# GRADED ALMOST VALUATION RINGS

FATIMA ZAHRA GUISSI, NAJIB MAHDOU, ÜNSAL TEKİR, AND SUAT KOÇ

ABSTRACT. Let  $R = \bigoplus_{\alpha \in \Gamma} R_{\alpha}$  be a commutative ring graded by an arbitrary torsionless monoid  $\Gamma$ . We say that R is graded almost valuation ring (gr AV-ring) if for every two homogeneous elements a, b of R, there exists a positive integer n such that either  $a^n$  divides  $b^n$  (in R) or  $b^n$  divides  $a^n$ . In this paper, we introduce and study the graded version of the almost valuation ring which is a generalization of gr-AVD to the context of arbitrary  $\Gamma$ -graded ring ( with zero-divisors). Next, we study the possible transfer of these property to the graded trivial ring extension ( $A \ltimes E$ ). Our aim is to provide examples of new classes of  $\Gamma$ -graded rings satisfying the above mentioned property.

#### 1. INTRODUCTION

Throughout this paper, all rings are assumed to be commutative with identity and all modules are nonzero unitary and  $\Gamma$  will denote a torsionless grading monoid (that is, a commutative, cancellative monoid and the quotient group of  $\Gamma$ ,  $\langle \Gamma \rangle = \{a - b \mid a, b \in \Gamma\}$  is a torsionfree abelian group).

In [6], Anderson and Zafrullah, introduced and studied the notion of an almost valuation domain (in short, an AVD) and an almost Bezout domain (in short, an AB-domain) which is a generalization of valuation domain and Bezout domain, respectively. An integral domain R with quotient field K is called an *almost valu*ation domain if for every nonzero  $x \in K$ , there exists an integer  $n \geq 1$  such that either  $x^n \in R$  or  $x^{-n} \in R$ . Among other things, they proved that the integral closure of an almost valuation domain is a valuation domain. The notion of gr-AVDs was recently introduced by Bakkari, Mahdou and Riffi in [9] as follows. Let  $R = \bigoplus_{\alpha \in \Gamma} R_{\alpha}$  be a graded integral domain and H be the set of nonzero homogeneous elements of R. We say that R is a graded almost valuation domain (in short, a gr-AVDs) if for every nonzero homogeneous element  $x \in R_H$ , there exists an integer  $n = n(x) \ge 1$  with  $x^n$  or  $x^{-n} \in R$ ; equivalently, for all nonzero homogeneous elements  $a, b \in R$ , there exists an integer  $n = n(a, b) \ge 1$  with  $a^n | b^n$  or  $b^n | a^n$  in R. It is clear that any gr-valuation domain is a gr-AVD; the proof of [9, Theorem 5.6] showed that if R is a gr-AVD, then R (the integral closure of R) is a gr-valuation domain. In [11] and [15], a generalization of AVDs to the context of arbitrary rings was considered as follows. A ring R is called an *almost valuation ring* (in short, an AV-ring) if, for any two elements a and b in R, there exists a positive integer n

<sup>2010</sup> Mathematics Subject Classification. 13A99, 13C13.

Key words and phrases. Graded almost valuation rings, Graded valuation ring, graded trivial ring extension.

#### $^{2}$

FATIMA ZAHRA GUISSI, NAJIB MAHDOU, ÜNSAL TEKİR, AND SUAT KOÇ

such that either  $a^n$  divides  $b^n$  (in R) or  $b^n$  divides  $a^n$ . Clearly, any valuation ring is an AV-ring; the converse fails [15, Examples 2.3]. However, the Proposition 2.2 in [11] showed that any AV-ring is quasi-local with linearly ordered prime ideals.

An integral domain R is an AB-domain if for  $a, b \in R \setminus \{0\}$  there is n such that  $(a^n, b^n)$  is principal. The notion of almost Bezout domains runs along lines somewhat similar to those of Bezout domains (i.e., every two generated, equivalently, every finitely generated, ideal is principal). In [7], Anderson, Knopp, and Lewin continued the study of almost Bezout domains, and after observing that each almost Bezout domain is nearly Bezout, they used the construction K + XL[X] to disprove the converse. In [15], the generalization of the almost Bezout domains to arbitrary commutative rings (with zero-divisors) is considered as follows: R is called an *almost Bezout ring* (AB-ring for short) if, for any two elements a and b in R, there exists a positive integer n such that the ideal  $(a^n, b^n)$  is principal.

Our aims is to generalize the concepts of AV-rings and AB-rings to the context of arbitrary  $\Gamma$ -graded rings (with zero-divisors); and then completely transfer these notions to the graded trivial ring extension  $A \ltimes E$ .

Note that the valuation in the context of rings (with zero-divisors) is defined in two different ways which are not equivalent. Following [13], we say that a ring R is a valuation ring if, for any nonzero elements  $a, b \in R$ , either  $Ra \subseteq Rb$  or  $Rb \subseteq aR$ , but as Huckaba's definition of valuation ring in [12] adds the requirement that, at least one of which elements is regular. Any "valuation ring" ( in our sense) is, without a doubt, a valuation ring in the sense of [12], but not conversely. This serves as a primary justification for beginning our paper, after recalling (in Section 2) some basic background needed in the present paper, with a brief section (Section 3) in which we attempt to explain the distinction between the two definitions and their relation with the almost valuation ring.

In Section 4, we introduce the notion of graded almost-valuation rings (gr AVrings). Among other things, we show that a nontrivially graded ring is never an AV-ring (Proposition 4.3). It is clear that any gr-valuation ring is a gr AV-ring (Proposition 4.4); Examples 4.4 and 4.17 shows that the converse fails; but a gr AV-ring  $R = \bigoplus_{\alpha \in \Gamma} R_{\alpha}$  must have a unique maximal homogeneous ideal (Corollary 4.7). Also, we characterize the trivial ring extension to be gr-AV ring when it is given a trivial graduation by  $\mathbb{Z}_2$  (Proposition 4.16). As an immediate application of this, Example 4.26 shows the failure of Bakkari, Mahdou and Riffi's Theorem on the integral closure of a gr-AVD beyond the context of graded integral domains. Then we study the possible transfer of this generalized property for the graded trivial ring extension ( $A \ltimes E$ ). A generalization of the notion of almost Bezout ring (AB ring) to the context of arbitrary  $\Gamma$ -graded rings brings this section to close. In which we study the possible transfer of this generalized property to the graded trivial ring extensions. For the main transfer result in this paper, see Theorem 4.21, 4.29

As we proceed to study the above-mentioned classes of graded rings, the reader may find it helpful to keep in mind the implications noted in the following figure.

### GRADED ALMOST VALUATION RINGS

3

Therefore using the results of this paper, we have the following implications, none of which are reversible:



Let A be a ring and E be an A-module. Then the ring  $A \ltimes E$  with coordinatewise addition and multiplication given by  $(a_1, e_1)(a_2, e_2) = (a_1a_2, a_1e_2 + a_2e_1)$  is a ring with unity (1, 0)( even R-algebra) called idealization of E or the trivial ring extension of A by E. Note that A naturally embeds into  $A \ltimes E$  by  $a \mapsto (a, 0)$ . If N is a submodule of E, then  $0 \ltimes N$  is an ideal of  $A \ltimes E$  and  $0 \ltimes E$  is a nilpotent ideal of  $A \ltimes E$  of index 2. It is well known that  $I \ltimes N$  is an ideal of  $A \ltimes E$  if and only if I is an ideal of R and N is a submodule of E such that  $IE \subseteq N$ , cf. [5, Theorem, 3.1].

Let  $\Gamma$  be a commutative monoid. Suppose that  $A = \bigoplus_{\alpha \in \Gamma} A_{\alpha}$  be a  $\Gamma$ -graded ring and  $E = \bigoplus_{\alpha \in \Gamma} E_{\alpha}$  a  $\Gamma$ -graded A-module. Then  $A \ltimes E$  is a  $\Gamma$ -graded ring with  $(A \ltimes E)_{\alpha} = A_{\alpha} \bigoplus E_{\alpha}$  for every  $\alpha \in \Gamma$  (cf. [2, Proposition 2]). Consequently,  $h(A \ltimes E) = \bigcup_{\alpha \in \Gamma} (A \ltimes E)_{\alpha}$ .

# 2. Preliminaries

This section presents some basic properties of graded rings and modules used in the sequel. Let  $\Gamma$  be a torsionless grading monoid (written additively), with an identity element denoted by 0, and the quotient group of  $\Gamma$ ,  $\langle \Gamma \rangle = \{a - b \mid a, b \in \Gamma\}$ is a torsionfree abelian group. It is well known that a cancellative monoid is torsionless if and only if can be given a total order compatible with the monoid operation [17, p. 123].

