

This peer-reviewed unedited article has been accepted for publication. The final copyedited version may differ in some details. Volume, issue, and page numbers will be assigned at a later stage. Cite using this DOI, which will not change in the final version: <https://doi.org/10.33044/revuma.4943>.

ISOSPECTRAL SPHERICAL SPACE FORMS AND ORBIFOLDS OF HIGHEST VOLUME

ALFREDO ÁLZAGA AND EMILIO A. LAURET

ABSTRACT. We prove that $\text{vol}(S^d)/8$ is the highest volume of a pair of d -dimensional isospectral and non-isometric spherical orbifolds for any $d \geq 5$. Furthermore, we show that $\text{vol}(S^{2n-1})/11$ is the highest volume of a pair of $(2n-1)$ -dimensional isospectral and non-isometric spherical space forms if either $n \geq 11$ and $n \equiv 1 \pmod{5}$, or $n \geq 7$ and $n \equiv 2 \pmod{5}$, or $n \geq 3$ and $n \equiv 3 \pmod{5}$.

1. INTRODUCTION

Akira Ikeda constructed in 1980 ([Ik80c]) early examples of isospectral Riemannian manifolds, namely, families of increasing size of lens spaces (odd-dimensional Riemannian manifolds with constant sectional curvature and cyclic fundamental groups) whose naturally associated Laplace–Beltrami operators have the same spectra (collection of eigenvalues counted with multiplicities). Only isospectral flat tori (found firstly by Milnor in the one-page article [Mi64]) and isospectral Riemann surfaces constructed by Vignéras [Vi80] were previously known.

At that time, there was a big interest in finding similar examples with ‘minimal properties’. We next list some instances.

After the pair of 16-dimensional flat tori found by Milnor [Mi64], several examples with less dimension have since emerged. See [CS, page xxix] for a brief tour of the advances, or [NRR23] for an enjoyable narration of the developments. The final solution was given by Schiemann, finding in [Sc90] many pairs in dimension 4 (with the help of a computer, in 1990!) and proving in [Sc99] that there are no examples in dimension 3.

Similarly, Ikeda proved that the pair of 5-dimensional isospectral lens spaces from [Ik80c] has minimal dimension among spherical space forms (Riemannian manifolds of positive constant sectional curvature). Indeed, on the one hand, it is obvious that there are no even-dimensional or either 1-dimensional isospectral examples; on the other hand, he proved in [Ik80a] that there are no isospectral examples in dimension 3.

2020 *Mathematics Subject Classification.* 58J53.

Key words and phrases. Lens space, lens orbifold, spherical space form, spherical orbifold, spectrum, Laplace operator.

The second author was supported by grants from FONCyT (PICT-2019-01054), SGCYT–UNS (24/L117 y 24/L126), and CONICET (PIP 11220210100343CO).

This peer-reviewed unedited article has been accepted for publication. The final copyedited version may differ in some details. Volume, issue, and page numbers will be assigned at a later stage. Cite using this DOI, which will not change in the final version: <https://doi.org/10.33044/revuma.4943>.

As a third instance, the genus of Vignéras' examples were enormous. Buser [Bu], using the powerful Sunada's method [Su85], constructed isospectral Riemann surfaces of genus 5 and any $g \geq 7$. Examples with genus 4 and 6 were later constructed by Brooks and Tse [BT87]. Currently, there are no known examples of non-isometric isospectral Riemann surfaces with genus 2 or 3.

Interestingly, for any fixed dimension $d \geq 2$, the volume of hyperbolic (i.e., constant sectional curvature -1) d -manifolds or d -orbifolds are uniformly bounded by below by a positive real number. The problem of determining the hyperbolic manifold or orbifold of lowest volume in a fixed dimension is open; see [Be14] and the references therein for further details.

Linowitz and Voight [LV15] studied the problem of determining the lowest area and volume of (strongly) isospectral and non-isometric hyperbolic orbifolds and manifolds of dimensions 2 and 3 within certain nice classes of arithmetic groups. Notice there is no analogous problem in the flat case (constant sectional curvature 0) because scaling a single pair of isospectral compact flat manifolds provides an isospectral pair of any given volume.

