{i\notin I} o\Big(\frac{1}{\lambda_i^{\beta^*(y_i)}}\Big).$$

Let us denote i_1 an index in I such that $\lambda_{i_1}^{\beta^*(y_{i_1})} = \min_{i\in I}\lambda_i^{\beta^*(y_i)}$. We set

$$\widetilde{I} = \Big\{ i,\ 1\leq i\leq p,\ \text{ s. t. } \lambda_i^{\beta^*(y_i)} \geq \frac{1}{2}\lambda_{i_1}^{\beta^*(y_{i_1})}\Big\}.$$

We have $I\subset\widetilde{I}$ and the preceding inequality is reduced to

$$\Big\langle \partial J(u), Z_I(u)\Big\rangle \leq -c\sum_{i\in \widetilde{I}}\frac{1}{\lambda_i^{\beta^*(y_i)}} + \sum_{i\notin \widetilde{I}} o\Big(\frac{1}{\lambda_i^{\beta^*(y_i)}}\Big).$$

To get inequality (i) of Proposition 3.2, we define

$$V_{\widetilde{I}}(u) = \sum_{i\notin\widetilde{I}}\alpha_i\lambda_i\frac{\partial\delta_{(a_i,\lambda_i)}}{\partial\lambda_i}.$$

According $V_{\widetilde{I}}(u)$, λ_i increases, $\forall i\notin\widetilde{I}$, but does not exceed $\Big(\frac{1}{2}\lambda_{i_1}^{\beta^*(y_{i_1})}\Big)^{\frac{1}{\beta^*(y_i)}}$.

Using the fact that $-\sum_{k=1}^{n} b_k(y_i) > 0, \forall i\notin I$, we get by Proposition 3.2

$$\Big\langle \partial J(u), V_{\widetilde{I}}(u)\Big\rangle \leq -c\sum_{i\notin \widetilde{I}}\frac{1}{\lambda_i^{\beta^*(y_i)}} + \sum_{i\in \widetilde{I}} o\Big(\frac{1}{\lambda_i^{\beta^*(y_i)}}\Big).$$

Let

$$W_2(u) = Z_I(u) + V_{\widetilde{I}}(u).$$

Using the above two inequalities, relation (3.6) and Claim 1,

$$\Big\langle \partial J(u), W_2(u)\Big\rangle \leq -c\Bigg(\sum_{i=1}^{p}\Big(\frac{1}{\lambda_i^{\beta^*(y_i)}} + \frac{\big|\nabla K(a_i)\big|}{\lambda_i}\Big) + \sum_{i\neq j}\varepsilon_{ij}\Bigg).$$

• Pseudo gradient in $\widetilde{V}_3(p,\varepsilon)$. Let $u=\sum_{i=1}^{p}\alpha_i\delta_{(a_i,\lambda_i)}\in\widetilde{V}_3(p,\varepsilon)$. We set

$$I = \Big\{ i,\ 1\leq i\leq p,\ \text{ s. t. } \big|a_i-y_i\big|^{\beta(y_i)} > \frac{\gamma_0}{2\lambda_i^{\beta^*(y_i)}}\Big\}.$$

We introduce the following Lemma that we will prove in the appendix of this paper.

Submitted: December 26, 2022
Accepted: April 26, 2023
Published (early view): August 22, 2024

Revista de la Unión Matemática Argentina Accepted article · Early view version

This peer-reviewed unedited article has been accepted for publication. The final copyedited version may differ in some details. Volume, issue, and page numbers will be assigned at a later stage. Cite using this DOI, which will not change in the final version: https://doi.org/10.33044/revuma.3833.

Lemma 3.6. *For any $i \in I$ there exists a bounded vector field $X_i(u)$ which acts only on the parameter a_i of u and satisfies*

$$\Big\langle \partial J(u), X_i(u) \Big\rangle \leq -c\Big(\frac{1}{\lambda_i^{\beta(y_i)}} + \frac{|\nabla K(a_i)|}{\lambda_i} \Big) + \sum_{i \neq j} O(\varepsilon_{ij}).$$

Using the result of Lemma 3.6, we have

$$\Big\langle \partial J(u), \sum_{i\in I} X_i(u) \Big\rangle \leq -c \sum_{i\in I} \Big(\frac{1}{\lambda_i^{\beta^*(y_i)}} + \frac{|\nabla K(a_i)|}{\lambda_i} \Big) + \sum_{\substack{j\neq i\\ i\in I}} O(\varepsilon_{ij}).$$

Denote i_1 an index of I such that

$$\lambda_{i_1}^{\beta^*(y_{i_1})} = \min_{i\in I} \lambda_i^{\beta^*(y_i)}.$$

Setting

$$\widetilde{I} = \Big\{ i,\ 1 \leq i \leq p, \text{ s. t. } \lambda_i^{\beta^*(y_i)} \geq \frac{1}{2} \lambda_{i_1}^{\beta^*(y_{i_1})} \Big\}.$$

The above inequality can improved as follows

$$\Big\langle \partial J(u), \sum_{i\in I} X_i(u) \Big\rangle \leq -c \sum_{i\in \widetilde{I}} \Big(\frac{1}{\lambda_i^{\beta^*(y_i)}} + \frac{|\nabla K(a_i)|}{\lambda_i} \Big) + \sum_{\substack{j\neq i\\ i\in I}} O(\varepsilon_{ij}).$$