Recall that a (not necessarily unital) ring R is called a  $\Gamma$ -graded ring, or simply a graded ring, if  $R = \bigoplus_{\gamma \in \Gamma} R_{\gamma}$ , each  $R_{\gamma}$  is an additive subgroup of R and  $R_{\gamma}R_{\delta} \subseteq R_{\gamma+\delta}$  for all  $\gamma, \delta \in \Gamma$ . The set  $h(R) = \bigcup_{\gamma \in \Gamma} R_{\gamma}$  is called the set of homogeneous elements of R. The non-zero elements of  $R_{\gamma}$  are called homogeneous of degree  $\gamma$ and we write deg $(r) = \gamma$  if  $r \in R_{\gamma} \setminus \{0\}$ . We call the set

$$\Gamma_R = \{ \gamma \in \Gamma \mid R_\gamma \neq 0 \}$$

the support of R. We say R has a trivial grading, or R is concentrated in degree zero if the support of R is the trivial group, i.e.,  $R_0 = R$  and  $R_{\gamma} = 0$  for  $\gamma \in \Gamma \setminus \{0\}$ . Clearly  $R_0$  is a subring of R (intuitionally  $1 \in R_0$ ) and every  $R_{\alpha}$  is an  $R_0$ -module. Note that, every unit of R is homogeneous.

#### 4

FATIMA ZAHRA GUISSI, NAJIB MAHDOU, ÜNSAL TEKİR, AND SUAT KOÇ

By a graded *R*-module *E*, we mean an *R*-module graded by  $\Gamma$ , that is, a direct sum of subgroups  $E_{\alpha}$  of E such that  $R_{\alpha}E_{\beta} \subseteq E_{\alpha+\beta}$  for every  $\alpha, \beta \in \Gamma$ . Let R and R' be two graded rings. Then a ring homomorphism  $f: R \longrightarrow R'$  is called graded if  $f(R_{\alpha}) \subseteq R'_{\alpha}$  for all  $\alpha \in \Gamma$ . Let I be an ideal of R. Then I is called a homogeneous ideal of R if one of the following equivalent conditions hold: (i)  $I = \bigoplus_{\alpha \in \Gamma} I_{\alpha}$ , where  $I_{\alpha} = I \cap R_{\alpha}$  for all  $\alpha \in \Gamma$  and (ii)  $a = a_{\alpha_1} + a_{\alpha_2} + \dots + a_{\alpha_n} \in I$  implies that  $a_{\alpha_i} \in I$ , where  $a_{\alpha_i} \in R_{\alpha_i}$ . Similarly, a submodule N of M is called a homogeneous submodule if and only if  $N = \bigoplus_{\alpha \in \Gamma} N_{\alpha}$ , where  $N_{\alpha} = N \cap M_{\alpha}$  for all  $\alpha \in \Gamma$  if and only if  $m = m_{\alpha_1} + m_{\alpha_2} + \dots + m_{\alpha_n} \in N$  implies that  $m_{\alpha_i} \in N$ , where  $m_{\alpha_i} \in M_{\alpha_i}$ . If I is a homogeneous ideal of a graded ring  $R = \bigoplus_{\alpha \in \Gamma} R_{\alpha}$ , then  $R/I = \bigoplus_{\alpha \in \Gamma} (R/I)_{\alpha}$ is a graded ring, where  $(R/I)_{\alpha} := (R_{\alpha} + I)/I$ . A homogeneous ideal P of R is called a prime homogeneous ideal (gr-prime) if P is a proper homogeneous ideal of R with the property that  $a, b \in h(R)$  and  $ab \in P$  implies either  $a \in P$  or  $b \in P$ . A homogeneous ideal M of R is called a maximal homogeneous ideal (gr-maximal) if it is maximal among proper homogeneous ideals; equivalently, if every nonzero homogeneous element of R/M is invertible.

A graded ring is said to be graded quasi local (gr-quasi local) if it has a unique maximal homogeneous (gr-maximal) ideal and a graded ring A is called a graded-field (gr-field) if every nonzero homogeneous element of R is invertible. Clearly, every field is a graded field, however, the converse is not true in general, see [16, page 46].

Let  $R_1$  and  $R_2$  be two graded rings. Then  $R = R_1 \times R_2$  is a graded ring with homogeneous elements  $h(R) = \bigcup_{\alpha \in \Gamma} R_\alpha$ , where  $R_\alpha = (R_1)_\alpha \times (R_2)_\alpha$  for all  $\alpha \in \Gamma$ . It is well known that an ideal of  $R_1 \times R_2$  is of the form  $I_1 \times I_2$  for some ideals  $I_1$ of  $R_1$  and  $I_2$  of  $R_2$ . Also it is easily seen that  $I_1 \times I_2$  is a homogeneous ideal of  $R_1 \times R_2$  if and only if  $I_1, I_2$  are homogeneous ideals of  $R_1$  and  $R_2$ , respectively.

Let R be a graded ring, and let tq(R) denote the total ring of quotients of R and H the saturated multiplicative set of regular homogeneous elements of R. Then, by extending some definitions to the case where rings are with zero divisors,  $R_H$ , called the homogeneous total ring of quotients of R, is a ring graded by  $\langle \Gamma \rangle$ , where  $R_H = \bigoplus_{\alpha \in \langle \Gamma \rangle} (R_H)_{\alpha}$  with

$$(R_H)_{\alpha} = \left\{ \frac{r}{s} \mid r \in R_{\beta}, s \text{ a regular element of } R_{\gamma} \text{ and } \beta - \gamma = \alpha \right\}.$$

If R is a graded integral domain (An integral domain graded by  $\Gamma$ ), then  $R_H$  is called the homogeneous quotient field of R. Clearly, every nonzero homogeneous element of  $R_H$  is invertible and  $(R_H)_0$  is a field.

We will be using the following definition (which agrees with the classical one if R is a graded integral domain). A graded R-module E is said to be a *torsion* R-graded module if, for each homogeneous  $e \in E$ , there exists  $a \in R \setminus \{0\}$  such that ae = 0. We will also use the following standard definitions. A *regular homogeneous element* of a graded ring R is a non-zero-divisor homogeneous element; a graded R-module E is gr-divisible if, for each homogeneous  $e \in E$  and each regular homogeneous element a of R there exists  $f \in E$  such that e = af; a graded A-module E is a *torsion-free* (graded A-module) if whenever  $a \in h(A)$  and  $e \in E$  with ae = 0

# GRADED ALMOST VALUATION RINGS

5

implies that either a = 0 or e = 0. Lastly, as usual, for any  $\Gamma$ -graded ring A, h-Spec(R) denotes the set of prime homogeneous ideals of R, h-Z(R) denotes the set of all homogeneous zero-divisors of R and h-Reg(R) denotes the set of regular homogeneous elements of R.

### 3. Some remarks of valuation-like properties

Recall from the introduction that a ring R is called an almost valuation ring if, for any two elements a and b in R, there exists a positive integer n such that either  $a^n$  divides  $b^n$  (in R) or  $b^n$  divides  $a^n$ . Following [3], a ring R is said to be a valuation ring if, for all  $a, b \in R$  such that  $\{a, b\} \not\subseteq Z(R)$ , either  $Ra \subseteq Rb$  or  $Rb \subseteq Ra$  as defined in Huckaba's book [12]; but it is not equivalent to the definition of "valuation ring" used by Kaplansky [13, page 35], as Kaplansky's definition omits the above stipulation that  $\{a, b\} \not\subseteq Z(R)$ . It is clear that any "valuation ring" in the sense of [13] is an AV-ring, but a "valuation ring" in the sense of [3] is not necessary an AV-ring as shown by the following example.

Recall that a ring R is said to be a total quotient ring if each regular element of R is unit (each nonunit element of R is in Z(R)). Obviously, every total quotient ring is a valuation ring in the sense of [3, 12].

**Example 3.1.** Let A be a non AV-ring. Set  $M = \bigoplus_{I \in \Delta} A/I$  with  $\Delta$  is the set of all ideals of A. Let x be a non unit element of A, then  $I_0 = xA \in \Delta$ . So  $x(r_I)_{I \in \Delta} = 0$  where  $r_I = 0$  for every  $I \neq I_0$  and  $r_{I_0} = 1 + I_0$ , hence  $x \in Z(M)$ . Consequently  $Z(M) = A \setminus U(A)$ . Consider the trivial ring extension  $R = A \ltimes M$ . So  $Z(R) = \{(a, m) \mid a \text{ is a non unit element of } A, m \in M\}$  by [12, Theorem 25.3]. Therefore R is a valuation ring in the sense of [3] since R is a total quotient ring; but according to [15, Theorem 2.1] R not a AV-ring since A is not.

Note that any valuation ring in the sense of Kaplansky [13] is a valuation ring in the sense of [3, 12]; but the converse is not true in general as the following example clarify.

**Example 3.2.** Consider a non valuation ring A in the sense of [13] (for instance a non quasi-local ring). Set  $R = A \ltimes M$  the trivial ring extension of A by M with  $M = \bigoplus_{I \in \Delta} A/I$ . Then R is a valuation ring in the sense of [3] (cf. [Example 3.1]) but not a valuation ring in the sense of [13], since A is not [14, Lemma 2.2].

**Remark 3.3.** Note that the concept of valuation rings in the both senses coincide in the case where the ring A is an integral domain. Then let F be a finite field and X an indeterminate over F. Put H := F(X), the quotient field of F[X], and let Y be an analytic indeterminate over H. Set  $D := H + Y^3 H[[Y]]$ . Then by [8, Example 2.20] D is an almost valuation domain, which is not a valuation domain.