The main goal of this article is to study the analogous problem in the spherical case, that is, among compact Riemannian manifolds with constant sectional curvature 1. Spectral problems for this kind of manifolds have received some attention in the last years (see [LMR21] and the references therein for details).

A *spherical space form* is a Riemannian manifold with constant sectional curvature 1. Any of them can be obtained as a quotient S^d/Γ of the d -dimensional unit sphere S^d by a discrete subgroup Γ of the isometry group $\text{Iso}(S^d) \simeq \text{O}(d+1)$ acting freely on S^d . Its fundamental group is isomorphic to Γ . When Γ is cyclic and d is odd, S^d/Γ is (isometric to) a *lens space* (see Subsection 2.3 for further details).

More generally, any *spherical orbifold* is (in this article) of the form S^d/Γ with Γ a discrete subgroup of $\text{O}(d+1)$ that may have fixed points in S^d . When Γ is a cyclic subgroup of $\text{SO}(d+1)$, S^d/Γ is (isometric to) a *lens orbifold* (see Subsection 2.3 for further details).

The volume of a spherical orbifold S^d/Γ is given by

$$\text{vol}(S^d/\Gamma) = \frac{\text{vol}(S^d)}{|\Gamma|}, \quad (1.1)$$

where $|\Gamma|$ stands for the number of elements in Γ , which is necessarily finite since Γ is a discrete subgroup of a compact Lie group. Obviously, the volume of a d -dimensional spherical orbifold is bounded by above by $\text{vol}(S^d)$. Therefore, the spherical analog of the problem of finding the isospectral hyperbolic orbifolds of smallest volume is to find the isospectral spherical orbifolds of largest volume.

Question 1.1. What is the largest volume of an isospectral pair of d -dimensional non-isometric spherical orbifolds?

Linowitz and the second author observed in [LL24, Thm. 1.5] that a pair of almost conjugate subgroups in $\text{SO}(6)$ constructed by Rossetti, Schueth and Weilandt [RSW08] provides, for any $d \geq 5$, a pair of isospectral and non-isometric

This peer-reviewed unedited article has been accepted for publication. The final copyedited version may differ in some details. Volume, issue, and page numbers will be assigned at a later stage. Cite using this DOI, which will not change in the final version: <https://doi.org/10.33044/revuma.4943>.

spherical orbifolds S^d/Γ and S^d/Γ' with $\Gamma \simeq \Gamma' \simeq (\mathbb{Z}/2\mathbb{Z})^3$, thus $|\Gamma| = |\Gamma'| = 8$. This forces for any $d \geq 5$ that $\text{vol}(S^d)/8$ is a lower bound for the highest volume requested in Question 1.1. The first main result in this article confirms that such example realizes the maximum volume when $d \geq 5$.

Theorem 1.2. *For any $d \geq 5$, the highest volume of an isospectral pair of d -dimensional non-isometric spherical orbifolds is $\text{vol}(S^d)/8$.*

The proof shows that if S^d/Γ and S^d/Γ' are isospectral spherical orbifolds with $|\Gamma| = |\Gamma'| \leq 7$, then Γ and Γ' are conjugate in $O(d + 1)$, and consequently S^d/Γ and S^d/Γ' are isometric.

It is worth mentioning that Theorem 1.2 is *currently optimal* in the sense that it is not known so far whether there exist isospectral and non-isometric spherical orbifolds of dimension $d \leq 4$. Actually, Bari and Hunsicker [BH20] established the non-existence for lens orbifolds. Furthermore, Vásquez [Vá18, Prop. 2.4] proved that there are no strongly isospectral spherical orbifolds, which is equivalent by [Pe95] to the following fact: two almost conjugate subgroups of the double cover $\text{Spin}(4) \simeq \text{SU}(2) \times \text{SU}(2)$ of $\text{SO}(4)$ are necessarily conjugate.

We next move to the manifold case.

Question 1.3. What is the largest volume of an isospectral pair of d -dimensional non-isometric spherical space forms?