Using the result of Claim 1, we obtain that

$$\Big\langle \partial J(u), \sum_{i\in I} X_i(u) \Big\rangle \leq -c \sum_{i\in \widetilde{I}} \Big(\frac{1}{\lambda_i^{\beta^*(y_i)}} + \frac{|\nabla K(a_i)|}{\lambda_i} \Big) + \sum_{i\notin \widetilde{I}} o\Big(\frac{1}{\lambda_i^{\beta^*(y_i)}} \Big).$$

Now denote $\widehat{u} = \sum_{i\notin \widetilde{I}} \alpha_i \delta_{(a_i,\lambda_i)}$. Of course $\widehat{u}$ belongs to $\widetilde{V}_1(q,\varepsilon)$ or $\widetilde{V}_2(q,\varepsilon)$, where $q = \#\widetilde{I}^c$. Denote $Y(\widehat{u})$ the corresponding vector field defined in the above two regions $\big(Y(\widehat{u}) = W_1(\widehat{u})\big)$ or $\big(Y(\widehat{u}) = W_2(\widehat{u})\big)$. According to $Y(\widehat{u})$, the parameters λ_i, $i \notin \widetilde{I}$ can increase but it does not exceed $\Big(\frac{1}{2}\lambda_{i_1}^{\beta^*(y_{i_1})}\Big)^{\frac{1}{\beta^*(y_{i_1})}}$. Moreover, we have

$$\Big\langle \partial J(u), Y(\widehat{u}) \Big\rangle \leq -c \sum_{i\notin \widetilde{I}} \Big(\frac{1}{\lambda_i^{\beta^*(y_i)}} + \frac{|\nabla K(a_i)|}{\lambda_i} \Big) + \sum_{\substack{j\neq i\\ i\notin \widetilde{I}}} O(\varepsilon_{ij}).$$

Let

$$W_3(u) = \sum_{i\in I} X_i(u) + Y(\widehat{u}).$$

Using Claim 1 and the above two inequalities, we have

$$\Big\langle \partial J(u), W_3(u) \Big\rangle \leq -c \Bigg(\sum_{i=1}^{p} \Big(\frac{1}{\lambda_i^{\beta^*(y_i)}} + \frac{|\nabla K(a_i)|}{\lambda_i} \Big) + \sum_{i\neq j} \varepsilon_{ij} \Bigg).$$

The required pseudo gradient W of Proposition 3.4 is defined by a convex combination of W_1, W_2 and W_3. By construction W satisfies the properties (i) and

Submitted: December 26, 2022
Accepted: April 26, 2023
Published (early view): August 22, 2024

Revista de la Unión Matemática Argentina Accepted article · Early view version

This peer-reviewed unedited article has been accepted for publication. The final copyedited version may differ in some details. Volume, issue, and page numbers will be assigned at a later stage. Cite using this DOI, which will not change in the final version: https://doi.org/10.33044/revuma.3833.

(iii) of Proposition 3.4. Concerning (ii) it follows from (i) and the estimate of $\|\overline{v}\|$ given in Proposition 2.1. This finishes the proof of Proposition 3.4. □

Proof of Theorem 3.1 It results from the construction of Proposition 3.4 and Proposition 3.3. □

Proof of Theorem 1.1 It follows from Proposition 2.1 that under the assumption that J has no critical point in Σ^+, the existence of a positive constant c such that

$$\big|\partial J(u)\big| \geq c, \ \forall u \in \bigcup_{p\geq 1} V(p, \frac{\varepsilon}{2}). \tag{3.7}$$

Let $\widetilde{W}$ be a vector field defined by a convex combination of $(-\partial J)$ in $\bigcup_{p\geq 1} V(p, \frac{\varepsilon}{2})$ and W in $\bigcup_{p\geq 1} V(p,\varepsilon)$. Here W is the pseudo gradient defined in Theorem 3.1. It results from (3.7) that for any $u_0 \in \Sigma^+$ there exists $p = p(u_0)$ and $\varepsilon(s) \searrow_0$ such that the motion $u(s, u_0)$ of $\widetilde{W}$ starting from u_0 ties in $V(p, \varepsilon(s))$ for any $s \geq s_0$. Therefore $u(s, u_0)$ can be expressed as

$$u(s,u_0) = \sum_{i=1}^{p} \alpha_i(s)\delta_{(a_i(s),\lambda_i(s))} + \overline{v}(s), \forall s \geq s_0.$$

Using the properties of W given in Theorem 3.1, the flow line $u(s, u_0)$ stays in $\widetilde{V}_1(p, \varepsilon(s))$ for any s large. Thus for any i, $1 \leq i \leq p$ there exists $y_i \in \mathcal{K}^+$ such that

$$\big|a_i(s) - y_i\big|^{\beta(y_i)} \leq \frac{\gamma_0}{\lambda_i(s)^{\beta^*(y_i)}}$$

with $y_i \neq y_j$, $\forall 1 \leq i \neq j \leq p$. Using the fact that $\lambda_i(s) > \varepsilon^{-1}(s)$, $a_i(s) \to y_i$, $\forall i = 1, \ldots, p$. This ends the characterization of the critical points at infinity of J. Wear each critical point at infinity $\sum_{i=1}^{p} \frac{1}{K(y_i)^{\frac{n-2\sigma}{n}}}\delta_{(y_i,\infty)}$, the functional J can be extended as

$$\begin{aligned} J\Big(\sum_{i=1}^{p}\alpha_i\delta_{(a_i,\lambda_i)} + \overline{v}\Big) &= \Big(\sum_{i=1}^{p}\frac{S_n}{K(y_i)^{\frac{n-2\sigma}{2}}}\Big)^{\frac{2\sigma}{n}}\Big(1 - \sum_{i=1}^{p}\Big(\sum_{k=1}^{p} b_k(y_i)\big|(a_i - y_i)_k\big|^{\beta(y_i)}\Big) \\ &- |H|^2 + \sum_{i=1}^{p}\frac{1}{\lambda_i^{\beta^*(y_i)}}\Big). \end{aligned} \tag{3.8}$$