Hence the valuation ring in Huckaba's sense [3] possesses no connection to the almost valuation ring. We can clarify the meaning of each implication by the following figure, none of which are reversible:

6

This peer-reviewed unedited article has been accepted for publication. The final copyedited version may differ in some details. Volume, issue, and page numbers will be assigned at a later stage. Cite using this DOI, which will not change in the final version: https://doi.org/10.33044/revuma.4036.

# FATIMA ZAHRA GUISSI, NAJIB MAHDOU, ÜNSAL TEKİR, AND SUAT KOÇ



# 4. Main results

There are two reasons that we begin by extending the definiton of valuation ring in the Kaplansy's sense [13, page 35] to the case of  $\Gamma$ -graded rings. First, Definition 4.1 will be our framework of this study( unless otherwise stated, a gr-valuation ring is as defined in 4.1). Second, Definition 4.1 will be used in a proof later in this paper. That theme will motivate the choice of the contexts studied in our later results, which, for the most part, seek to identify situations admitting positive transfer results for the other graded ring-theortic properties being considered here.

**Definition 4.1.** A graded ring  $R = \bigoplus_{\alpha \in \Gamma} R_{\alpha}$  is said to be graded valuation ring (gr-valuation ring) if every homogeneous elements  $a, b \in R$ , either  $aR \subseteq bR$  or  $bR \subseteq aR$ .

Obviously, every valuation graded ring is a gr-valuation ring. The converse is not true in general, as shown by the following construction.

**Example 4.2.** Let R be a gr-valuation ring. Pick a homogeneous element  $x \in R$  with  $deg(x) \neq 0$ , then  $(1 + x + x^3)R$  is not comparable with  $(1 + x^2)R$  under inclusion. Hence R is a gr-valuation ring which is not valuation.

We say R is a graded almost valuation ring (gr AV-ring) if for every homogeneous elements  $a, b \in R$ , there exists an integer  $n \ge 1$  such that  $a^n R \subseteq b^n R$  or  $b^n R \subseteq a^n R$ , equivalently, if for any two homogeneous elements  $a, b \in R$ , there exists an integer n such that  $a^n$  divides  $b^n$  or  $b^n$  divides  $a^n$ . Obiviously, the concepts of "gr AVrings" and "AV-rings" coincide when the ring is trivially graded. We next clarify the situation for nontrivially graded rings.

**Proposition 4.3.** Let  $R = \bigoplus_{\alpha \in \Gamma} R_{\alpha}$  be a nontrivially graded ring, then R is never an AV-ring. In particular, if R is a nontrivially graded AV-ring, then R is never an AV-ring.

*Proof.* Choose a nonzero homogeneous element x of R with a nonzero degree. Since R is nontrivially graded, then  $1 + x^2 + x^3$  and  $x + x^2$  are non homogeneous elements of R and so are nonunits of R. Therefore R is not quasi-local. Hence, by [11, Proposition 2.2], R is not a AV-ring, as desired.

The following result is straightforward.

**Proposition 4.4.** Every gr-valuation ring  $R = \bigoplus_{\alpha \in \Gamma} R_{\alpha}$  is a gr-AV ring.

# GRADED ALMOST VALUATION RINGS

 $\overline{7}$ 

The converse of Proposition 4.4 fails; the obvious example is a nonvaluation AV-ring [11, Example 2.5], also we can quote the failure of Anderson-Zafrullah's theorem beyond the context of integral domains [15, Example 2.3] which are trivially graded; for nontrivially graded examples see Examples 4.17, 4.25 and 4.26.

**Proposition 4.5.** Let R be a gr AV-ring and I be a homogeneous ideal of R. Then R/I is a gr AV-ring. In particular, if D is a gr-AVD and I is a non-prime homogeneous ideal of D then D/I is a gr AV-ring with nonzero zero-divisors.

*Proof.* Put  $\tilde{R} := R/I$ . Let x, y be two homogeneous elements in R/I. Pick two homogeneous elements  $a, b \in R$  such that x = a + I and y = b + I. Since R is a gr AV-ring, there exists an integer  $n \ge 1$  such that either  $a^n R \subseteq b^n R$  or  $b^n R \subseteq a^n R$ . If  $a^n R \subseteq b^n R$ , then

$$x^{n}\widetilde{R} = (a^{n} + I)(R/I) = (a^{n}R + I)/I \subseteq (b^{n}R + I)/I = y^{n}\widetilde{R}.$$

Similarly, if  $b^n R \subseteq a^n R$ , then  $y^n \widetilde{R} \subseteq x^n \widetilde{R}$ . The proof is complete.

Recall that for a proper homogeneous ideal I of a graded ring R. The graded radical of I will be designated by  $Gr(I) = \{x = \sum_{g \in \Gamma} x_g \in R : \text{ for each } g \in \Gamma$ , there exists  $n_g \in \mathbb{N}$  such that  $x_g^{n_g} \in I\}$ . It is straightforward to see that Gr(I) is always a homogeneous ideal of R. Note that, if x is a homogeneous element, then  $x \in Gr(I)$  if and only if  $x^n \in I$  for some positive integer n (see [18]). Now, we determine the gr-almost valuation ring in terms of graded radical ideals.

**Proposition 4.6.** Let  $R = \bigoplus_{\alpha \in \Gamma} R_{\alpha}$  be a gr AV-ring. Then  $I \subseteq Gr(J)$  or  $J \subseteq Gr(I)$ , for every homogeneous ideals I and J in R. In particular, the graded radical ideals of R are linearly ordered.

*Proof.* Assume that R is gr AV-ring. Let I and J be two homogeneous ideals of R. If  $I \nsubseteq Gr(J)$  and  $J \nsubseteq Gr(I)$ , then there exist homogeneous elements  $y \in I \setminus Gr(J)$ and  $x \in J \setminus Gr(I)$  respectively. Since R is a gr AV-ring, there exist a positive integer n such that either  $x^n | y^n$  or  $y^n | x^n$ . We may assume, without loss of generality, that  $x^n | y^n$ . Then  $y^n = rx^n$  for some  $r \in R$ , so  $y^n \in J$ . Consequently  $y \in Gr(J)$ . Which is a contradiction. Hence  $I \subseteq Gr(J)$  or  $J \subseteq Gr(I)$ .

The following corollary is an immediate result of Proposition 4.6.

**Corollary 4.7.** Let R be a gr AV-ring. Then the prime homogeneous ideals of R are linearly ordered. In particular, R has a unique maximal homogeneous ideal.

Recall that an overring of a ring R is a subring of the total quotient ring of R that contains R. An overring T of R is called a homogeneous overring of R if  $T \subseteq R_H$  and  $T = \bigoplus_{\alpha \in \langle \Gamma \rangle} (T \cap (R_H)_{\alpha})$ ; that is T is a graded subring of  $R_H$ . Clearly, for any homogeneous ideal I of R, the subset  $(I : I) = \{x \in R_H \mid xI \subseteq I\}$  is a homogeneous overring.

#### 8

FATIMA ZAHRA GUISSI, NAJIB MAHDOU, ÜNSAL TEKİR, AND SUAT KOÇ

**Proposition 4.8.** Let  $R = \bigoplus_{\alpha \in \Gamma} R_{\alpha}$  be a gr AV-ring and T be a homogeneous overring of R. Then T is a gr AV-ring.

Proof. Let x and y be two homogeneous elements of T. Then x = a/s and y = b/t for some homogeneous  $a, b \in R$  and regular homogeneous elements  $s, t \in R$ . Since R is a gr AV-ring, there exists an integer  $n \geq 1$  such that  $(at)^n R \subseteq (bs)^n R$  or  $(bs)^n R \subseteq (at)^n R$ . It follows that there is a  $r \in R$  such that  $(at)^n = (bs)^n r$  or  $(bs)^n = (at)^n r$ , and so  $x^n = (a/s)^n = (b/t)^n (r/1) = y^n (r/1)$  or  $y^n = x^n (r/1)$ . Therefore,  $x^n T \subseteq y^n T$  or  $y^n T \subseteq x^n T$  which leads to the fact that T is a gr AV-ring.

We next give an example of gr AV-ring for the reader's convenience.

**Example 4.9.** Let  $R = \bigoplus_{\alpha \in \Gamma} R_{\alpha}$  be a graded ring in which every homogeneous element is either a unit or nilpotent. Then R is a gr AV-ring. In particular, if we consider the graded trivial ring extension  $R = K \ltimes E$  with K is a field and E is an K-vector space graded by  $\mathbb{Z}_2$  via  $R_0 = K \ltimes 0$  and  $R_1 = 0 \ltimes E$ . Then R is a gr AV-ring.