The condition for a finite subgroup $\Gamma \subset O(d + 1)$ acting without fixed points in S^d is a great obstruction. Indeed, the classification of spherical space forms, finished by Wolf in [Wo], is quite technical and difficult to state.

If d is even, the only d -dimensional spherical space forms are S^d and $P^d(\mathbb{R})$, which are not isospectral. Furthermore, it was shown in [Ik80c] that there are no 3-dimensional isospectral and non-isometric spherical space forms. Therefore, Question 1.3 makes sense only for $d \geq 5$ odd.

It was conjectured in [LL24] that isospectral and non-isometric pairs of spherical space forms of highest volume are necessarily lens spaces. The conjecture was confirmed ([LL24, Thm. 1.7]) for any $d = 2n - 1$ with $n \equiv 1 \pmod{4}$, or $n \equiv 1, 2, 3 \pmod{5}$, or $n \equiv 1, 2, 3, 4 \pmod{6}$, or $n \equiv 2, 3, 4, 5, 6 \pmod{8}$, or $n \equiv 2, 3, 4, 5, 6, 7 \pmod{9}$, or $n \equiv 2, 3, 4, 5, 6, 7, 8, 9 \pmod{11}$. For instance, the only possible exceptions for $n \in \mathbb{N}$ with $3 \leq n \leq 1000$ are 144 and 935.

The strategy was as follows. On the one hand, [LL24, Lem. 4.4] implies the following:

if S^d/Γ and S^d/Γ' are isospectral and non-isometric spherical space forms with $d \geq 5$ odd, then $|\Gamma| = |\Gamma'| \geq 24$ if either Γ or Γ' is non-cyclic.

Consequently, to establish the conjecture for a single dimension d , it is sufficient to find a pair of d -dimensional isospectral and non-isometric lens spaces S^d/Γ and S^d/Γ' with $|\Gamma| = |\Gamma'| < 24$.

On the other hand, the following result allowed the authors to find the required isospectral lens spaces in high dimensions from those in small dimensions found with the help of a computer:

Submitted: September 3, 2024

Accepted: February 3, 2025

Published (early view): February 5, 2025

This peer-reviewed unedited article has been accepted for publication. The final copyedited version may differ in some details. Volume, issue, and page numbers will be assigned at a later stage. Cite using this DOI, which will not change in the final version: <https://doi.org/10.33044/revuma.4943>.

Theorem 1.4. [LL24, Thm. 4.6] *If there is a pair of $(2n - 1)$ -dimensional isospectral and non-isometric lens spaces with fundamental groups of order q , then, for any positive integer r , there are $(2n + r\varphi(q) - 1)$ -dimensional isospectral and non-isometric lens spaces with fundamental groups of order q .*

Here, $\varphi(q)$ stands for the Euler’s totient function, that is, $\varphi(q) = |(\mathbb{Z}/q\mathbb{Z})^\times|$.

Ikeda [Ik80a] found the isospectral and non-isometric pair $L(11; 1, 2, 3)$ and $L(11; 1, 2, 4)$ of 5-dimensional lens spaces. Theorem 1.4 implies that, for any $r \in \mathbb{N}$, there are pairs of $(5 + 10r)$ -dimensional lens spaces that are isospectral and non-isometric.

With the help of a computer, in [LL24], it was established for $n \leq 14$ that there are isospectral and non-isometric $(2n - 1)$ -lens spaces with fundamental group of order 11 if and only if $n \in \{3, 7, 8, 11, 12, 13\}$.

It follows that the highest volume of a pair of isospectral and non-isometric $(2n - 1)$ -dimensional spherical space forms is at most $\text{vol}(S^{2n-1})/11$ if $n \equiv k \pmod{5}$ for some $k \in \{3, 7, 8, 11, 12, 13\}$. We prove in Theorem 3.2 that two isospectral $(2n - 1)$ -dimensional lens spaces with volume $\geq \text{vol}(S^{2n-1})/10$ are necessarily isometric. Both facts combined answer Question 1.3 for infinitely many odd dimensions, essentially, 60% of the cases. This is precisely the second main theorem of the article.

