THE POINTILLIST PRINCIPLE FOR VARIATION OPERATORS AND JUMP FUNCTIONS

KEVIN HUGHES

ABSTRACT. I extend the pointillist principles of Moon and Carrillo-de Guzmán to variational operators and jump functions.

1. The pointillist principle

In [11], Moon observed that, for a sequence of sufficiently smooth convolution operators and any $q \geq 1$, the weak (1,q) boundedness of their maximal operator is equivalent to restricted weak (1,q) boundedness of the maximal operator. In this paper, the goal is to extend this theorem to variational operators and to jump functions. I now recall a couple definitions in order to make this precise.

For a sequence of operators $(T_m)_{m\in\mathbb{N}}$, define their maximal function

$$M(T_m f(x) : m \in \mathbb{N}) := \sup_{m \in \mathbb{N}} |T_m f(x)|$$

for $f: \mathbb{R}^d \to \mathbb{C}$ and $x \in \mathbb{R}^d$. Suppose that $p, q \geq 1$. An operator T is weak-type (p,q) with norm C if it satisfies the inequality

$$||Tf||_{L^{q,\infty}} \le C||f||_{L^p} \quad \text{for all} \quad f \in L^p$$
 (1)

where $||f||_{L^p} := \left(\int |f(x)|^p dx\right)^{1/p}$ and $||g||_{L^{q,\infty}} := \sup_{t>0} t |\{x \in \mathbb{R}^d : |g(x)| \ge t\}|^{1/q}$ for functions $f,g:\mathbb{R}^d\to\mathbb{C}$ with the usual modifications made when p or q is infinite. Here and throughout, C is non-negative. In this paper, we will restrict our functions to be defined on \mathbb{R}^d and will work with the Lebesgue measure thereon. So, I will rarely include this in the notation, and I will also let |X| denote the measure of a finite (Lebesgue) measurable set X in \mathbb{R}^d . Additionally, an operator T is said to be restricted weak-type (p,q) with norm C if (1) holds for each function f which is the characteristic function of a finite measurable set.

Moon's theorem. Suppose that $(T_m)_{m\in\mathbb{N}}$ is a sequence of convolution operators given by $T_m f := f * g_m$ with $g_m \in L^1(\mathbb{R}^d)$ for each $m \in \mathbb{N}$. For any $q \geq 1$, $M(T_m f(x) : m \in \mathbb{N})$ is restricted weak-type (1,q) with norm C if and only if $M(T_m f(x) : m \in \mathbb{N})$ is weak-type (1,q) with norm C.

The essential difference between the two distinct weak-types lies in the class of input functions used to define them. The class of all L^p functions serve as input to the (unqualified) weak-type inequalities while its subclass of characteristic functions

Submitted: April 20, 2023 Accepted: February 6, 2024

²⁰²⁰ Mathematics Subject Classification. Primary 42B25.

This peer-reviewed unedited article has been accepted for publication. The final copyedited version may differ in some details. Volume, issue, and page numbers will be assigned at a later stage. Cite using this DOI, which will not change in the final version: https://doi.org/10.33044/revuma.4124.

KEVIN HUGHES

of finite measurable sets serve as input to the restricted weak-type inequalities. In particular, if an operator is weak-type (p,q) then it is automatically restricted weak-type (p,q). Moon's theorem says that the converse is true for certain maximal functions when p=1. The converse may fail for linear operators when p>1; see page 149 in [11].

In [4], Carillo-de Guzmán gave a version of Moon's theorem where the class of characteristic functions is replaced by linear combinations of delta functions. To state their result, we introduce more terminology. Let δ_x denote the (Dirac) delta function at the point $x \in \mathbb{R}^d$. In analogy with restricted weak-type, let us say that an operator T is pointed weak-type (p, p) with norm at most C if for any finite subset of points $X \subset \mathbb{R}^d$, we have the inequality

$$\left| \left\{ x \in \mathbb{R}^d : \left| T\left(\sum_{y \in X} \delta_y \right)(x) \right| > \lambda \right\} \right| \le C \# X / \lambda^p \quad \text{for all } \lambda > 0.$$
 (2)

This inequality and definition is to be interpreted as defined only when the operator T makes sense on delta functions. For instance, this makes sense when T is taken to be the maximal function $M(f*g_m(x):m\in\mathbb{N})$ formed from a sequence of L^1 functions $(g_m)_{m\in\mathbb{N}}$ in which case $\sum_{y\in X} \delta_y * g_m(x) = \sum_{y\in X} g(x-y)$.

Carrillo-de Guzmán's theorem. Suppose that $(T_m)_{m\in\mathbb{N}}$ is a sequence of convolution operators given by $T_m f := f * g_m$ with $g_m \in L^1(\mathbb{R}^d)$ for each $m \in \mathbb{N}$. For any $p \geq 1$, $M(T_m f(x) : m \in \mathbb{N})$ is weak-type (p,p) with norm at most C if $M(T_m f(x) : m \in \mathbb{N})$ is pointed weak-type (p,p) with norm at most C. Furthermore, the converse is true if p = 1.

Pointed weak-type inequalities form a third distinct class of inequalities because finite sums of delta functions serve as input to the pointed weak-type inequalities; note that delta functions are not L^p functions for any p and give a distinct class of input functions. The converse to Carrillo-de Guzmán's theorem can fail for p > 1; see page 121 in [4].