Recall from [6, p.288] that an extension  $R \subseteq T$  of a ring is said to be a root extension of R, if for every  $x \in T$ , there exists an integer  $n \ge 1$  such that  $x^n \in R$ . Analogously, we can define this notion in the setting of  $\Gamma$ -graded rings as follows. Let  $T = \bigoplus_{\alpha \in \Gamma} T_{\alpha}$  be a graded ring and R is a homogeneous subring of T. Then the extension  $R \subseteq T$  is called a graded root extension (gr-root extension) if for every homogeneous element  $x \in T$ , there exists an integer  $n \ge 1$  such that  $x^n \in R$ . Obviously, if  $R \subseteq T$  is a root extension, then it is a gr-root extension; while the converse is not true in general, the example is given by the polynomial rings  $R := K[X] \subseteq L[X] =: T$  where  $K \subsetneq L$  are finite fields and R, T are  $\mathbb{Z}_+$ -graded with deg  $(aX^n) = n$  for every  $0 \neq a \in L$  and  $n \in \mathbb{Z}_+$  (cf. [4, p. 550]). The following Theorem characterize gr-AV rings (cf. [11, Theorem 3.4]).

**Theorem 4.10.** Let  $R = \bigoplus_{\alpha \in \Gamma} R_{\alpha}$  be a graded quasi-local ring with maximal homogeneous ideal M. Set

$$Rad_V(M) = \{ x = \sum_{g \in \Gamma} x_g \in V \mid \forall g \in \Gamma, \exists n_g \in \mathbb{N} : x_g^{n_g} \in M \}.$$

Where V is a gr AV-homogeneous overring of R such that M is a homogeneous ideal of V and  $\operatorname{Rad}_V(M)$  is the maximal homogeneous ideal of V. Then R is a gr AV-ring if and only if V is a gr-root extension of R.

*Proof.* If V = R, then the assertions are clear in this case. Hence, we may assume that,  $V \neq R$  and R is a gr AV-ring. Pick a homogeneous element  $x_g \in V \setminus R$ . Then  $x_g = a/b$  for some homogeneous  $a, b \in R$ , where b is a regular homogeneous element and deg(a) - deg(b) = g. If  $x_g \in Rad_V(M)$ , then  $x_g^n \in M \subset R$  for some  $n \geq 1$ . Now, assume that  $x_g \notin Rad_V(M)$ . Since  $Rad_V(M)$  is the maximal homogeneous ideal of V,  $x_g$  is a unit of V, and so a is a regular homogeneous element of R. Since R is a gr AV-ring, there exists an integer  $n \geq 1$  such that  $a^n R \subseteq b^n R$  or  $b^n R \subseteq a^n R$ .

GRADED ALMOST VALUATION RINGS

9

In the first case, if  $a^n R \subseteq b^n R$ , then  $x_g^n \in R$ . If  $x_g^{-n} \in M$ , then  $x_g^{-1} \in Rad_V(M)$ , which is a contradiction. Hence  $x_g^{-n}$  is a unit of R, and so  $x_g^n \in R$ . Therefore V is a gr-root extension of R.

Conversely, assume that V is a gr-root extension of R and a, b are homogeneous elements of R. Since V is graded almost valuation ring, there is an  $n \ge 1$  such that  $a^n V \subseteq b^n V$  or  $b^n V \subseteq a^n V$ . Assume that  $a^n V \subseteq b^n V$  for some  $n \ge 1$ . Then there exists  $y \in V$  such that  $a^n = b^n y$ , which it is easy to check that y is homogeneous in V. Since V is a gr-root extension of R, there is an  $m \ge 1$  such that  $y^m \in R$ , and so  $a^{mn} = b^{mn} y^m \in b^{mn} R$ . Hence, we get  $a^{mn} R \subseteq b^{mn} R$ , and consequently R is graded almost valuation ring.

Let  $R \subseteq S$  be an extension of graded rings. It's obvious that h-Reg $(S) \cap R \subseteq$ h-Reg(R). However, the reverse inequality need not hold. Thus, it is not required that h-Reg $(S) \cap R =$  h-Reg(R). (To put it another way, it is not necessary that S is a torsion-free graded R-module, in the meaning of the term as it is often understood). This equality is frequently desired because it is equivalent to the statement that the universal mapping property of graded rings of fractions allows the inclusion map  $R \hookrightarrow S_H$  to extend to a (unique, necessarily injective) graded ring homomorphism  $R_H \to S_H$ , in which case we use that injection to view  $R_H \subseteq S_H$ . It is natural to wonder if there are relevant kinds of base graded rings R and graded ring extensions  $R \subseteq S$  admitting an embedding of  $R_H$  in  $S_H$  in this way. We start with an exactly similar result and this comes after making the following useful remark.

**Remark 4.11.** A homogeneous element  $x \in h\text{-Reg}(R)$  if and only if xr = 0 implies that r = 0 for every homogeneous element  $r \in R$ .

*Proof.* If  $x \in h - Reg(R)$ , then naturally xr = 0 implies that r = 0 for every homogenous element  $r \in R$ . Conversely assume that if xr = 0, then r = 0 for every homogenous element  $r \in R$ . Let  $r = \sum_{g \in \Gamma} r_g \in R$  such that xr = 0, then  $x(\sum_{g \in \Gamma} r_g) = \sum_{g \in \Gamma} xr_g = 0$  which implies that  $xr_g = 0$  for every  $g \in \Gamma$  and so all  $r_g = 0$ . Consequently r = 0; that is  $x \in h\text{-Reg}(R)$ .

**Lemma 4.12.** Let  $R \subseteq S$  be a gr-root extension of rings with S (and hence R) being a gr-reduced ring. Then h-Reg $(S) \cap R$  = h-Reg(R) and  $R_H \subseteq S_H$  is a gr-root extension.

*Proof.* For the first assertion, we need only show that if  $x \in h\text{-Reg}(R)$ , then  $x \in h\text{-Reg}(S)$ . Suppose that this fails, by Remark 4.11, we may pick a nonzero homogeneous element  $y \in S$ , such that xy = 0. Since  $R \subseteq S$  is a gr-root extension, there exists an integer  $n \geq 1$  such that  $y^n \in R$ . Then  $x^n y^n = (xy)^n = 0$ . As h-Reg(R) is a multiplicatively closed set,  $x^n \in h\text{-Reg}(R)$ , and so  $y^n = 0$ . Since S is gr-reduced, y = 0, the desired contradiction. This completes the proof of the first assertion.

In light of the first assertion, it follows from the above comments that we may view  $R_H \subseteq S_H$ . It remains only to show that this is a gr-root extension. Given a homogeneous  $u \in S_H$ , we must find an integer  $k \ge 1$  such that  $u^k \in R_H$ . Write u = a/z, with  $a \in h(S)$  and  $z \in h$ -Reg(S). Since  $R \subseteq S$  is a root extension,

10 FATIMA ZAHRA GUISSI, NAJIB MAHDOU, ÜNSAL TEKİR, AND SUAT KOÇ

there exist integers  $n \ge 1$  and  $m \ge 1$  such that  $a^n \in R$  and  $z^m \in R$ . Note that  $(a^n)^m \in R^m = R$ . Moreover, since h-Reg(S) is a multiplicatively closed set,  $z^m$  and  $(z^m)^n$  are elements of h-Reg(S) (and of R). Consequently, by the first assertion,  $(z^m)^n \in \text{h-Reg}(R)$ . Since

$$u^{nm} = \frac{\left(a^n\right)^m}{\left(z^m\right)^n} \in S_H,$$

it follows that  $u^{nm} \in R_H$ . Therefore, taking k := nm completes the proof.

While going further into the "gr-root extension" hypothesis, we next continue the project of generalizing some ring-theoretic observations and results from [10] and [11] to the graded ring-theoretic context.

# **Theorem 4.13.** (1) Let $R \subseteq S$ be a gr-root extension of rings. Then R is a gr AV-ring if and only if S is a gr AV-ring.

- (2) Let  $R \subseteq S$  be a gr-root extension of rings. Then the following conditions are equivalent:
  - (1) R is a gr AV-ring,
  - (2) S is a gr AV-ring,
  - (3) T is a gr AV-ring, for each graded ring T such that  $R \subseteq T \subseteq S$ .

If, in addition, S is a gr-reduced ring, then the above conditions (1)-(3) are equivalent to:

(4) T is a gr AV-ring, for each graded ring T such that  $R \subseteq T \subseteq S_H$ .

Proof. (1) Consider two nonzero homogeneous elements  $x, y \in S$ . As  $R \subseteq S$  is a gr-root extension, there exists an integer  $n \geq 1$  such that  $x^n, y^n \in R$ . Since R is a gr AV-ring, there exists an integer  $m \geq 1$  such that either  $x^{nm}$  divides  $y^{nm}$  in R or  $y^{nm}$  divides  $x^{nm}$  in R. Therefore, either  $x^{nm}$  divides  $y^{nm}$  in S or  $y^{nm}$  divides  $x^{nm}$  in S. Thus, S is a gr AV-ring. Conversely, consider two nonzero homogeneous elements  $x, y \in R$ . Then  $x, y \in S$ . Since S is a gr AV-ring, there exists a positive integer k such that either  $x^k = ay^k$  or  $y^k = bx^k$  for some elements  $a, b \in S$  (i.e, a, b are homogeneous in S). Since  $R \subseteq S$  is a gr-root extension, there exists a positive integer n such that  $a^n, b^n \in R$ . Hence, either  $x^{kn} = a^n y^{kn}$  or  $y^{kn} = b^n x^{kn}$ . Since  $a^n, b^n \in R$ , this completes the proof that R is a gr AV-ring.