Theorem 1.5. *If $n \in \{3, 7, 8\}$ or $n = 5r + k$ for some integer $r \geq 2$ and $k \in \{1, 2, 3\}$, then $\text{vol}(S^{2n-1})/11$ is the highest volume of an isospectral pair of $(2n - 1)$ -dimensional non-isometric spherical space forms.*

The paper is organized as follows. Section 2 contains preliminaries on the spectrum of spherical orbifolds. In Section 3 we prove Theorem 3.2, which implies Theorem 1.5. The first main theorem, Theorem 1.2, is established in Section 4. Section 5 is devoted to correcting a wrong statement in [LL24].

Acknowledgments. The authors thank Juliana Cornago for her assistance in proofreading this article, and the referee for a thorough reading and several detailed corrections.

2. PRELIMINARIES

The goal of this section is to introduce the main tool: the spectral generating function associated to a spherical orbifold.

2.1. Spectra of spherical orbifolds. It is well known that the spectrum of the Laplace–Beltrami operator associated to the d -dimensional unit sphere S^d is given as follows: the k -th distinct eigenvalue is $\lambda_k := k(k + d - 1)$ with multiplicity $\dim \mathcal{H}_k$, where \mathcal{H}_k is the space of complex harmonic homogeneous polynomials on $(d + 1)$ -variables of degree k . That is,

$$\text{Spec}(S^d) = \left\{ \underbrace{\lambda_k, \dots, \lambda_k}_{\dim \mathcal{H}_k\text{-times}} : k \geq 0 \right\}.$$

Although it will not be used, we recall that $\dim \mathcal{H}_k = \binom{k+d}{d} - \binom{k+d-2}{d}$.

Submitted: September 3, 2024

Accepted: February 3, 2025

Published (early view): February 5, 2025

This peer-reviewed unedited article has been accepted for publication. The final copyedited version may differ in some details. Volume, issue, and page numbers will be assigned at a later stage. Cite using this DOI, which will not change in the final version: <https://doi.org/10.33044/revuma.4943>.

The group $O(d+1)$ acts on \mathcal{H}_k as follows: $(a \cdot P)(z) = P(a^{-1}z)$ for $a \in O(d+1)$ and $P \in \mathcal{P}_k$, where z denotes the column vector with the variables z_1, \dots, z_{d+1} and $a^{-1}z$ stands for the matrix multiplication. Indeed, \mathcal{H}_k is an irreducible representation of $O(d+1)$.

In this article, we call a *spherical orbifold* a quotient of the form S^d/Γ with Γ a finite subgroup of $O(d+1)$, endowed with the Riemannian metric induced by the round metric on S^d with constant sectional curvature $+1$ (some authors include in the definition of spherical orbifolds the quotients of unit balls by orthogonal actions of finite groups). See [Go12] for details. If two finite subgroups Γ and Γ' of $O(d+1)$ are conjugate, then the corresponding orbifolds S^d/Γ and S^d/Γ' are isometric.

Let Γ be a finite subgroup of $O(d+1)$. The spectrum of the spherical orbifold S^d/Γ is given by

$$\text{Spec}(S^d/\Gamma) = \left\{ \underbrace{\lambda_k, \dots, \lambda_k}_{\dim \mathcal{H}_k^\Gamma \text{-times}} : k \geq 0 \right\}. \tag{2.1}$$

Here, \mathcal{H}_k^Γ denotes the Γ -invariant elements in \mathcal{H}_k with respect to the action defined above.