Here $H \in \mathbb{R}^{p-1}$ and S_n is the best constant of Sobolev. Under the assumption that $b_k(y_i) \neq 0$, $\forall k, \ldots, n$, the index of such a critical point at infinity equals to $i(y_1, \ldots, y_p)_\infty = p - 1 + \sum_{l=1}^{p}(n - i(y_l))$. The proof of Theorem 1.1 is thereby completed. □

4. Proof of the existence results

We shall prove the existence results of this paper by contradiction. Therefore throughout this section we assume that the variational functional J has no positive critical point.

Proof of Theorem 1.2 Under the assumptions of Theorem 1.2, $\theta(N_{k_0}^\infty)$ defines a contraction of $N_{k_0}^\infty$ of dimension k_0+1. Let $\widetilde{W}$ be the pseudo gradient defined in the

Submitted: December 26, 2022
Accepted: April 26, 2023
Published (early view): August 22, 2024

Revista de la Unión Matemática Argentina Accepted article · Early view version
This peer-reviewed unedited article has been accepted for publication. The final copyedited version may differ in some details. Volume, issue, and page numbers will be assigned at a later stage. Cite using this DOI, which will not change in the final version: https://doi.org/10.33044/revuma.3833.

proof of Theorem 1.1. We use $\widetilde{W}$ to deform $\theta(N_{k_0}^\infty)$. Since we have supposed that J has no critical point in Σ^+, $\theta(N_{k_0}^\infty)$ retracts by deformation $(:\simeq)$ on a union of the unstable manifolds of critical points at infinity. By transversality and dimension arguments, we may suppose that the deformation avoids the unstable manifolds of the critical points at infinity of indices larger or equal to k_0+2. Thus using the characterization of the critical points at infinity given by Theorem 1.1 we have

$$\begin{aligned}\theta(N_{k_0}^\infty) &\simeq \bigcup_{\substack{(y_1,\dots,y_p)_\infty\in C^\infty_{\leq k_0+1}\\ W_s^\infty(y_1,\dots,y_p)_\infty\cap\theta(N_{k_0}^\infty)\neq\emptyset}} W_u^\infty(y_1,\dots,y_p)_\infty\\ &= N_{k_0}^\infty\bigcup\Big[\bigcup_{\substack{(y_1,\dots,y_p)_\infty\in C^\infty_{\leq k_0+1}\setminus N_{k_0}^\infty\\ W_s^\infty(y_1,\dots,y_p)_\infty\cap\theta(N_{k_0}^\infty)\neq\emptyset}} W_u^\infty(y_1,\dots,y_p)_\infty\Big].\end{aligned}$$

Under the assumption (b) of Theorem 1.2, the above retract by deformation will be reduced to

$$\theta(N_{k_0}^\infty)\simeq N_{k_0}^\infty.$$

Using the Euler-characteristic of the both sides of the above retracts, we get

$$1=\sum_{(y_1,\dots,y_p)_\infty\in N_{k_0}^\infty}(-1)^{i(y_1,\dots,y_p)_\infty}.$$

This contradicts assumption (a) of Theorem 1.2. □

Proof of Theorem 1.3 Just check the conditions of Theorem 1.2 under the assumptions of Theorem 1.3. Let k_0 be the integer satisfying conditions (a') and (b') of Theorem 1.3. We work with

$$N_{k_0}^\infty=\Big\{(y)_\infty=\frac{1}{K(y)^{\frac{n-2\sigma}{n}}}\delta_{(y,\infty)},\ \text{s. t. } y\in\mathcal{K}^+,\ \text{and } i(y)_\infty\leq k_0\Big\},$$

and

$$W_u^\infty(N_{k_0}^\infty)=\bigcup_{\substack{y\in\mathcal{K}^+\\ i(y)_\infty\leq k_0}}W_u^\infty(y)_\infty.$$

It is easy to see that condition (a') implies condition (a) of Theorem 1.2. In order to complete the proof, it remains only to construct a contraction $\theta\big(W_u^\infty(N_{k_0}^\infty)\big)$ of $W_u^\infty(N_{k_0}^\infty)$ satisfying condition (b) of Theorem 1.2.
Recall that from expansion (3.8) the critical value at infinity $C_\infty(y_1,\dots,y_p)_\infty$ of a critical point at infinity $(y_1,\dots,y_p)_\infty$, $p\geq 1$, equals to

$$C_\infty(y_1,\dots,y_p)_\infty=S_n^{\frac{2}{n}}\Big(\sum_{i=1}^p\frac{1}{K(y_i)^{\frac{n-2\sigma}{n}}}\Big)^{\frac{2}{n}} \tag{4.1}$$