(2) For any graded rings extensions  $R \subseteq A \subseteq B \subseteq S$ , it is clear that the graded ring extension  $A \subseteq B$  inherits the "gr-root extension" property from  $R \subseteq S$ . Hence, the equivalences (1)  $\Leftrightarrow$  (2)  $\Leftrightarrow$  (3) follow from (1) and (2).

Next, assume that S is gr-reduced. Then by Lemma 4.12 (and the discussion preceding it), we have  $(R \subseteq)R_H \subseteq S_H$ . Since  $(4) \Rightarrow (3)$  trivially, it will suffice to show that  $(2) \Rightarrow (4)$ .

Assume that S is a gr AV-ring (and that S is gr-reduced). Our task is to show that if T is a graded ring such that  $R \subseteq T \subseteq S_H$ , then T is a gr AVring. To that end, consider two nonzero homogeneous elements  $x, y \in T$ . Then  $x, y \in S_H$ . As  $S_H$  inherits the "gr AV-ring" property from S by Proposition 4.8, there exists an integer  $n \geq 1$  and  $\alpha, \beta \in S_H$  (which are homogeneous) such that either  $x^n = \alpha y^n$  or  $y^n = \beta x^n$ . Without loss of generality, we assume that  $x^n = \alpha y^n$ . Since  $R_H \subseteq S_H$  is a gr-root extension by Lemma 4.12, there exists an

### GRADED ALMOST VALUATION RINGS

11

integer  $m \geq 1$  such that  $\alpha^m \in R_H$ . Thus,  $\alpha^m = c/d \in R_H$ , for some homogeneous elements  $c \in R$  and  $d \in h$ -Reg(R). As S is a gr AV-ring, there exist homogeneous  $u, v \in S$  and an integer  $k \geq 1$  such that either  $c^k = ud^k$  or  $d^k = vc^k$ . Again as  $R \subseteq S$  is a gr-root extension, there exists a positive integer p such that  $u^p$ ,  $v^p \in R \subseteq T$ . Therefore, either  $x^{nmkp} = u^p y^{nmkp}$  or  $y^{mmkp} = v^p x^{nmkp}$ . (The handling of the latter possibility involved a somewhat subtle additional use of Lemma 4.12. In detail, if  $d^k = vc^k$ , one obtains  $x^{nmkp} = y^{nmkp}/v^p$  enroute to showing that  $y^{nmkp} = v^p x^{nmkp}$ , and this use of "fractional" notation is legitimate since  $v^p \in h$ -Reg $(S) \cap R = h$ -Reg(R), the underlying point being that  $vc^k = d^k \in$ h-Reg $(R) \subseteq h$ -Reg(S) ensures that v is an element of the multiplicatively closed set h-Reg(S).) Thus, either  $x^{nmkp}T \subseteq y^{nmkp}T$  or  $y^{nmkp}T \subseteq x^{nmkp}T$ . This proves that T is a gr AV-ring. The proof is complete.  $\Box$ 

**Example 4.14.** Let R be a graded integral domain but not a gr AV-domain, it is nonetheless the case that  $S = R_H$  is the homogeneous quotient field of R is a gr AV-domain. Thus, the equivalence (1)  $\Leftrightarrow$  (2) in Theorem 4.13 (2) cannot be expected to hold for an arbitrary graded extension of domains (let alone, an arbitrary pair of graded rings)  $R \subseteq S$ . What led to that equivalence holding in Theorem 4.13 (2) was the assumption that  $R \subseteq S$  is a gr-root extension.

**Remark 4.15.** Note that for a ring A and an A-module E, the trivial ring extension  $R = A \ltimes E$  is naturally graded via N where the subgroups are defined, in [5], as follows:  $R_0 = A \ltimes 0$ ,  $R_1 = 0 \ltimes E$  and  $R_n = 0$  for  $n \ge 2$ ; which can simply viewed as a  $\mathbb{Z}_2$ -grading since  $(0 \ltimes E)^2 = 0$ .

The following proposition characterize when  $R = A \ltimes E$  is a gr-AV ring if R is trivially graded.

**Proposition 4.16.** With the notation of Remark 4.15, let A be a ring, E an A-module, and the trivial ring extension  $R = A \ltimes E$ . Then  $R = A \ltimes E$  is a gr-AV ring if and only if A is an AV-ring.

*Proof.* Let  $x, y \in A$ , then (x, 0), (y, 0) are homogeneous elements (of a zero degree) in R. Since R is a gr AV-ring, there exists a positive integer n such that  $(x, 0)^n \in R(y, 0)^n$  or  $(y, 0)^n \in R(x, 0)^n$ . Thus,  $x^n \in Ay^n$  or  $y^n \in Ax^n$ , and hence A is an AV-ring. Conversely, let x and y be two homogeneous elements in R. Two cases are then possible.

Case 1. deg(x) = deg(y) = 0. Then x = (a, 0) and y = (b, 0) for  $a, b \in A$ . Since A is an AV-ring, there exists a positive integer n such that either  $a^n A \subseteq b^n A$  or  $b^n A \subseteq a^n A$ . We may assume, without loss of generality, that  $a^n A \subseteq b^n A$ . Then  $a^n = b^n c$  for some  $c \in A$ , we have

$$x^{n} = (a, 0)^{n} = (b^{n}c, 0) = (c, 0)(b^{n}, 0) = (c, 0)y^{n} \in y^{n}R.$$

Case 2. Either deg(x) = 1 or deg(y) = 1. Without loss of generality, assume that deg(x) = 1, then x = (0, e) for some  $e \in E$ . So  $x^2 = (0, 0) \in y^2 R$ , as desired.

# 12 FATIMA ZAHRA GUISSI, NAJIB MAHDOU, ÜNSAL TEKİR, AND SUAT KOÇ

Using the notation of Remark 4.15 and Proposition 4.16 we can easily construct straightforward examples of gr AV-rings which are not gr-valuation rings.

**Example 4.17.** (1) Let A be an AV-ring which is not a Valuation ring (for instance see Remark 3.3) and M an A-module. Set  $R = A \ltimes M$  the trivial ring extension. Then R is a gr-AV ring since A is a AV-ring by Proposition 4.16; as A is not valuation ring, there exist two elements  $x, y \in A$  such that xA and yA are not comparable under inclusion. Hence the two homogeneous ideals (x, 0)R and (y, 0)R of R are not comparable under inclusion. Whence R is gr AV-ring which is not a gr-valuation ring.

(2) Let A be a Valuation ring. Set  $R = A \ltimes M$  the trivial ring extension with  $M = A \oplus A$ . Then R is a gr AV-ring since A is an AV-ring. On the other hand, the two homogeneous ideals (0, a)R and (0, b)R of R are not comparable under inclusion with a = (0, 1) and b = (1, 0), consequently R is not a gr-Valuation ring.

Besides the trivially context of graded rings. The following Theorem shows that, for a useful kinds of condition, one can characterize when the graded trivial ring extension  $R = A \ltimes E$  is a gr-AV ring.

**Theorem 4.18.** Let A be a gr-AV ring and  $aE = a^2E$  for all  $a \in h(A)$ . Then  $R = A \ltimes E$  is a gr-AV ring.

*Proof.* Let *α* := (*a*, *x*) and *β* := (*b*, *y*) ∈ *h*(*R*). Since *a*, *b* ∈ *h*(*A*) and *A* is a gr-AV ring, there exists *n* ≥ 1 such that either  $Aa^n \subseteq Ab^n$  or  $Ab^n \subseteq Aa^n$ . Without loss of generality, we may assume that  $Aa^n \subseteq Ab^n$ . Then we can write  $a^n = b^n c$  for some  $c \in h(A)$ . Then we have  $a^{2n} = b^{2n}c^2$ . Now we will show that  $R\alpha^{2n} \subseteq R\beta^{2n}$ . To show that the inclusion, we must show that  $(a, x)^{2n} = (b, y)^{2n}(c^2, e)$  for some  $e \in A$ . First note that  $a^{2n-1}E = a^{2n}E$  and  $b^{2n-1}E = b^{2n}E$  since  $aE = a^2E$  and  $bE = b^2E$ . As  $2na^{2n-1}x = a^{2n-1}2nx \in a^{2n-1}E = a^{2n}E$ , we can find  $m \in E$  such that  $2na^{2n-1}x = a^{2n}m = b^{2n}(c^2m)$ . Similarly, we can write  $2nb^{2n-1}c^2y = b^{2n}m'$  for some  $m' \in E$ . Then we conclude that  $2na^{2n-1}x = 2nb^{2n-1}c^2y + b^{2n}e$  and thus  $(a, x)^{2n} = (b, y)^{2n}(c^2, e)$ . This implies that  $R(a, x)^{2n} \subseteq R(b, y)^{2n}$  and hence *R* is a gr-AV ring.

Recall from [19] an A-module E is said to be a von Neumann regular module if for each  $m \in E$ ,  $Am = aE = a^2E$  for some  $a \in A$ . The authors in [19] showed that a finitely generated A-module E is a von Neumann regular module if and only if  $aE = a^2E$  for all  $a \in A$ .