Grafakos-Mastylo extended Moon's theorem to the multilinear setting in [5] while Carena extended Carrillo-de Guzmán's theorem to more general metric measure spaces in [2]. See [7] and [8] for more extensions. It is this collection of theorems we refer to as the 'pointillist principle', taking its name from the Pointillism movement in art.

The purpose of this short note is to extend Moon and Carrillo–de Guzmán's instances of the pointillist principle to variational operators and jump functions. The pointillist principle led to a new proof of boundedness of the Hardy–Littlewood maximal function in [3] and the best constant for the Hardy–Littlewood maximal function in one dimension in [9], and it is my hope that this work will be used to give new proofs of the L^p boundedness of the variation of Hardy–Littlewood averages. I now recall these operators and discuss a few of their basic properties.

Submitted: April 20, 2023 Accepted: February 6, 2024

POINTILLIST PRINCIPLE FOR VARIATIONS

Let $r \in [1, \infty)$ and $\mathcal{R} \subseteq \mathbb{N}$. Suppose that $(f_m)_{m \in \mathbb{N}}$ is a sequence of Lebesgue measurable functions. Define pointwise the r-variation of the subsequence $(f_m)_{m \in \mathcal{R}}$

$$V_r(f_m(x): m \in \mathcal{R}) := \sup\left(\sum_{i=1}^{L} |f_{m_i}(x) - f_{m_{i+1}}(x)|^r\right)^{1/r},\tag{3}$$

where the supremum is over all finite, increasing subsequences (m_i) in \mathcal{R} . One makes the usual modification using the essential supremum to extend (3) to $r = \infty$. Note that $V_r(\cdot)$ is sublinear in its argument. For $\lambda > 0$, define the jump function $N_{\lambda}(f_m(x): m \in \mathcal{R})$ as given by the supremum over $M \in \mathbb{N}$ such that there exists a sequence $s_0 < t_0 \le s_1 < t_1 \le \cdots \le s_M < t_M$ in \mathcal{R} with $|f_{s_i}(x) - f_{t_i}(x)| > \lambda$ for all $0 \le i \le M$. Unlike the variation operators, the jump functions fail to be sublinear. However, we note the almost sub-additivity of the jump functions:

$$N_{\lambda}([f_m + g_m](x) : m \in \mathcal{R}) \le N_{\lambda_1}(f_m(x) : m \in \mathcal{R}) + N_{\lambda_2}(g_m(x) : m \in \mathcal{R})$$
 (4) for λ_1 and λ_2 positive with $\lambda_1 + \lambda_2 = \lambda$.

For present purposes, we are most interested in these objects when the functions $f_m := T_m f$ for a sequence of operators $(T_m)_{m \in \mathbb{N}}$ e.g., naturally occurring families of linear operators in probability and analysis such as expectation operators from a martingale or Hardy–Littlewood averages. The main problem becomes establishing the L^p boundedness of the associated variation operators and jump functions.

The variation operators are connected to the jump functions by the inequality:

$$N_{\lambda}(T_m f(x) : m \in \mathcal{R}) \le 4\lambda^{-r} [V_r(T_m f(x) : m \in \mathcal{R})]^r$$

for each $r \geq 1$. Surprisingly this can be reversed on average in $L^p(\mathbb{R}^d)$ for $1 \leq p < \infty$ when r > 2. In practice the L^p boundedness of V_2 often fails. However the jump function $\lambda \sqrt{N_\lambda}$ may still be bounded in which case it acts as a surrogate 'endpoint' operator for V_2 ; see [6]. The variation operators are related to the maximal functions by

$$V_{\infty}(T_m f(x) : m \in \mathcal{R}) = 2M(T_m f(x) : m \in \mathcal{R})$$

$$\leq 2 \left[V_{\infty}(T_m f(x) : m \in \mathcal{R}) + T_{m_0} f(x) \right]$$

for any $m_0 \in \mathcal{R}$. Because of this inequality, we may henceforth assume that r is finite. On the one hand, $V_r f(x)$ increases as r decreases so that its L^p -boundedness becomes more difficult to prove. On the other hand, the jump inequalities and variational estimates give quantitative versions of pointwise ergodic theorems. For a more thorough discussion of variations and jump functions, see [1, 12, 6, 10].

Our first theorem generalizes Moon's theorem to variations and jump functions.

Theorem 1.1. Suppose that $(T_m)_{m\in\mathbb{N}}$ is a sequence of convolution operators given by $T_m f := f * g_m$ with $g_m \in L^1(\mathbb{R}^d)$ for each $m \in \mathbb{N}$. For any $q, r \geq 1$, $V_r(T_m f : m \in \mathbb{N})$ is restricted weak-type (1,q) with norm C if and only if $V_r(T_m f : m \in \mathbb{N})$ is weak-type (1,q) with norm C. Moreover, $\lambda \sqrt[r]{N_\lambda}$ is restricted weak-type (1,q) if and only if $\lambda \sqrt[r]{N_\lambda}$ is weak-type (1,q).

We also prove the Carrillo-de Guzmán version of Theorem 1.1.