Let $K(z_0)=\min_{S^n}K(x)$ and $K(y_0)=\max_{S^n}K(x)$. It is easy to check that

$$C_\infty(y)<\frac{S_n^{\frac{2}{n}}}{K(z_0)^{\frac{n-2\sigma}{n}}},\ \forall y\in\mathcal{K}^+, \tag{4.2}$$

Submitted: December 26, 2022
Accepted: April 26, 2023
Published (early view): August 22, 2024

Revista de la Unión Matemática Argentina Accepted article · Early view version
This peer-reviewed unedited article has been accepted for publication. The final copyedited version may differ in some details. Volume, issue, and page numbers will be assigned at a later stage. Cite using this DOI, which will not change in the final version: https://doi.org/10.33044/revuma.3833.

moreover, for any $p \geq 2$ we have

$$C_\infty(y_1, \dots, y_p)_\infty \geq \frac{(2S_n)^{\frac{2}{n}}}{K(y_0)^{\frac{n-2\sigma}{n}}}. \tag{4.3}$$

Let,

$$C_1 = \frac{S_n^{\frac{2}{n}}}{K(z_0)^{\frac{n-2\sigma}{n}}}.$$

Since J decreases along the flow lines of $(-\partial J)$, we obtain from (4.2) that

$$J(u) \leq C_1, \, \forall u \in W_u^\infty(N_{k_0}^\infty),$$

and therefore $W_u^\infty(N_{k_0}^\infty) \subset J_{C_1}$, where $J_c = \{u \in \Sigma^+, J(u) \leq c\}$.
Denote J^1 the variation functional associated to the problem where the prescribed functionals equals to 1. An easy computation shows that

$$\frac{1}{K(y_0)^{\frac{n-2\sigma}{n}}} J^1(u) \leq J(u) \leq \frac{1}{K(z_0)^{\frac{n-2\sigma}{n}}} J^1(u), \, \forall u \in \Sigma. \tag{4.4}$$

We obtain that,

$$J_{C_1} \subset J^1_{K(y_0)^{\frac{n-2\sigma}{n}} C_1} \subset J_{\left(\frac{K(y_0)}{K(z_0)}\right)^{\frac{n-2\sigma}{n}} C_1}. \tag{4.5}$$

Observe that, under the assumption $\frac{K(y_0)}{K(z_0)} < 2^{\frac{1}{n-2\sigma}}$, we have

$$\left(\frac{K(y_0)}{K(z_0)}\right)^{\frac{n-2\sigma}{n}} C_1 < \frac{(2S_n)^{\frac{2}{n}}}{K(y_0)^{\frac{n-2\sigma}{n}}},$$

and therefore by (4.2) and (4.3), J has no critical point at infinity between the levels C_1 and $(\frac{K(y_0)}{K(z_0)})^{\frac{n-2\sigma}{n}} C_1$. Using a retract by deformation Lemma, we have

$$J_{\left(\frac{K(y_0)}{K(z_0)}\right)^{\frac{n-2\sigma}{n}}} \simeq J_{C_1}.$$

It results from (4.5) that

$$J^1_{K(y_0)^{\frac{n-2\sigma}{n}} C_1} \simeq J_{C_1}.$$

Note that $J^1_{K(y_0)^{\frac{n-2\sigma}{n}} C_1}$ is a contractible set. It then follows from the above retract by deformation that J_{C_1} is a contractible set. Using the fact that $W_u^\infty(N_{k_0}^\infty)$ is included in J_{C_1}, there exists at least a contraction $\theta\Big(W_u^\infty(N_{k_0}^\infty)\Big)$ of $W_u^\infty(N_{k_0}^\infty)$ in J_{C_1}. Using (4.3) and assumption (b') of Theorem 1.3, the following holds:

$$\theta\Big(W_u^\infty(N_{k_0}^\infty)\Big) \cap W_s^\infty(y_1, \dots, y_p)_\infty = \emptyset, \, \forall (y_1, \dots, y_p)_\infty \in C_{k_0+1}^\infty \setminus N_{k_0}^\infty.$$

Condition (b) is valid and Theorem 1.2 applies. □

Proof of Theorem 1.5 Let $C_2 = \frac{(2S_n)^{\frac{2}{n}}}{K(z_0)^{\frac{n-2\sigma}{n}}}$. It follows (4.1) that for any $y_i \neq y_j \in \mathcal{K}^+$, we have

$$C_\infty(y_i, y_j) < C_2 \tag{4.6}$$

Submitted: December 26, 2022
Accepted: April 26, 2023
Published (early view): August 22, 2024

Revista de la Unión Matemática Argentina Accepted article · Early view version
This peer-reviewed unedited article has been accepted for publication. The final copyedited version may differ in some details. Volume, issue, and page numbers will be assigned at a later stage. Cite using this DOI, which will not change in the final version: https://doi.org/10.33044/revuma.3833.