**Corollary 4.19.** Let E be a finitely generated von Neumann regular module. Suppose that A is a graded ring, E is a graded A-module and  $R = A \ltimes E$  is the graded trivial extension. Then R is a gr-AV ring if and only if A is a gr-AV ring.

*Proof.* Follows from previous theorem.

Recall from the introduction that a graded *R*-module *E* is *gr-divisible* if, for each homogeneous  $e \in E$  and each regular homogeneous element *a* of *R* there exists  $f \in E$  such that af = e.

GRADED ALMOST VALUATION RINGS

13

**Proposition 4.20.** Let E be graded R-module, then E is gr-divisible if and only if af = e with  $e \in E$ , and a regular  $a \in h(R)$  has a solution in E.

*Proof.* If af = e with a is a regular homogeneous element of R and  $e \in E$  has a solution in E. Then naturally E is gr-divisible. Conversely, assume that E is gr-divisible and let a be a regular homogeneous element of R and  $e = \sum_{g \in \Gamma} e_g \in E$ , then there exist  $f_g \in E$  such that  $af_g = e_g$  for each  $g \in \Gamma$  and hence  $a \sum_{g \in \Gamma} f_g = e$ , as desired.

Our new result studies the possible transfer of the gr AV-ring property between a graded ring A and a graded trivial ring extension  $A \ltimes E$ . Recall from [16] that a graded ring  $R = \bigoplus_{\alpha \in \Gamma} R_{\alpha}$  is said to be a crossed product if  $R_{\alpha}$  contains a unit for every  $\alpha \in \Gamma$ .

**Theorem 4.21.** Let A be a graded ring, E a nonzero graded A-module and  $R := A \ltimes E$  the graded trivial ring extension. Then:

- (1) If R is a gr AV-ring, then so is A.
- (2) Suppose that  $h \cdot Z(A) = h \cdot Nil(A)$  and E is a gr-divisible A-module. Then R is a gr AV-ring if and only if A is a gr AV-ring.
- (3) Suppose that Q ⊆ A, h − Z(A) = h − Nil(A) and E is a torsion-free graded A-module. Further, assume that the grading monoid Γ is a group and A is a crossed product. Then R is an gr AV-ring if and only if A is a gr AV-ring and E is a gr divisible A-module.
- (4) Let (A, M) be a graded quasi-local ring (with maximal homogeneous ideal M) and let E be a graded A-module such that M = Gr(Ann(E)). Then R is a gr AV-ring if and only if A is a gr AV-ring.

*Proof.* (1) Let a, b be two homogeneous elements of A, then (a, 0), (b, 0) are homogeneous elements of R. Since R is a gr AV-ring, there exists a positive integer n such that  $(a, 0)^n \in R(b, 0)^n$  or  $(b, 0)^n \in R(a, 0)^n$ . Thus,  $a^n \in Ab^n$  or  $b^n \in Aa^n$ , and hence A is a gr AV-ring.

(2) Assume that  $h \cdot Z(A) = h \cdot Nil(A)$  and E is a gr divisible A-module. By (1), it is only required to prove that if A is a gr AV-ring, then R is a gr AV-ring. Which means that if  $\alpha := (a, e)$  and  $\beta := (b, f)$  are homogeneous elements of R, then there exists a positive integer n such that either  $\alpha^n \in \beta^n R$  or  $\beta^n \in \alpha^n R$ . Then two cases are possible. In first case, a and b are each regular homogeneous elements of A. Then, since A is a gr AV-ring, there exists a positive integer n such that either  $a^n A \subseteq b^n A$  or  $b^n A \subseteq a^n A$ . We may assume, without loss of generality, that  $a^n A \subseteq b^n A$ . Then  $a^n = b^n c$  for some  $c \in A$ . Since E is a gr-divisible A-module and  $b^n$  is a regular homogeneous element of A, there exists  $d \in E$  such that  $b^n d = na^{n-1}e - ncb^{n-1}f$  according to Proposition 4.20. Therefore (with  $a^0 := 1$ ), we have

$$\alpha^{n} = (a, e)^{n} = (a^{n}, na^{n-1}e) = (b^{n}c, b^{n}d + ncb^{n-1}f)$$
$$= (b^{n}, nb^{n-1}f)(c, d) = \beta^{n}(c, d) \in \beta^{n}R$$

# 14 FATIMA ZAHRA GUISSI, NAJIB MAHDOU, ÜNSAL TEKİR, AND SUAT KOÇ

as desired. In the remaining case, either a or b is a homogeneous zero-divisor in A. Without loss of generality,  $a \in h$ -Z(A) = h-Nil(A). Then there exists a positive integer n such that  $a^n = 0$ . Hence  $\alpha^{n+1} = (a^{n+1}, (n+1)a^n e) = (0,0) \in \beta^{n+1}R$ , as desired.

(3) By (1) and (2), we need only prove that if R is a gr AV-ring (along with the hypotheses that  $\mathbb{Q} \subseteq A$  and E is a torsion-free graded A-module), then E is a gr-divisible A-module; that is, if e is a nonzero homogeneous element of E and a is a regular homogeneous element of A, then  $e \in aE$ . Assume that  $\deg(a) = h_1$ and  $\deg(e) = h_2$ . Since  $\Gamma$  is a group and A is crossed product, we can choose a unit homogeneous element  $x \in A_{h_2-h_1}$ . Note that (xa, e) is a homogeneous element of R with  $\deg(xa, e) = h_2$ , since R is a gr AV-ring, there exists a positive integer n such that either  $(a, 0)^n \in (xa, e)^n R$  or  $(xa, e)^n \in (a, 0)^n R$  (keep in mind, (a, 0) is a homogeneous element for any homogeneous element  $a \in A$ ). There are two cases. The first case,  $(a, 0)^n = (xa, e)^n (c, f)$  for some  $(c, f) \in R$ . Then  $(a, 0)^n = (a^n, 0) =$  $(xa,e)^{n}(c,f) = (x^{n}a^{n}, nx^{n-1}a^{n-1}e)(c,f) = (x^{n}a^{n}c, x^{n}a^{n}f + nx^{n-1}a^{n-1}ce)$ , so that  $x^n a^n c = a^n$  and  $x^n a^n f + n x^{n-1} a^{n-1} c e = 0$ . These facts can be rewritten as  $a^n(x^nc-1) = 0$  and  $a^{n-1}(x^naf + nx^{n-1}ce) = 0$ . Since  $a^n$  and  $a^{n-1}$  are each regular homogeneous elements of A (h- $\operatorname{Reg}(A)$  is a multiplicatively closed set), the hypothesis that E is a torsion-free graded A-module gives first that  $x^n c = 1$  and next that  $x^n a f + n x^{n-1} c e = 0$ . Multiplying by  $n^{-1} \in \mathbb{Q} \subseteq A$  and  $(x^{-1})^{n-1} \in A$ , we get  $ce = n^{-1}(-xaf) = a(-n^{-1}xf) \in aE$  and so  $e \in aE$  since c is unit, as desired. In the remaining case,  $(xa, e)^n = (a, 0)^n (d, g)$  for some  $(d, g) \in R$ . Simplifying and equating second coordinates, we have  $a^n g = n x^{n-1} a^{n-1} e$ . As above, the hypotheses that E is a torsion-free graded A module and a is a regular homogeneous element give that  $ag = nx^{n-1}e$ . It follows that  $e = a(n^{-1}(x^{-1})^{n-1}g) \in aE$ , as desired.

(4) By (1), we need only prove that if A is a gr AV-ring, along with the hypothesis that  $M = Gr(\operatorname{Ann}(E))$ , then R is a gr AV-ring. Let  $\alpha = (a, e), \beta = (b, f) \in h(R)$ . If a is a homogeneous unit in A, then  $\alpha$  is a homogeneous unit in R [2, Proposition 5], so that  $\beta^1 = \beta \in R = \alpha R = \alpha^1 R$ . Similarly, if b is a homogeneous unit in A, then  $\alpha^1 \in \beta^1 R$ . Thus, we may assume, without loss of generality, that  $a \in M$  and  $b \in M$ . Then, since  $M \subseteq Gr(\operatorname{Ann}(E))$ , there exist positive integers m and p such that  $a^m \in \operatorname{Ann}(E)$  and  $b^p \in \operatorname{Ann}(E)$ . As A is gr AV-ring, there exists a positive integer n such that either  $a^n \in b^n A$  or  $b^n \in a^n A$ . We may assume, without loss of generality, that  $a^n \in b^n A$ . Taking  $(mp + 1)^{\text{th}}$  powers and rewriting, we get  $a^{(mp+1)n} = b^{(mp+1)n}r$  for some  $r \in A$ . Then, since  $(mp + 1)n - 1 > \max(m, p)$ .

$$\begin{aligned} &\alpha^{(mp+1)n} = (a,e)^{(mp+1)n} = \left(a^{(mp+1)n}, (mp+1)na^{(mp+1)n-1}e\right) = \\ & \left(a^{(mp+1)n}, 0\right) = \left(b^{(mp+1)n}r, 0\right) = \left(b^{(mp+1)n}r, (mp+1)nb^{(mp+1)n-1}f\right) = \\ & \left(b^{(mp+1)n}, (mp+1)nb^{(mp+1)n-1}f\right)(r, 0) = (b, f)^{(mp+1)n}(r, 0) \in \beta^{(mp+1)n}R, \end{aligned}$$

as desired. This completes the proof.