Submitted: April 20, 2023 Accepted: February 6, 2024

Published (early view): August 27, 2024

KEVIN HUGHES

Theorem 1.2. Suppose that $(T_m)_{m\in\mathbb{N}}$ is a sequence of convolution operators given by $T_m f := f * g_m$ with $g_m \in L^1(\mathbb{R}^d)$ for each $m \in \mathbb{N}$. If $p, r \geq 1$ and $V_r(T_m f : m \in \mathbb{N})$ is pointed weak-type (p,p) with norm C, then $V_r(T_m f : m \in \mathbb{N})$ is strong-type (p,p) with norm at most C. Moreover the same is true for the jump functions $\lambda \sqrt[r]{N_\lambda}$.

We can extend Theorem 1.1 to a slightly more general set-up. In addition to working with convolutions of L^1 functions, we will work with convolutions of smoothing, possibly singular, measures. This extension appeared for the maximal function of lacunary dilates of a smoothing measure in unpublished work of Seeger—Tao-Wright connected with [13]. Inspired by the set-up of [14], we use a weak version of condition (2) of Seeger-Wright's Theorem 1.1 in [14]. Let $(\mu_m)_{m\in\mathbb{N}}$ be a sequence of finite measures of bounded variation and T_m denote convolution with μ_m . Assume that, for some fixed $p \geq 1$ and for each $M \in \mathbb{N}$, we have

$$\sup_{m \le M} \|T_m \circ P_{>k}\|_{L^p \to L^p} = o(1) \text{ as } k \to \infty.$$
 (5)

Here, and throughout, P_k denotes a smooth Littlewood–Paley 'projection' operator adapted to frequency band of frequency size 2^k . To be precise, let $\mathbf{1}_{[-1,1]} \leq \phi \leq \mathbf{1}_{[-2,2]}$ be a smooth function on \mathbb{R} . Define by the multiplier $\widehat{P}_k(\xi) = \phi(|\xi|) - \phi(2|\xi|)$. Then for a function $f: \mathbb{R}^d \to \mathbb{C}$, $\widehat{P}_k \widehat{f} := \widehat{P}_k \cdot \widehat{f}$ the Fourier transform of $P_k f$ has support in $\{|\xi| \in [2^{k-1}, 2^{k+1}]\}$ while $\sum_{k \in \mathbb{Z}} \phi(|\xi|) - \phi(2|\xi|) \equiv 1$ for $\xi \in \mathbb{R}^d$ so that $\sum_{k \in \mathbb{Z}} P_k f = f$ in many senses. We write $P_{\leq k} f = \sum_{j \leq k} P_j f$ and $P_{>k} f = \sum_{j > k} P_j f$. As a motivating example one may consider the lacunary spherical averages given by the measures $\mu_m := \sigma_{2^m}$ for $m \in \mathbb{N}$ where σ_r is the spherical measure on a sphere of radius r > 0 normalized to have mass 1. It is known that $\|P_k \mu_r\|_{L^2(\mathbb{R}^d)} \lesssim (1 + r2^{-k})^{\frac{1-d}{2}}$ for $d \geq 2$ so that (5) is satisfied for these examples. We have the following 'smoothing' version of Moon's theorem and Theorem 1.1.

Theorem 1.3. Suppose that $(T_m)_{m\in\mathbb{N}}$ is a sequence of convolution operators given by $T_m f := f * \mu_m$ where μ_m is a finite measure of bounded total variation satisfying the smoothing property (5) for each $m \in \mathbb{N}$. For any $q, r \geq 1$, $V_r(T_m f : m \in \mathbb{N})$ is restricted weak-type (1,q) with norm C if and only if $V_r(T_m f : m \in \mathbb{N})$ is weak-type (1,q) with norm at most C. Moreover, $\lambda \sqrt[r]{N_\lambda}$ is restricted weak-type (1,q) if and only if $\lambda \sqrt[r]{N_\lambda}$ is weak-type (1,q).

We close the introduction with a little bit of notation that will be useful in the proof of our theorems. First, $f(x) \lesssim g(x)$ if there exists a constant $f(x) \leq Cg(x)$ for some implicit constant C > 0. Second, for a subset $F \subset \mathbb{R}^d$, let $\mathbf{1}_F$ denote the indicator or characteristic function of F.

ACKNOWLEDGEMENTS

I thank Jim Wright for informing me of the extension of Moon's theorem to smoothing mesaures, as well as for insightful conversations. I thank Ben Krause, Mariusz Mirek and Bartosz Trojan for discussions concerning variational operators and jump function inequalities while at the 2014 Trimester Program: "Harmonic

Submitted: April 20, 2023 Accepted: February 6, 2024

POINTILLIST PRINCIPLE FOR VARIATIONS

Analysis and Partial Differential Equations" at the Hausdorff Research Institute for Mathematics. I thank the referee for their feedback which improved the exposition of this paper.

2. Moon's theorem for variations

The proof of Moon's theorem hinges on how to approximate simple functions. The following proposition is implicit in [11]. It says that the set I_{ϵ} approximates f very well, in the sense that it has the same size as f and it is close to the convolution of f with a prescribed finite sequence of smooth functions. Since we will use it in the proof of Theorem 1.1, we include its proof for completeness.