Moreover, we derive from (4.4) that

$$J_{C_2} \subset J^1_{K(y_0)^{\frac{n-2\sigma}{n}} C_2} \subset J_{\left(\frac{K(y_0)}{K(z_0)}\right)^{\frac{n-2\sigma}{n}} C_2}. \tag{4.7}$$

Observe that under the assumption $\frac{K(y_0)}{K(z_0)} < (\frac{3}{2})^{\frac{1}{n-2\sigma}}$, we have

$$\Big(\frac{K(y_0)}{K(z_0)}\Big)^{\frac{n-2\sigma}{n}} C_2 < \frac{(3S_n)^{\frac{2}{n}}}{K(y_0)^{\frac{n-2\sigma}{n}}} \leq C_\infty(y_1,\dots,y_p)_\infty, \ \forall p \geq 3. \tag{4.8}$$

It follows from (4.6) and (4.8) that J has no critical points at infinity between the levels C_2 and $(\frac{K(y_0)}{K(z_0)})^{\frac{n-2\sigma}{n}} C_2$, and therefore,

$$J_{\left(\frac{K(y_0)}{K(z_0)}\right)^{\frac{n-2\sigma}{n}} C_2} \simeq J_{C_2}. \tag{4.9}$$

We then derive from (4.7) that J_{C_2} is a contractible space, since from (4.9) J_{C_2} is a strong retract by deformation of $J^1_{K(y_0)^{\frac{n-2\sigma}{n}} C_2}$ and this later is contractible.
Let χ be the Euler-Poincaré characteristic. It follows from (4.2), (4.3) and (4.8) that

$$1 = \chi(J_{C_2}) = \chi(J_{C_1}) + \sum_{y_i \neq y_j \in \mathcal{K}^+} (-1)^{1+2n-\left(\tilde{i}(y_i)+\tilde{i}(y_j)\right)}.$$

This implies that

$$\sum_{y_i \neq y_j \in \mathcal{K}^+} (-1)^{1+2n-\left(\tilde{i}(y_i)+\tilde{i}(y_j)\right)} = 0.$$

Since $\chi(J_{C_1}) = 1$. Using the computation of ([29], p16) we get

$$\#\mathcal{K}^+ = 1 \text{ and thus } \mathcal{K}^+ \setminus \{y_0\} = \emptyset.$$

This yields a contradiction with the assumption of Theorem 1.5. □

5. Appendix

Proof of Lemma 3.6 Let $i \in I$. We distinguish two cases.
• Case 1. $\beta(y_i) > n$. In this case we define

$$X_i(u) = \psi_{\gamma_0}\Big(\lambda_i^{\beta^*(y_i)}|a_i - y_i|^{\beta(y_i)}\Big) \sum_{k=1}^{n} \frac{b_k(y_i)}{\lambda_i} sign(a_i - y_i)_k \frac{|(a_i-y_i)_k|^{\beta(y_i)-1}}{|a_i - y_i|^{\beta(y_i)-1}} \frac{\partial \delta_{(a_i,\lambda_i)}}{\partial (a_i)_k},$$

where, ψ_{γ_0} is a cut off function such that

$$\psi_{\gamma_0}(t) = 1, \text{ if } t > \tfrac{\gamma_0}{4} \text{ and } \psi_{\gamma_0}(t) = 0, \text{ if } t < \tfrac{\gamma_0}{8}.$$

Using the expansion of Proposition 3.3, we have

$$\begin{aligned} \Big\langle \partial J(u), X_i(u) \Big\rangle \ &\leq \ -c\sum_{k=1}^{n} \frac{\big|(a_i-y_i)_k\big|^{\beta(y_i)-1}}{\lambda_i} + \sum_{l=2}^{[\beta^*(y_i)]} O\Big(\frac{\big|a_i - y_i\big|^{\beta(y_i)-l}}{\lambda_i^l}\Big) \\ &+ \ o\big(\frac{1}{\lambda_i^\gamma}\big) + \sum_{j\neq i} O(\varepsilon_{ij}). \end{aligned} \tag{5.1}$$

Submitted: December 26, 2022
Accepted: April 26, 2023
Published (early view): August 22, 2024

Revista de la Unión Matemática Argentina Accepted article · Early view version

This peer-reviewed unedited article has been accepted for publication. The final copyedited version may differ in some details. Volume, issue, and page numbers will be assigned at a later stage. Cite using this DOI, which will not change in the final version: https://doi.org/10.33044/revuma.3833.

Here γ is any constant such that $n < \gamma < \min(n+1, \beta(y_i))$. We claim that:
For any $l = 2, \dots, n$ we have

$$\frac{\big|a_i - y_i\big|^{\beta(y_i)-l}}{\lambda_i^l} = o\Big(\frac{\big|a_i - y_i\big|^{\beta(y_i)-1}}{\lambda_i}\Big), \text{ as } \varepsilon \to 0. \tag{5.2}$$

Moreover,

$$\text{for } \gamma \in \big(\frac{n(\beta-1)}{\beta}+1, \min(\beta, n+1)\big), \text{ we have } \frac{1}{\lambda_i^\gamma} = o\Big(\frac{\big|a_i - y_i\big|^{\beta(y_i)-1}}{\lambda_i}\Big), \text{ as } \varepsilon \to 0. \tag{5.3}$$

Indeed,

$$\frac{\big|a_i - y_i\big|^{\beta(y_i)-l}}{\lambda_i^l} \frac{\lambda_i}{\big|a_i - y_i\big|^{\beta(y_i)-1}} = \frac{1}{(\lambda_i\big|a_i - y_i\big|)^{l-1}}.$$

Using the fact that $i \in I$, we get

$$\frac{1}{\big|a_i - y_i\big|^{\beta(y_i)}} \le \frac{2}{\gamma_0}\lambda_i^{\beta^*(y_i)},$$

so that

$$\frac{1}{\big|a_i - y_i\big|} \le (\frac{2}{\gamma_0})^{\frac{1}{\beta(y_i)}} \lambda_i^{\frac{\beta^*(y_i)}{\beta(y_i)}}.$$