Submitted: April 1, 2023 Accepted: February 6, 2024 Published (early view): August 27, 2024 GRADED ALMOST VALUATION RINGS

15

If A is a graded integral domain, Theorem 4.21 (2) specializes to the following result.

**Corollary 4.22.** Let A be graded integral domain and E a graded A-module and  $R = A \ltimes E$  the graded trivial ring extension.

- (1) Assume that E is a gr-divisible A-module. Then R is gr-AV ring if and only if A is a gr AV-ring.
- (2) Assume that E is a K-vector space where K is the homogeneous quotient field of fractions of A. Then R is gr-AV ring if and only if A is a gr AV-ring.

If (A, M) is a graded quasi-local ring and E a graded A-module, then the Corollary below give an other application to Theorem 4.21 (4).

**Corollary 4.23.** Let (A, M) be a graded quasi-local ring, E a graded A-module, and  $R := A \ltimes E$  the graded trivial ring extension. Assume that  $M^n E = 0$  for some positive integer  $n \ge 1$ . Then R is a gr AV-ring if and only if A is a gr AV-ring.

*Proof.* Obviously, if E = 0 then the assertions are clear since  $R \cong A$ . Thus, we may henceforth assume, without loss of generality, that  $E \neq 0$ . Then we have  $\operatorname{Ann}(E) \neq A$ . Let x be a homogeneous element of M, then  $x^n E = 0$ , so  $x^n \in \operatorname{Ann}(E)$  which implies that  $x \in \operatorname{Gr}(\operatorname{Ann}(E))$ . Whence  $\operatorname{Gr}(\operatorname{Ann}(E)) = M$ , and so an application of Theorem 4.21 (4) completes the proof.  $\Box$ 

**Remark 4.24.** Let (A, M) be a graded quasi-local ring and M be the only prime homogeneous ideal of A. Whence M = h-Nil(A). Then, every homogeneous element of A is unit or nilpotent. Then by Example 4.9 A is always a gr AV-ring. Note that, if A has a unique prime homogeneous ideal M, then  $A \ltimes E$  has also a unique prime homogeneous ideal  $M \ltimes E$ . Therefore  $A \ltimes E$  is always a gr AV-ring.

Our next example illustrates parts (2) of Theorem 4.21.

**Example 4.25.** Let D be a gr-AVD, K is the homogeneous quotient field of D and  $E = K \times K$ . Then  $R := D \ltimes E$  is a gr AV-ring which is neither a graded integral domain nor a graded valuation ring.

*Proof.* By Corollary 4.22 R is gr AV-ring. It is straightforward to see that R is not a graded integral domain. On the other hand, the two homogeneous ideals (0, x)R and (0, y)R of R are not comparable under inclusion with x = (0, 1) and y = (1, 0). Hence R is not a graded valuation ring.

The integral closure of an almost valuation domain is a valuation domain as shown by Anderson and Zafrullah in [6, Theorem 5.6]; but beyong the context of integral domains, N. Mahdou, A. Mimouni, and M. A. Salam Moutui in [15] explained the failure of this characterization. Afterwards in [9, Theorem 2.3], C. Bakkari, N. Mahdou and A. Riffi provide an analog characterization of the gr-AVDs in relation to the graded theory. The following example shows how C. Bakkari, N. Mahdou, and Riffi's theorem fails outside of the framework of graded integral domains.

16 FATIMA ZAHRA GUISSI, NAJIB MAHDOU, ÜNSAL TEKİR, AND SUAT KOÇ

**Example 4.26.** Let (A, M) be a valuation domain which is not a field, E is a non simple A-module such that ME = 0. With the notation of Remark 4.15, let  $R = A \ltimes E$  be the trivial ring extension, and  $\overline{R}$  the integral closure of R in the total quotient ring of R. Then we have as follows:

- (1) R is a gr AV-ring.
- (2)  $\bar{R} = R$  is not a gr-valuation ring.

*Proof.* (1) By Proposition 4.16 since A is an AV-ring.

(2) First, it is straightforward to see that  $Z(R) = \{(a, m) \mid a \text{ is a non unit element}$ of  $A, m \in E\}$ . Hence R is a total quotient ring. Thus R is a fortiori integrally closed in its total quotient ring. However, since A is not a field we conclude that  $M \neq 0$ , E has a proper submodule N since it is not a simple module. Let  $a(\neq 0) \in M$ , as  $aE = 0, aR \ltimes N$  is a homogeneous ideal of R by [5, Theorem 3.3]. Therefore we can see the two homogeneous ideals  $aR \ltimes N$  and  $0 \ltimes E$  are not comparable and so R is not a gr-valuation ring, as desired.

Recall from the introduction that a ring R is called an *almost Bezout ring* if, for any two elements a and b in R, there exists a positive integer n such that the ideal  $(a^n, b^n)$  is principal. Now we introduce the notion of graded almost Bezout ring.

**Definition 4.27.** A graded ring R is said to be a graded almost Bezout ring (gr-AB ring) if for each  $a, b \in h(R)$ , there exist  $n \ge 1$  and  $x \in h(R)$  such that  $(a^n, b^n) = (x)$ .

**Theorem 4.28.** Let R be a graded integral domain. Then R is a gr-AV domain if and only if R is a gr-AB domain and R is a gr-local ring.

*Proof.*  $(\Rightarrow)$ : Suppose that R is a gr-AV domain. Then by Corollary 4.7, (R, M) is a gr-local ring. Let  $a, b \in h(R)$ . Then there exists  $n \geq 1$  such that  $a^n R \subseteq b^n R$  or  $b^n R \subseteq a^n R$ . This implies that  $(a^n, b^n) = (b^n)$  or  $(a^n, b^n) = (a^n)$ . Thus, R is a gr-AB domain.

(⇐): Let R be a gr-AB domain and R be a gr-local ring, where M is the unique homogeneous maximal ideal of R. Let  $a, b \in h(R)$ . Since M is unique homogeneous maximal ideal, we may assume that  $a, b \in M$ . Since R is a gr-AB domain, there exist  $n \ge 1$  and  $x \in h(R)$  such that  $(a^n, b^n) = (x)$ . Then there exist  $r, s \in R$  such that  $x = ra^n + sb^n$ . Let  $r = \sum r_g$  and  $s = \sum s_g$ . Then  $x = \sum a^n r_g + \sum b^n s_g$ . Then there exists  $g, h \in G$  such that  $x = r_g a^n + s_h b^n$ , where  $\deg(x) = \deg(r_g a^n) = \deg(s_h b^n)$ . Since  $a^n \in (x)$ , we can write  $a^n = y(r_g a^n + s_h b^n)$ . As  $a^n, x \in h(R)$ , we may assume that  $y \in h(R)$ . Similarly, we can find  $z \in h(R)$  such that  $b^n = z(r_g a^n + s_h b^n)$ . This gives that  $r_g a^n + s_h b^n = (r_g a^n + s_h b^n)(r_g y + s_h z)$ . Since R is a graded domain, we have  $r_g y + s_h z = 1$ . Since  $y, z \in h(R)$  and M is unique homogeneous maximal ideal, either y or z is unit. Indeed, if y, z are nonunit, then  $y, z \in M$  which implies that  $r_g y + s_h z = 1 \in M$ , a contradiction. Without loss of generality, we may assume that y is a unit of R. Since  $a^n = y(r_g a^n + s_h b^n)$ , we have  $x = r_g a^n + s_h b^n \in y^{-1}a^n \in Ra^n$ . This implies that  $b^n \in Rx \subseteq Ra^n$  and so we have  $Rb^n \subseteq Ra^n$ . Hence, R is a gr-AV domain.

GRADED ALMOST VALUATION RINGS

17

The following theorem studies the possible transfer of the gr-AB ring property between a ring A and a graded trivial ring extension  $A \ltimes E$ .

**Theorem 4.29.** Let A be a graded ring, E a nonzero graded A-module and  $R = A \ltimes E$  the graded trivial extension. Then:

(1) If R is a gr-AB ring, then so is A.

(2) Suppose that h - Z(A) = h - Nil(A) and E is a gr-divisible A-module. Then R is a gr-AB ring if and only if A is a gr-AB ring.

(3) Let (A, M) be a gr-local (with unique homogeneous maximal ideal M of A) and let M = Gr(Ann(E)). Then R is a gr-AB ring if and only if A is a gr-AB ring.

*Proof.* (1) Assume that R is a gr-AB ring. Let  $a, b \in h(A)$ . Then  $\alpha := (a, 0)$  and  $\beta := (b, 0)$  are homogeneous in R. Then there exist a homogeneous  $x := (c, y) \in h(R)$  and  $n \ge 1$  such that  $R(a, 0)^n + R(b, 0)^n = Rx$ . This gives  $Aa^n + Ab^n = Ax$ , that is, A is a gr-AB ring.