As an immediate consequence of (2.1), one has that two d -dimensional spherical space forms S^d/Γ and S^d/Γ' are isospectral (i.e., $\text{Spec}(S^d/\Gamma) = \text{Spec}(S^d/\Gamma')$) if and only if

$$\dim \mathcal{H}_k^\Gamma = \dim \mathcal{H}_k^{\Gamma'} \quad \text{for all } k \geq 0. \tag{2.2}$$

2.2. Spectral invariants. In [IY79], Ikeda and Yamamoto associated to S^d/Γ the generating function

$$F_\Gamma(z) = \sum_{k \geq 0} \dim \mathcal{H}_k^\Gamma z^k. \tag{2.3}$$

The great importance of this function is due to the next fact, which follows from (2.2):

$$\text{Spec}(S^d/\Gamma) = \text{Spec}(S^d/\Gamma') \iff F_\Gamma(z) = F_{\Gamma'}(z). \tag{2.4}$$

Consequently, any property determined by $F_\Gamma(z)$ will be a spectral invariant, for instance, the set of poles (we will see next that it is a rational function), their corresponding multiplicities, principal parts, etc.

Using Molien’s formula, one obtains (see [Wo01, (2.4)])

$$F_\Gamma(z) = \frac{1 - z^2}{|\Gamma|} \sum_{\gamma \in \Gamma} \frac{1}{\det(\mathbf{I}_{d+1} - z\gamma)}, \tag{2.5}$$

where $\det(\mathbf{I}_{d+1} - z\gamma)$ stands for the determinant of $\mathbf{I}_{d+1} - z\gamma \in O(d+1)$. This expression was first found by Ikeda [Ik80a, Thm. 2.2].

Remark 2.1. It is well known that two isospectral compact Riemannian orbifolds share the same dimension and volume. Consequently, if $\text{Spec}(S^d/\Gamma) = \text{Spec}(S^{d'}/\Gamma')$, then $d = d'$ and $|\Gamma| = |\Gamma'|$ by (1.1).

Curiously, both facts can be elegantly shown from (2.5) for spherical orbifolds. In other words, d and $|\Gamma|$ are determined by $F_\Gamma(z)$, as we next observe.

This peer-reviewed unedited article has been accepted for publication. The final copyedited version may differ in some details. Volume, issue, and page numbers will be assigned at a later stage. Cite using this DOI, which will not change in the final version: <https://doi.org/10.33044/revuma.4943>.

It is clear that $F_\Gamma(z)$ has a pole at $z = 1$ of order d since

$$F_\Gamma(z) = \frac{1}{|\Gamma|} \frac{1 - z^2}{(1 - z)^{d+1}} + \frac{1 - z^2}{|\Gamma|} \sum_{\gamma \in \Gamma, \gamma \neq I_{d+1}} \frac{1}{\det(I_{d+1} - z\gamma)},$$

and $\det(I_{d+1} - z\gamma) = (1 - z)^{d+1}$ if and only if $\gamma = I_{d+1}$. Consequently, d is determined by $F_\Gamma(z)$. Moreover, the $(-d)$ -th coefficient of its Laurent series at $z = 1$ is given by

$$\lim_{z \rightarrow 1} (z - 1)^d F_\Gamma(z) = \lim_{z \rightarrow 1} (-1)^d \frac{1 + z}{|\Gamma|} = \frac{2(-1)^d}{|\Gamma|},$$

thus $|\Gamma|$ is determined by $F_\Gamma(z)$.

A spherical space form is a spherical orbifold S^d/Γ with Γ acting freely on S^d , or equivalently, 1 is not an eigenvalue of any non-trivial element in Γ . It is well known that if $d = 2n$, the only d -dimensional spherical space forms are S^d and $P^d(S) = S^d/\{\pm I_{d+1}\}$.

Remark 2.2. Let S^d/Γ be an odd-dimensional spherical space form. We claim that $\det(I_{d+1} - z\gamma) = \det(zI_{d+1} - \gamma) =: \det(z - \gamma)$ for all $\gamma \in \Gamma$, hence (2.5) becomes

$$F_\Gamma(z) = \frac{1 - z^2}{|\Gamma|} \sum_{\gamma \in \Gamma} \frac{1}{\det(z - \gamma)}. \tag{2.6}$$