Thus

$$\begin{aligned} \frac{1}{(\lambda_i\big|a_i - y_i\big|)^{l-1}} &\le \frac{1}{\lambda_i^{l-1}}(\frac{2}{\gamma_0})^{\frac{l-1}{\beta(y_i)}} \lambda_i^{\frac{\beta^*(y_i)}{\beta(y_i)}(l-1)}. \\ &\le (\frac{2}{\gamma_0})^{\frac{l-1}{\beta(y_i)}} \frac{1}{\lambda_i^{(l-1)(1-\frac{\beta^*(y_i)}{\beta(y_i)})}} \longrightarrow 0, \text{ as } \varepsilon \to 0, \end{aligned}$$

since $\beta^*(y_i) = n$ and $\beta(y_i) > n$. Estimate (5.2) follows.
Now for $\gamma \in \big(\frac{n(\beta(y_i)-1)}{\beta(y_i)} + 1, \min(\beta(y_i), n+1)\big)$, we have

$$\begin{aligned} \frac{1}{\lambda_i^\gamma} \frac{\lambda_i}{\big|a_i - y_i\big|^{\beta(y_i)-1}} &= \frac{1}{\lambda_i^{\gamma-1}} \frac{1}{\big|a_i - y_i\big|^{\beta(y_i)-1}} \\ &\le \frac{1}{\lambda_i^{\gamma-1}}(\frac{2}{\gamma_0})^{\frac{\beta(y_i)-1}{\beta(y_i)}} \lambda_i^{\frac{\beta^*(y_i)}{\beta(y_i)}(\beta(y_i)-1)} \\ &\le (\frac{2}{\gamma_0})^{\frac{\beta(y_i)-1}{\beta(y_i)}} \frac{1}{\lambda_i^{(\gamma-1)-(\beta(y_i)-1)\frac{\beta^*(y_i)}{\beta(y_i)}}} \longrightarrow 0, \text{ as } \varepsilon \to 0, \end{aligned}$$

since $\gamma > \dfrac{n(\beta(y_i)-1)}{\beta(y_i)} + 1$. Claim (5.3) follows. Using (5.2) and (5.3), we get from (5.1)

$$\Big\langle \partial J(u), X_i(u) \Big\rangle \le -c\sum_{k=1}^{n} \frac{\big|(a_i - y_i)_k\big|^{\beta(y_i)-1}}{\lambda_i} + \sum_{j \ne i} O(\varepsilon_{ij}).$$

Submitted: December 26, 2022
Accepted: April 26, 2023
Published (early view): August 22, 2024

Revista de la Unión Matemática Argentina Accepted article · Early view version

This peer-reviewed unedited article has been accepted for publication. The final copyedited version may differ in some details. Volume, issue, and page numbers will be assigned at a later stage. Cite using this DOI, which will not change in the final version: https://doi.org/10.33044/revuma.3833.

Using the fact that

$$\frac{1}{\lambda_i^{\beta(y_i)}} = o\big(\frac{1}{\lambda_i^{\gamma}}\big) = o\big(\frac{\big|a_i - y_i\big|^{\beta(y_i)-1}}{\lambda_i}\big) \text{ as } \varepsilon \to 0$$

and $|\nabla K(a_i)| \sim \big|a_i - y_i\big|^{\beta(y_i)-1}$, we obtain that

$$\Big\langle \partial J(u), X_i(u)\Big\rangle \leq -c\Big(\frac{1}{\lambda_i^{\beta(y_i)}} + \frac{\big|\nabla K(a_i)\big|}{\lambda_i}\Big) + \sum_{j\neq i} O(\varepsilon_{ij}).$$

Lemma 3.6 follows in this case.

• Case 2. $\beta(y_i) \leq n$. Let M be a positive constant large enough.

If $|a_i - y_i|^{\beta(y_i)} \geq \frac{M}{\lambda_i^{\beta^*(y_i)}}$. We consider in this case the vector field

$$X_i(u) = \psi_M\Big(\lambda_i^{\beta(y_i)}|a_i - y_i|^{\beta(y_i)}\Big) \sum_{k=1}^{n} \frac{b_k(y_i)}{\lambda_i} sign(a_i - y_i)_k \frac{|(a_i - y_i)_k|^{\beta(y_i)-1}}{|a_i - y_i|^{\beta(y_i)-1}} \frac{\partial \delta_{(a_i,\lambda_i)}}{\partial (a_i)_k},$$

where, ψ_M is the cut off function such that

$$\psi_M(t) = 1, \text{ if } t \geq M \text{ and } \psi_M(t) = 0, \text{ if } t \leq \tfrac{M}{2}.$$

Observe that $\langle \partial J(u), X_i(u)\rangle$ satisfies inequality (5.1). Moreover the following holds: For any $l = 2, \dots, p$ we have

$$\frac{\big|a_i - y_i\big|^{\beta(y_i)-l}}{\lambda_i^l} = o\Big(\frac{\big|a_i - y_i\big|^{\beta(y_i)-1}}{\lambda_i}\Big), \text{ as } M \text{ is large}$$

and

$$\frac{1}{\lambda_i^{\beta(y_i)}} = o\Big(\frac{\big|a_i - y_i\big|^{\beta(y_i)-1}}{\lambda_i}\Big), \text{ as } M \text{ is large.}$$