Proposition 2.1 (Moon's pointillist principle). For a finite sequence $(h_m)_{m \in [M]}$ of $C^1(\mathbb{R}^d)$ functions, if f is a simple function on \mathbb{R}^d , then for any $\epsilon > 0$, there exists a set $I_{\epsilon} \subseteq \text{supp}(f)$ such that

$$(1) ||f||_{L^{\infty}} |I_{\epsilon}| = ||f||_{L^{1}},$$

(2)
$$|f * h_m(x) - (\|f\|_{L^{\infty}} \mathbf{1}_{I_{\epsilon}}) * h_m(x)| < \epsilon \|f\|_{L^1} \text{ for } m \in [M] \text{ and all } x \in \mathbb{R}^d.$$

Proof of Proposition 2.1. By the scaling homogeneity of the problem we may normalize $\|f\|_{L^{\infty}}=1$. Let $f=\sum_{k=1}^{K}a_{k}\mathbf{1}_{F_{k}}$ be a simple function with coefficients $a_{k}\in\mathbb{R}$ and each set $F_{k}\subset\mathbb{R}^{d}$ of finite Lebesgue measure. We may assume that the F_{k} are open balls with diameter at most $\delta>0$ a small parameter that we will optimize later. Let I_{k} be any open ball in F_{k} such that $|I_{k}|=a_{k}|F_{k}|$. Now set $I=\cup_{k}I_{k}$ so that $\|f\|_{L^{\infty}}|I|=|I|$.

We want to show that the difference between f and $\mathbf{1}_I = ||f||_{L^{\infty}} \mathbf{1}_I$ is small. First note that

$$f * h_m(x) = \int_{\mathbb{R}^d} \sum_k a_k \mathbf{1}_{F_k}(y) h_m(x - y) \ dy = \sum_k a_k \int_{F_k} h_m(x - y) \ dy$$
$$= \sum_k a_k |F_k| h_m(x - y_k) = \sum_k ||f||_{L^\infty} |I_k| h_m(x - y_k)$$
$$= \sum_k |I_k| h_m(x - y_k)$$

for some $y_k \in F_k$ since the h_m are smooth by the Mean Value Theorem. Similarly since $I_k \subset F_k$, we can write

$$\mathbf{1}_{I} * h_{m}(x) = \int_{\mathbb{R}^{d}} \sum_{k} \mathbf{1}_{I_{k}}(y) h_{m}(x - y) dy$$
$$= \sum_{k} \int_{I_{k}} h_{m}(x - y) dy = \sum_{k} |I_{k}| h_{m}(x - y'_{k})$$

for some $y'_k \in I_k$. Therefore we have the pointwise estimate

$$|f * h_m(x) - \mathbf{1}_I * h_m(x)| = \left| \sum_k |I_k| h_m(x - y_k) - \sum_k |I_k| h_m(x - y_k') dy \right|$$

Submitted: April 20, 2023 Accepted: February 6, 2024

Published (early view): August 27, 2024

This peer-reviewed unedited article has been accepted for publication. The final copyedited version may differ in some details. Volume, issue, and page numbers will be assigned at a later stage. Cite using this DOI, which will not change in the final version: https://doi.org/10.33044/revuma.4124.

KEVIN HUGHES

$$\leq \sum_{l_k} |I_k| \cdot |h_m(x - y_k) - h_m(x - y_k')|.$$

Since the functions h_m are smooth and M is finite, we can choose δ small enough so that $|h_m(x-y_k)-h_m(x-y_k')|<\epsilon$ for each $1\leq m\leq M$. Take I_ϵ to be I to conclude the proof.

We will make use of the following inequality multiple times.

Lemma 2.2. If $1 \le p, r \le \infty$ and $(f_m)_{m \in [M]}$ is a finite sequence of L^p -functions, then

$$||V_r(f_m: m \in [M])||_{L^p} \le 2M^2 \sup_{m \in [M]} ||f_m||_{L^p}.$$
(6)

Proof. Fix $1 \leq p, r \leq \infty$. First note the pointwise inequality

$$V_r(f_m(x) : m \in [M]) \le 2M \sup_{m \in [M]} |f_m(x)|.$$

This inequality follows from using the fact that V_r increases as r decreases and then applying the triangle inequality to V_1 . Next take L^p norms, replace the supremum by a sum, and use the triangle inequality to find that

$$||V_r(f_m: m \in [M])||_{L^p} \le 2M ||\sup_{m \in [M]} |f_m||_{L^p} \le 2M^2 \sup_{m \in [M]} ||f_m||_{L^p}.$$

This is the desired inequality.

With (6) and Proposition 2.1 in hand, let us prove Theorem 1.1.

Proof of Theorem 1.1. Weak-type obviously implies restricted weak-type so we only prove that restricted weak-type implies weak-type. Fix $q, r \geq 1$. By Monotone Convergence, reduce to the truncated variation operator $V_r(f*g_m(x):m\in[M])$ where the supremum is over all finite, increasing subsequences of $[M]:=\{1,\ldots,M\}$ as long as our bounds at the end are independent of M. Normally one would also reduce to simple functions, however we cannot do this since we do not yet know that the variation operator is continuous. Assume for now that f is a simple function, and we will remove this restriction at the end of the argument. By dilational symmetry of $L^1(\mathbb{R}^d)$, normalize our simple function so that $||f||_{L^\infty} = 1$. Let $\lambda > 0$.