(2) Suppose that h Z(A) = h Nil(A) and E is a gr-divisible module. If R is a gr-AB ring, then by (1), A is a gr-AB ring. Now, suppose that A is a gr-AB ring and (a, m), (b, m') are homogeneous in R. Then  $a, b \in h(A)$ . Let  $a \in h - Z(A)$ . Then there exists  $n \ge 1$  such that  $a^n = 0$ . This gives  $(a, m)^{n+1} = (a^{n+1}, (n+1)a^n m) =$ (0,0), that is,  $R(a,m)^{n+1} \subseteq R(b,m')^{n+1}$ . If  $b \in h Z(A)$ , one can similarly show that  $R(b,m')^{n+1} \subset R(a,m)^{n+1}$  for some n > 1. Now, suppose that a, b are regular homogeneous elements of A. Put  $\alpha := (a, m)$  and  $\beta := (b, m')$ . We will show that there exist  $n \ge 1$  and  $\gamma \in h(R)$  such that  $R\alpha^n + R\beta^n = R\gamma$ . Since A is a gr-AB ring, there exist  $n \ge 1$  and  $x \in h(A)$  such that  $Aa^n + Ab^n = Ax$ . This gives  $x = ra^n + sb^n$  for some  $r, s \in A$ . Let  $z \in R\alpha^n + R\beta^n$ . Then  $z = (ca^n + db^n, e)$ for some  $c, d \in A$  and  $e \in E$ . Since  $ca^n + db^n \in Aa^n + Ab^n = Ax$ , we can write  $ca^n + db^n = xy$  for some  $y \in A$ . Note that x is a regular homogeneous element of A since  $a^n$  is regular and  $a^n \in Aa^n \subseteq Ax$ . Since E is a gr-divisible module and x is regular, by Proposition 4.18, there exists  $f \in E$  such that e = xf. This gives  $z = (ca^n + db^n, e) = (xy, xf) = (x, 0)(y, f)$ . Then we have  $R\alpha^n + R\beta^n \subseteq$ R(x,0). Now, we will show that the reverse inclusion holds. Since E is gr-divisible and  $a^n$  is regular, we can write  $-nra^{n-1}m - nsb^{n-1}m' = a^nm''$  for some  $m'' \in$ E. Thus  $(x,0) = (ra^n + sb^n, 0) = (a,m)^n(r,m'') + (b,m')(s,0)$ , and this implies that  $R(x,0) \subseteq R\alpha^n + R\beta^n$ . Thus we have  $R\alpha^n + R\beta^n = R(x,0)$ , that is, R is a gr-AB ring.

(3) We need only show that if (A, M) is a gr-local and gr-AB ring such that Gr(Ann(E)) = M, then R is a gr-AB ring. Let  $\alpha := (a, x)$  and  $\beta := (b, y) \in h(R)$ . If a or b is unit, then  $\alpha$  or  $\beta$  is unit. Thus we have  $R\alpha + R\beta = R(1, 0)$  which completes the proof. Now, assume that a, b are nonunits homogeneous elements of A, that is,  $a, b \in M = Gr(Ann(E))$ . Then there exists  $n \geq 1$  such that  $a^n E = b^n E = 0$ . Since  $a^{n+1}, b^{n+1} \in h(A)$  and A is a gr-AB ring, there exists  $k \geq 1$  such that

This peer-reviewed unedited article has been accepted for publication. The final copyedited version may differ in some details. Volume, issue, and page numbers will be assigned at a later stage. Cite using this DOI, which will not change in the final version: https://doi.org/10.33044/revuma.4036.

# 18 FATIMA ZAHRA GUISSI, NAJIB MAHDOU, ÜNSAL TEKİR, AND SUAT KOÇ

$$\begin{aligned} A(a^{n+1})^k + A(b^{n+1})^k &= Ac \text{ for some } c \in h(A). \text{ On the other hand, note that} \\ R\alpha^{(n+1)k} + R\beta^{(n+1)k} &= R(a^{(n+1)k}, (n+1)ka^{(n+1)k-1}x) + R(b^{(n+1)k}, (n+1)kb^{(n+1)k-1}y) \\ &= R(a^{(n+1)k}, 0) + R(b^{(n+1)k}, 0) \\ &= R(a^{n+1}, 0)^k + R(b^{n+1}, 0)^k. \end{aligned}$$

Thus we have  $R\alpha^{(n+1)k} + R\beta^{(n+1)k} = R(c,0)$  which completes the proof.

If A is a graded integral domain and E is a gr-divisible A-module, Theorem 4.29(2) specializes to the following result.

**Corollary 4.30.** Let A be a graded integral domain and E a gr-divisible A-module. Then  $A \ltimes E$  is a gr-AB ring if and only if A is a gr-AB domain.

**Acknowledgement.** The authors would like to thank the referee for his/her great efforts in proofreading the manuscript.

#### References

- A. Assarrar, N. Mahdou, Ü. Tekir and S Koc, Commutative graded-n-coherent and graded valuation rings, *Hacettepe Journal of Mathematics and Statistics* 51 (2022), no. 4, 1047-1057.
- [2] A. Assarrar, N. Mahdou, Ü. Tekir and S. Koc, On graded coherent-like properties in trivial ring extensions, *Boll. Unione Mat. Ital.* 15 (2022), 437-449.
- [3] M. M. Ali, Idealization and Theorems of D. D. Anderson II, Comm. Algebra 35(2007), no. 9, 2767-2792.
- [4] D.D. Anderson, K.R. Knopp and R.L. Lewin, Almost bezout domains II, J. Algebra 167 (1994), no. 3, 547-556.
- [5] D.D. Anderson and M. Winders, Idealization of a module, J. Commut. Algebra 1 (2009), no. 1, 3-56.
- [6] D.D. Anderson and M. Zafrullah, Almost Bezout domain, J. Algebra 142 (1991), no. 2, 285-309.
- [7] D.D Anderson, M. Zaffrullah. Almost Bezout domains III, Bull. Math. Soc. Sci. Math. Roumanie (N.S.) 51 (2008), no. 1, 3-9.
- [8] A. Badawi, On pseudo-almost valuation domains, Commun. Algebra 35 (2007), no. 4, 1167-1181.
- [9] C. Bakkari, N. Mahdou and A. Riffi, Graded Almost Valuation Domain, Vietnam Journal of Mathematics 49 (2021), no. 4, 1141-1150.
- [10] N. Jarboui and DE. Dobbs, On almost valuation ring pairs, J. Algebra Appl. 20 (2021), no. 10, 2150182.
- [11] R. Jahani-Nezhad and F. Khoshayand, Almost valuation rings, Bulletin of the Iranian Mathematical Society 43 (2017), no. 3, 807-816.
- [12] J. A. Huckaba, Commutative Rings with Zero Divisors, New York-Basel, Marcel Dekker (1988).
- [13] I. Kaplansky, Commutative Rings, rev. ed., Chicago, Univ. Chicago Press (1974).
- [14] M. Kabbour and N. Mahdou, On valuation rings, Commun. Algebra 39 (2010), no. 1, 176-183.
- [15] N. Mahdou, A. Mimouni and M. A. Salam Moutui, On almost valuation and almost Bezout rings, *Communications in Algebra* 43 (2015), no. 1, 297-308.
- [16] C. Nastasescu, V. Oystaeyen and F. Van, Methods of Graded Rings, Lecture Notes in Math, 1836, Springer-Verlag, Berlin, 2004.
- [17] D.G. Northcott, Lessons on Rings, Modules and Multiplicities, Cambridge University press, Cambridge (1968).

GRADED ALMOST VALUATION RINGS

19

- [18] M. Refai, M. Hailat and S. Obiedat, Graded radicals on graded prime spectra, Far East J. of Math. Sci. (I) (2000), 59-73.
- [19] Jayaram, C., & Tekir, Ü, von Neumann regular modules, Communications in Algebra 46 (2018), no. 5, 2205-2217.

(Fatima Zahra Guissi) LABORATORY OF MODELLING AND MATHEMATICAL STRUCTURES, DE-PARTMENT OF MATHEMATICS, FACULTY OF SCIENCE AND TECHNOLOGY OF FEZ, BOX 2202, UNI-VERSITY S.M. BEN ABDELLAH FEZ, MOROCCO.

 $Email \ address: {\tt fatimazahra.guissi@usmba.ac.ma}$ 

(Najib Mahdou) LABORATORY OF MODELLING AND MATHEMATICAL STRUCTURES, DEPARTMENT OF MATHEMATICS, FACULTY OF SCIENCE AND TECHNOLOGY OF FEZ, BOX 2202, UNIVERSITY S. M. BEN ABDELLAH FEZ, MOROCCO

Email address: mahdou@hotmail.com

(Ünsal Tekir) DEPARTMENT OF MATHEMATICS, MARMARA UNIVERSITY, ISTANBUL, TURKEY *Email address*: utekir@marmara.edu.tr

(Suat Koç) Department of Mathematics, Istanbul Medeniyet University, Istanbul, Turkey

Email address: suat.koc@medeniyet.edu.tr