Thus

$$\begin{aligned}\Big\langle \partial J(u), X_i(u)\Big\rangle &\leq -c\sum_{k=1}^{n} \frac{\big|(a_i - y_i)_k\big|^{\beta(y_i)-1}}{\lambda_i} + \sum_{j\neq i} O(\varepsilon_{ij}).\\ &\leq -c\Big(\frac{1}{\lambda_i^{\beta(y_i)}} + \frac{\big|\nabla K(a_i)\big|}{\lambda_i}\Big) + \sum_{j\neq i} O(\varepsilon_{ij}).\end{aligned}$$

If $|a_i - y_i|^{\beta(y_i)} \leq \frac{M}{\lambda_i^{\beta^*(y_i)}}$, we use the vector field

$$X_i(u) = \sum_{k=1}^{n} b_k \int_{\mathbb{R}^n} \frac{\big|t_k + \lambda_i(a_i - y_i)_k\big|^{\beta(y_i)}}{\big(1+|t|^2\big)^{n+1}} dt \frac{\alpha_i}{\lambda_i} \frac{\partial \delta_{(a_i,\lambda_i)}}{\partial (a_i)_k}.$$

Note that X_i is used in ([2], p1293). Using the same computation of ([2], p1307-1308), we get

$$\Big\langle \partial J(u), X_i(u)\Big\rangle \leq -c\Big(\frac{1}{\lambda_i^{\beta(y_i)}} + \frac{\big|\nabla K(a_i)\big|}{\lambda_i}\Big) + \sum_{j\neq i} O(\varepsilon_{ij}).$$

The proof of Lemma 3.6 is thereby completed. □

Submitted: December 26, 2022
Accepted: April 26, 2023
Published (early view): August 22, 2024

Revista de la Unión Matemática Argentina **Accepted article · Early view version**

This peer-reviewed unedited article has been accepted for publication. The final copyedited version may differ in some details. Volume, issue, and page numbers will be assigned at a later stage. Cite using this DOI, which will not change in the final version: https://doi.org/10.33044/revuma.3833.

References

[1] W. Abdelhedi, H. Chtioui, *On a Nirenberg-type problem involving the square root of the Laplacian,* J. Funct. Anal. 265 (2013) 2937-2955.

[2] W.Abdelhedi, H.Chtioui, H. Hajaiej, *A complete study of the lack of compactness and existence results of a fractional Nirenberg equation via a flatness hypothesis: part I,* Anal. PDE 9 (6) (2016) 1285-1315.

[3] K. Abdullah Sharaf, H. Chtioui, *Conformal metrics with prescribed fractional Q-curvatures on the standard n-dimensional sphere, Differential Geometry and its Applications,* 68, (2020) .

[4] A.Alghanemi, W. Abdelhedi, H.Chtioui, *A complete study of the lack of compactness and existence results of a fractional Nirenberg equation via a flatness hypothesis.* Part II. Zh. Mat. Fiz. Anal. Geom. 18 (2022), no. 1, 3–32.

[5] A. Alghanemi and H.Chtioui, *Perturbation Theorems for fractional critical equations on bounded domains.* J. Aust. Math. Soc. 111 (2021), no. 2, 159–178.

[6] A. Alghanemi and H.Chtioui, *On a critical nonlinear problem involving the fractional Laplacian,* Internat. J. Math. 32 (2021), no. 9, Paper No. 2150066, 35 pp.

[7] A. Bahri, *Critical point at infinity in some variational problems,* Pitman Res. Notes Math, Ser 182, Longman Sci. Tech. Harlow 1989.

[8] A. Bahri, *An invariant for yamabe-type flows with applications to scalar curvature problems in high dimensions,* A celebration of J. F. Nash Jr., Duke Math. J. 81 (1996), 323-466.

[9] A. Bahri, J. M. Coron, *The scalar curvature problem on the standard three dimensional spheres,* J. Funct. Anal. 95 (1991), 106-172.

[10] A. Bahri, J. M. Coron, *On a nonlinear elliptic involving the critical Sobolev exponent: the effect of topology of the domain,* Commun. Pure Appl. Math. 41 (1988), 255-294.

[11] R. Ben Mahmoud, H. Chtioui, *Existence results for the prescribed scalar curvature on S^3,* Annales de l'Institut Fourier, 61, (2011), 971-986.

[12] R. Ben Mahmoud, H. Chtioui, *Prescribing the Scalar Curvature Problem on Higher-Dimensional Manifolds,* Discrete and Continuous Dynamical Systems A, 32, Numéro 5 (Mai 2012), 1857-1879.

[13] M. Bhakta, S. Chakraborty and P. Pucci, *Fractional Hardy-Sobolev equations with nonhomogeneous terms*, Adv. Nonlinear Anal. 10 (2021), 1086-1116.

[14] J. Case, S. Y. Chang, *On fractional GJMS operators,* Commun. Pure Appl. Math. 69 (6) (2016) 1017-1061.

[15] A. Chang, M. Gonzalez, *Fractional Laplacian in comformal geometry,* Adv. Math. 266 (2) (2011) 1410-1432.

[16] Y. Chen, C. Liu, Y. Zheng, *Existence results for the fractional Nirenberg problem,* J. Funct. Anal. 270 (11) (2016) 4043-4086.

[17] H. Chtioui, W.Abdelhedi, *On a fractional Nirenberg type problem on the n -dimensional sphere.* Complex Var. Elliptic Equ. 62 (2017), no. 7, 1015–1036.