Let $\epsilon > 0$. Our first step is to approximate $g_m \in L^1(\mathbb{R}^d)$ by smooth $h_m \in L^1(\mathbb{R}^d)$. We can do this so that $\|g_m - h_m\|_{L^1} < \epsilon$ for each $m \in [M]$. Then, for each $x \in \mathbb{R}^d$

$$|f * (g_m - h_m)(x)| \le ||f||_{L^{\infty}} ||g_m - h_m||_{L^1} < \epsilon.$$

Applying this and the inequality (6) with $f_m := f * [g_m - h_m]$ and $p = \infty$ implies that

$$||V_r(f * [g_m - h_m] : m \in [M])||_{L^{\infty}} < 2M^2 \epsilon.$$

Apply Proposition 2.1 to find a subset $I_{\epsilon} \subset \text{supp}(f)$ such that $|I_{\epsilon}| = ||f||_{L^1}$ and satisfying the inequality $|f * h_m(x) - \mathbf{1}_{I_{\epsilon}} * h_m(x)| < \epsilon$ simultaneously for each $m \in [M]$ and every $x \in \mathbb{R}^d$. This latter condition implies that for any $m_1, m_2 \in [M]$ and $x \in \mathbb{R}^d$,

$$|(f - \mathbf{1}_{I_{\epsilon}}) * h_{m_1}(x) - (f - \mathbf{1}_{I_{\epsilon}}) * h_{m_2}(x)| < 2\epsilon.$$

Submitted: April 20, 2023 Accepted: February 6, 2024

POINTILLIST PRINCIPLE FOR VARIATIONS

Applying this and (6) with $f_m := [f - \mathbf{1}_{I_{\epsilon}}] * h_m$ and $p = \infty$ implies that $\|V_r([f - \mathbf{1}_{I_{\epsilon}}] * h_m : m \in [M])\|_{L^{\infty}} < 4M^2 \epsilon$.

Let $\delta \in (0,1)$ and choose $\epsilon = \delta/(8M^2)$. The above inequalities imply that

$$\begin{split} |\{V_r(f*g_m(x): m \in [M]) > \lambda + 2\delta\}| &\leq |\{V_r(f*[g_m - h_m](x): m \in [M]) > \delta\}| \\ &+ |\{V_r([f - \mathbf{1}_{I_\epsilon}] * h_m(x): m \in [M]) > \delta\}| \\ &+ |\{V_r(\mathbf{1}_{I_\epsilon} * h_m(x): m \in [M]) > \lambda\}| \\ &= |\{V_r(\mathbf{1}_{I_\epsilon} * h_m(x): m \in [M]) > \lambda\}| \,. \end{split}$$

Applying our hypothesis that the variation is restricted weak-type (1, q), we find

$$|\{V_r(f * g_m(x) : m \in [M]) > \lambda + 2\delta\}| \le C\lambda^{-q} |I_{\epsilon}| = C\lambda^{-q} ||f||_{L^1}.$$

Taking δ to 0, we obtain the desired bound for simple functions.

We extend our estimates to f in $L^1(\mathbb{R}^d)$. Find a simple function $s := \sum_{k=1}^K a_k \mathbf{1}_{F_k}$ where the subsets $F_k \subset \mathbb{R}^d$ have finite Lebesgue measure and $||f - s||_{L^1(\mathbb{R}^d)} < \delta$ where $\delta \in (0,1)$ is a parameter which we will optimize in a moment. The bound (6) implies

$$||V_r(T_m(f-s): m \in [M])||_{L^1} \le 2M^2 \sup_{m \in [M]} ||T_m(f-s)||_{L^1}.$$

Young's inequality implies that $||T_m(f-s)||_{L^1} < \delta ||g_m||_{L^1}$ for all m. Therefore,

$$||V_r(T_m(f-s): m \in [M])||_{L^1} < 2M^2\delta \sup_{m \in [M]} ||g_m||_{L^1}.$$

Chebyshev's inequality implies that for each positive ϵ we have the bound

$$\left| \left\{ |V_r(T_m(f-s)(x) : m \in [M])| > \epsilon \right\} \right| < 2M^2 \epsilon^{-1} \delta \sup_{m \in [M]} \|g_m\|_{L^1}$$

Choosing $\delta = \epsilon^2/(2M^2 \sup_{m \in [M]} \|g_m\|_{L^1})$, we see that there exists a simple function s such that $|\{|V_r(T_m(f-s)(x): m \in [M])| > \epsilon\}| < \epsilon$. Using the sublinearity of the variation operators, we finally obtain

$$|\{|V_r(T_m f(x) : m \in [M])| > \lambda + \epsilon\}| \le |\{|V_r(T_m (f - s)(x) : m \in [M])| > \epsilon\}| + |\{|V_r(T_m s(x) : m \in [M])| > \lambda\}| < \epsilon + |\{|V_r(T_m s(x) : m \in [M])| > \lambda\}|.$$

Using the bound previously established for simple functions and taking ϵ to 0 completes the proof.

The proof for jump inequalities is similar but replaces the use of sublinearity for variation operators with almost sub-additivity of jump functions (4). Breaking up λ into $\lambda_1 + \lambda_2$ and taking one of the parameters to 0 allows us to obtain the same constants.

Our strategy for the proof of Theorem 1.3 is to take h_m to be $P_{\leq k}\mu_m$ for some large k as an approximation to μ_m and bound the rest as error. We assumed that μ_m is a finite measure of bounded total variation so that $P_k\mu_m$, which is the convolution of μ_m with a Schwartz function, is well-defined, and $\|P_{\leq k}\mu_m\|_p \lesssim_k$

Submitted: April 20, 2023 Accepted: February 6, 2024

Published (early view): August 27, 2024

This peer-reviewed unedited article has been accepted for publication. The final copyedited version may differ in some details. Volume, issue, and page numbers will be assigned at a later stage. Cite using this DOI, which will not change in the final version: https://doi.org/10.33044/revuma.4124.

KEVIN HUGHES

 $\|\mu_m\|_{TV}$ where $\|\mu_m\|_{TV}$ denotes the total variation of μ_m . We remark that the implicit bound is not uniform in k; this presents a minor technicality.

Proof of Theorem 1.3. Once again, weak-type immediately implies restricted weak-type; so, we only prove the converse. Fix the exponents $q,r\geq 1$. Assume that $q<\infty$; the modifications for $q=\infty$ are left to the reader. Reduce to the truncated variation operator $V_r(f*\mu_m:m\in[M])$ for large $M\in\mathbb{N}$ as before. For the moment choose f to be a simple function normalized so that $\|f\|_{\infty}=1$. Let $\lambda>0$.

Let $\epsilon, \delta \in (0, 1)$. Choose k sufficiently large so that assumption (5) implies that

$$||f * P_{>k} \mu_m||_{L^p} < \epsilon ||f||_{L^p}$$

uniformly in $m \in [M]$. The bound (6) yields

$$||V_r(f*P_{>k}\mu_m:m\in[M])||_{L^p} \le 2M^2 \sup_{m< M} ||f*P_{>k}\mu_m||_{L^p} < 2M^2\epsilon ||f||_{L^p}.$$

Chebyshev's inequality implies that

$$|\{V_r(f * P_{>k}\mu_m : m \in [M]) > \delta\}| \le \delta^{-p} ||V_r(f * P_{>k}\mu_m : m \in [M])||_{L^p}^p < \delta^{-p} (2M^2 \epsilon ||f||_{L^p})^p.$$

Apply Proposition 2.1 with $g_m := P_{\leq k} \mu_m$ to find a subset I_{ϵ} satisfying the conclusions of Proposition 2.1. Replacing f by $\mathbf{1}_{I_{\epsilon}}$ in the above analysis shows that

$$|\{V_r(\mathbf{1}_{I_{\epsilon}} * P_{>k}\mu_m : m \in [M]) > \delta\}| < \delta^{-p}(2M^2\epsilon \|\mathbf{1}_{I_{\epsilon}}\|_{L^p})^p.$$

From our assumption on I_{ϵ} in the conclusion of Proposition 2.1 with $h_m := P_{\leq k} \mu_m$, (6) also implies that

$$||V_r([f - \mathbf{1}_{I_{\epsilon}}] * P_{\leq k}\mu_m : m \in [M])||_{L^{\infty}} \leq 2M^2 \epsilon \sup_{m \in [M]} ||[f - \mathbf{1}_{I_{\epsilon}}] * P_{\leq k}\mu_m||_{L^{\infty}}$$

$$< 2M^2 \epsilon ||f||_{L^1}.$$

The decomposition.

$$f * \mu_m = f * P_{>k} \mu_m + [f - \mathbf{1}_{I_e}] * P_{< k} \mu_m + \mathbf{1}_{I_e} * \mu_m - \mathbf{1}_{I_e} * P_{>k} \mu_m,$$

implies

$$\begin{aligned} |\{V_r(f*\mu_m: m \in [M]) > \lambda + 3\delta\}| &\leq |\{V_r(f*P_{>k}\mu_m: m \in [M]) > \delta\}| \\ &+ |\{V_r([f-\mathbf{1}_{I_{\epsilon}}]*P_{\leq k}\mu_m: m \in [M]) > \delta\}| \\ &+ |\{V_r(\mathbf{1}_{I_{\epsilon}}*P_{>k}\mu_m: m \in [M]) > \delta\}| \\ &+ |\{V_r(\mathbf{1}_{I_{\epsilon}}*P_{>k}\mu_m: m \in [M]) > \lambda\}|. \end{aligned}$$

Let
$$X = \max\{1, \|f\|_{L^p}, \|\mathbf{1}_{I_{\epsilon}}\|_{L^p}, \|f\|_{L^1}\}$$
 and choose $\epsilon = \delta^2/(8M^2X)$ to obtain

$$|\{V_r(f*\mu_m: m \in [M]) > \lambda + 3\delta\}| \le 2\delta^p + |\{V_r(\mathbf{1}_{I_{\epsilon}}*\mu_m: m \in [M]) > \lambda\}|.$$

Applying the restricted weak-type hypothesis and letting δ tend to 0 completes the proof for simple functions.

Submitted: April 20, 2023 Accepted: February 6, 2024

POINTILLIST PRINCIPLE FOR VARIATIONS

To extend from simple functions to all f in L^1 , adapt the approximation argument at the end of the proof of Theorem 1.1. Finally, the adaptation to jump functions is analogous to before.

3. Carrillo-de Guzmán's Theorem for variations

The proof of Theorem 1.2 will be similar to that of Carrillo-de Guzmán's theorem and Theorem 1.1 using the following proposition as the Carrillo-de Guzmán analogue of Proposition 2.1.