[18] E. Di Nezza, G. Palatucci and E. Valdinoci, *Hitchhiker's guide to the fractional Sobolev,* Bul. Sci. Math. 136, (2012), 521-573

[19] Z. Djadli, A. Malchiodi and M. Ould Ahmadou, *Prescribing a fourth order conformal invariant on the standard sphere.I: A perturbation result*, Commun.Contemp.Math.4 (2002), 357-405.

[20] Z. Djadli, A. Malchiodi and M. Ould Ahmadou, *Prescribing a fourth order conformal invariant on the standard sphere.II: Blow up analsis and applications*, Ann.Sc.Norm.Super Pisa, (2002), 387-434.

[21] F. Fang, *Infinitely many non-radial sign-changing solutions for a fractional Laplacian equation with critical nonlinearity,* arXiv: 1408-3187 .

[22] C. Fefferman, C. R. Graham, *Juhl's formula for GJMS operators and Q-curvature,* J. Am. Math. Soc. 26 (2013) 1191-1207.

[23] C. R. Graham, R. Jenne, L. J. Mason, G. A. J. Sparling, *Conformally invariant powers of the Laplacian I. Existence,* J. Lond. Math. Soc. 46 (1992) 557-565.

Submitted: December 26, 2022
Accepted: April 26, 2023
Published (early view): August 22, 2024

Revista de la Unión Matemática Argentina **Accepted article · Early view version**

This peer-reviewed unedited article has been accepted for publication. The final copyedited version may differ in some details. Volume, issue, and page numbers will be assigned at a later stage. Cite using this DOI, which will not change in the final version: https://doi.org/10.33044/revuma.3833.

[24] C. R. Graham, M. Zworski, *Scattering matrix in conformal geometry,* Invent. Math. 152 (2003) 89-118.

[25] H. Hajaiej, L. Molinet, T. Ozawa, B. Wang, *Sufficient and necessary conditions for the fractional Gagliado-Nirenberg inequalities and applications to Navier-Stokes and generalized boson equations, in: T. Ozawa, M. Sugimoto (Eds.), RIMS Kkyroku Bessatsu B26: Harmonic Analysis and Nonlinear Partial Differential Equations,* 2011-05, pp. 159-175.

[26] T. Jin, Y. Li, J. Xiong, *On a fractional Nirenberg problem, part I: blow up analysis and compactness of solutions,* J. Eur. Math. Soc. 16 (2014) 1111-1171.

[27] T. Jin, Y. Li, J. Xiong, *On a fractional Nirenberg problem, part II: existence of solutions,* Int. Math. Res. Not. (6) (2015) 1555-1589.

[28] T. Jin, Y. Li, J. Xiong, *The Nirenberg problem and its generalizations: a unified approach,* Math. Ann. 369 (1-2) (2017) 109-151.

[29] A. Malchiodi, M.Mayer, *Prescribing Morse scalar curvatures: pinching and Morse theory,* Comm. Pure Appl. Math., to appear.

[30] S. Liang, P. Pucci and B. Zhang, *Existence and multiplicity of solutions for a class of critical nonlocal equations with variable exponents*, to appear in Appl. Anal., pages 26.

[31] P. Pucci and L. Temperini, *On the concentration-compactness principle for Folland-Stein spaces and for fractional horizontal Sobolev spaces*, Math. Eng. 5 (2023), Special Issue: The interplay between local and nonlocal equations - dedicated to the memory of Professor Ireneo Peral, Paper no. 007, 21 pp.

[32] P. Pucci and L. Temperini, *Existence for fractional (p,q) systems with critical and Hardy terms in RN*, Nonlinear Anal. 211 (2021), Paper No. 112477, 33 pp.

[33] S. Paneitz, *A quartic conformally covariant differential operator for arbitrary Pseudo-Riemannian manifolds,* SIGMA (2008), 036.

[34] Y.Y. Li, *Prescribing scalar curvature on S^n and related topics, Part I*, Journal of Differential Equations, 120 (1995), 319-410.

[35] Y.Y. Li, *Prescribing scalar curvature on S^n and related topics, Part II : existence and compactness,* Comm. Pure Appl. Math. 49 (1996), 541-579.

[36] Y.Y. Li, M. Zhu, *Uniqeness theorems through the method of moving sheres,* Duke Math. J. 80 (1995), 383-417.

[37] Y. Li, Z. Tang, and N. Zhou, *Compactness and existence results of the prescribing fractional Q-curvature problem on S^n* Calc. Var. 62, 58 (2023).

[38] K. Sharaf, *on the prescribed scalar curvature problem on S^n, part 1,* Differ. Geom. Appl. 49 (2016) 324-446.

[39] J. Wei, X. Xu, *Prescribing Q-curvature problem on S^n*, J. Funct. Anal. 257(2009) 1995-2023.

[40] M. Zhu, *Prescribing intergral curvature equation,* arXiv: 1407-2967.

(Azeb Alghanemi) Department of Mathematics, King Abdulaziz University, Jeddah, Saudi Arabia
Email address: aalghanemi@kau.edu.sa

(Randa Ben Mahmoud) Sfax University, Faculty of Sciences of Sfax, 3018 Sfax, Tunisia
Email address: randa_benmahmoud@yahoo.fr

Submitted: December 26, 2022
Accepted: April 26, 2023
Published (early view): August 22, 2024