Proposition 3.1. Let $(g_m)_{m \in [M]}$ be a finite sequence of uniformly continuous functions, and $f = \sum_{k=1}^K a_k \mathbf{1}_{F_k}$ be a simple function on \mathbb{R}^d with F_k dyadic cubes from the standard dyadic mesh on \mathbb{R}^d . If $\epsilon > 0$, then f can be refined into a sum of dyadic cubes $f = \sum b_j \mathbf{1}_{Q_j}$ where Q_j is in some F_k , and for any points y_j in the interior of Q_j , we have

$$\left| f * g_m(x) - \sum_j b_j |Q_j| g_m(x - y_j) \right| < \|f\|_{L^1} \epsilon$$
 (7)

for each $1 \le m \le M$ and all $x \in \mathbb{R}^d$.

Proof of Proposition 3.1. Since each of the g_m are uniformly continuous and there are finitely many of them, they are altogether uniformly continuous. This means that for any $\epsilon > 0$, which we pick and fix now, if $|x-y| < \delta$, then $|g_m(x) - g_m(y)| < \epsilon$ simultaneously for all m. With this in mind, use the dyadic structure in \mathbb{R}^d to decompose each dyadic cube F_k into a finite union $\cup_{\ell} Q_{k,\ell}$ of dyadic cubes whose interiors are disjoint and each of which has diameter at most δ . Partitioning and reordering the cubes and coefficients as necessary, we rewrite $f = \sum_j b_j \mathbf{1}_{Q_j}$. Let y_j be a point in the interior of Q_j . For each cube Q_j and $x \in \mathbb{R}^d$, we have

$$|\mathbf{1}_{Q_j} * g_m(x) - |Q_j|g_m(x - y_k)| < |Q_j|\epsilon$$

by the uniform continuity of $(g_m)_{m\in[M]}$. This implies for each $x\in\mathbb{R}^d$

$$|f * g_m(x) - \sum_j b_j |Q_j| g_m(x - y_k)| < \sum_j |b_j| |Q_j| \epsilon = ||f||_{L^1} \epsilon.$$

This completes the proof.

Proof of Theorem 1.2. Fix $r, p \geq 1$. Assume that the variation operator $V_r(T_m : m \in \mathbb{N})$ is pointed weak-type (p, p) with norm at most C. Our task is to show that $V_r(T_m : m \in \mathbb{N})$ is weak-type (p, p) with norm at most C.

We commence with several standard reductions which we outline. The first step is to reduce to the truncated variation operators $V_r(T_m:m\in[M])$ for arbitrarily large but finite M. Since $V_r(T_m:m\in\mathbb{N})$ is pointed weak-type (p,p) with norm at most C, so is $V_r(T_m:m\in[M])$ is pointed weak-type (p,p) with norm at most C. It suffices to show that $V_r(T_m:m\in[M])$ is weak-type (p,p) with norm at most

Submitted: April 20, 2023 Accepted: February 6, 2024

Published (early view): August 27, 2024

9

This peer-reviewed unedited article has been accepted for publication. The final copyedited version may differ in some details. Volume, issue, and page numbers will be assigned at a later stage. Cite using this DOI, which will not change in the final version: https://doi.org/10.33044/revuma.4124.

KEVIN HUGHES

C. The second step is to boost (2) to the same inequality with arbitrary positive coefficients $a_k > 0$:

$$\left| \left\{ x : V_r(\sum_k a_k g_m(x - x_k) : m \in [M]) > \lambda \right\} \right| \le C\left(\sum_k a_k^p\right) \lambda^{-p}. \tag{8}$$

This step follows a standard technique: First prove (8) for $a_k \in \mathbb{Z}$. Then extend to rational coefficients. Finish by taking limits to conclude it for real coefficients. The third step is to reduce to smooth $g_m \in L^1$ using sublinearity of the variation operators as in the proof of Theorem 1.1. At this point, we may now assume that for all $\epsilon > 0$, there exists a $\delta > 0$ depending on ϵ such that $|g_{m_1}(x) - g_{m_2}(y)| < \epsilon$ for all $1 \le m_1, m_2 \le M$ and all $|x - y| < \delta$.

Suppose that $f := \sum_{k=1}^K a_k \mathbf{1}_{Q_k}$ is a simple function where the Q_k are dyadic cubes. Applying Proposition 3.1, we may assume that all the dyadic cubes Q_k have the same sidelength $\delta \leq 1$ and that (7) holds true. For each $1 \leq k \leq K$, let x_k be a fixed point in the interior of Q_k e.g., the center of the cube. Define the functions

$$h_m(x) := \sum_{k=1}^{K} a_k |Q_k| g_m(x - x_k) = \sum_{k=1}^{K} a_k |Q_k| T_m \delta_{x_k}(x)$$

for $m \in [M]$. Then

$$f * g_m(x) - h_m(x) = \int \sum_{k=1}^K a_k \mathbf{1}_{Q_k}(y) g_m(x-y) - \sum_{k=1}^K a_k |Q_k| g_m(x-x_k)$$
$$= \sum_{k=1}^K a_k \int \mathbf{1}_{Q_k}(y) g_m(x-y) - \mathbf{1}_{Q_k}(y) g_m(x-x_k) dy$$

Taking absolute values and applying the triangle inequality, we obtain

$$|f * g_m(x) - h_m(x)| \le \sum_{k=1}^K |a_k| \int_{Q_k} |g_m(x - y) - g_m(x - x_k)| dy$$

$$\le \sum_{k=1}^K |a_k| |Q_k| \epsilon = ||f||_1 \epsilon.$$

Choosing $\epsilon = \epsilon'/(8M^2||f||_1)$ and applying the inequality (6) yields

$$|\{V_r(f*g_m(x): m \in [M]) > \lambda + \epsilon'\}| \le |\{V_r(h_m(x): m \in [M]) > \lambda\}|.$$

Since $h_m(x) = \sum_{k=1}^K a_k |Q_k| T_m \delta_{x_k}(x)$, applying the boosted pointed weak-type hypothesis (8) implies that

$$|\{V_r(h_m(x): m \in [M]) > \lambda\}| \le C\Big(\sum_{k=1}^K |a_k|^p |Q_k|^p\Big)^{1/p} \lambda^{-p}.$$

Submitted: April 20, 2023 Accepted: February 6, 2024

This peer-reviewed unedited article has been accepted for publication. The final copyedited version may differ in some details. Volume, issue, and page numbers will be assigned at a later stage. Cite using this DOI, which will not change in the final version: https://doi.org/10.33044/revuma.4124.

POINTILLIST PRINCIPLE FOR VARIATIONS

Upon letting ϵ' tend to 0, it suffices to show that $\left(\sum_{k=1}^K |a_k|^p |Q_k|^p\right)^{1/p} \leq ||f||_p$. This follows because $\delta \leq 1$ and $p \geq 1$ which implies $\delta \geq \delta^p$ and

$$||f||_p = \left(\sum_{k=1}^K |a_k|^p \delta^d\right)^{1/p} \ge \left(\sum_{k=1}^K |a_k|^p \delta^{pd}\right)^{1/p} = \left(\sum_{k=1}^K |a_k|^p |Q_k|^p\right)^{1/p}.$$

The final step is to extend from simple functions formed by the standard dyadic mesh on \mathbb{R}^d to general functions in $L^p(\mathbb{R}^d)$ by adapting the argument at the end of the proof of Theorem 1.1. The modifications for jump inequalities are like those for Theorems 1.1 and 1.3. We leave the details to the reader.

References

- [1] J. Bourgain, *Pointwise ergodic theorems for arithmetic sets*, Inst. Hautes Études Sci. Publ. Math. (1989), no. 69, 5–45, With an appendix by the author, H. Furstenberg, Y. Katznelson and D.S. Ornstein.
- [2] M. Carena, Weak type (1,1) of maximal operators on metric measure spaces, Rev. Un. Mat. Argentina **50** (2009), no. 1, 145–159.
- [3] H. Carlsson, A new proof of the Hardy-Littlewood maximal theorem, Bull. London Math. Soc., 16 (6) (1984), 595–596.
- [4] M. T. Carrillo and M. de Guzmán, Maximal convolution operators and approximations, Functional analysis, holomorphy and approximation theory (Rio de Janeiro, 1980), North-Holland Math. Stud., vol. 71, North-Holland, Amsterdam-New York, 1982, pp. 117–129.
- [5] L. Grafakos and M. Mastyło, Restricted weak type versus weak type, Proc. Amer. Math. Soc. 133 (2005), no. 4, 1075–1081.
- [6] R.L. Jones, A. Seeger, and J. Wright, Strong variational and jump inequalities in harmonic analysis, Trans. Amer. Math. Soc. 360 (2008), no. 12, 6711–6742.
- [7] D. Kosz, On the Discretization Technique for the Hardy-Littlewood Maximal Operators, Real Anal. Exchange 41 (2) 287–292, 2016.
- [8] M.T. Menarguez and F. Soria, Weak type (1,1) inequalities of maximal convolution operators, Rend. Circ. Mat. Palermo 41, 342–352 (1992). https://doi.org/10.1007/BF02848939
- [9] A. Melas, The best constant for the centered Hardy–Littlewood maximal inequality, Ann. of Math. (2), 157 (2) (2003), 647–688.
- [10] M. Mirek, E. Stein, and B. Trojan, $\ell^p(\mathbb{Z}^d)$ -estimates for discrete operators of Radon type: Variational estimates, Invent. Math. **209** (2017), no. 3, 665–748.
- [11] K. H. Moon, On restricted weak type (1, 1), Proc. Amer. Math. Soc. 42 (1974), 148–152.
- [12] G. Pisier and Q.H. Xu, The strong p-variation of martingales and orthogonal series, Probab. Theory Related Fields 77 (1988), no. 4, 497–514.
- [13] A. Seeger, T. Tao, and J. Wright, Pointwise convergence of lacunary spherical means, Harmonic analysis at Mount Holyoke (South Hadley, MA, 2001), Contemp. Math., vol. 320, Amer. Math. Soc., Providence, RI, 2003, 341–351.
- [14] A. Seeger and J. Wright, Problems on averages and lacunary maximal functions, Marcinkiewicz centenary volume, Banach Center Publ., vol. 95, Polish Acad. Sci. Inst. Math., Warsaw, 2011, 235–250.

(Kevin Hughes) School of Computing, Engineering & the Built Environment, Edinburgh Napier University, Edinburgh EH10 5DT, United Kingdom

Email address: khughes.math@gmail.com

Submitted: April 20, 2023 Accepted: February 6, 2024