Let γ be an element in Γ of order k . We write $\text{spec}(\gamma)$ for the multiset of eigenvalues of the orthogonal matrix γ . We have that $\det(I_{d+1} - z\gamma) = \prod_{\lambda \in \text{spec}(\gamma)} (1 - z\lambda)$. Since $1 \notin \text{spec}(\gamma')$ for all $\gamma' \neq I_{d+1}$ in Γ , it follows that the elements in $\text{spec}(\gamma)$ are primitive k -th roots of unity. Moreover, since γ is an orthogonal matrix, its eigenvalues come in conjugate pairs in the sense that if $\lambda \neq \pm 1$ is in $\text{spec}(\gamma)$, then λ and $\bar{\lambda}$ have the same multiplicity in $\text{spec}(\gamma)$. We conclude when $k \geq 3$ that

$$\begin{aligned} \det(I_{d+1} - z\gamma) &= \prod_{\lambda \in \text{spec}(\gamma): \text{Im}(\lambda) > 0} (1 - z\lambda)(1 - z\bar{\lambda}) \\ &= \prod_{\lambda \in \text{spec}(\gamma): \text{Im}(\lambda) > 0} (\bar{\lambda} - z)(\lambda - z) \\ &= \prod_{\lambda \in \text{spec}(\gamma): \text{Im}(\lambda) > 0} (z - \lambda)(z - \bar{\lambda}) = \det(z - \gamma). \end{aligned} \tag{2.7}$$

If $k = 2$, then $\gamma = -I_{d+1}$, thus $\det(I_{d+1} - z\gamma) = (1 + z)^{d+1} = \det(z - \gamma)$. If $k = 1$, then $\gamma = I_{d+1}$, thus $\det(I_{d+1} - z\gamma) = (1 - z)^{d+1} = (z - 1)^{d+1} = \det(z - \gamma)$ since $d + 1$ is even.

Ikeda found the following spectral invariants for odd-dimensional spherical space forms (Prop. 2.7 and Cor. 2.8 in [Ik80a], Prop. 1.5(3) in [Ik80b]).

Proposition 2.3. *Let S^{2n-1}/Γ be a spherical space form. The following properties are determined by $F_\Gamma(z)$:*

- (1) *The set of orders of the elements in Γ : $\text{Ord}(\Gamma) := \{k \in \mathbb{N} : \exists \gamma \in \Gamma \text{ of order } k\}$.*

This peer-reviewed unedited article has been accepted for publication. The final copyedited version may differ in some details. Volume, issue, and page numbers will be assigned at a later stage. Cite using this DOI, which will not change in the final version: <https://doi.org/10.33044/revuma.4943>.

(2) For $k \in \text{Ord}(\Gamma)$,

$$\max_{\gamma \in \Gamma(k)} \{ \text{multiplicity of } \xi_k \text{ as an eigenvalue of } \gamma \},$$

where $\xi_k = e^{2\pi i/k}$, and $\Gamma(k)$ stands for the set of elements in Γ with order k .

(3) For $k \in \text{Ord}(\Gamma)$, the generating function

$$F_{\Gamma}^{(k)}(z) := \sum_{\gamma \in \Gamma(k)} \frac{1}{\det(\mathbb{I}_{2n} - z\gamma)} = \sum_{\gamma \in \Gamma(k)} \frac{1}{\det(z - \gamma)}.$$

Consequently, two odd-dimensional isospectral spherical space forms S^{2n-1}/Γ and S^{2n-1}/Γ' satisfy $\text{Ord}(\Gamma) = \text{Ord}(\Gamma')$ and, for any $k \in \text{Ord}(\Gamma)$, $F_{\Gamma}^{(k)}(\Gamma) = F_{\Gamma'}^{(k)}(\Gamma')$ and the highest multiplicity of ξ_k as an eigenvalue of the elements in $\Gamma(k)$ and $\Gamma'(k)$ coincide.

2.3. Lens orbifolds. A lens space is a quotient of the form $L(q; s) = S^{2n-1}/\Gamma_{q,s}$ for some $q \in \mathbb{N}$ and $s = (s_1, \dots, s_n) \in \mathbb{Z}^n$ satisfying $\gcd(q, s_j) = 1$ for all $1 \leq j \leq n$, where

$$\Gamma_{q,s} = \left\langle \begin{pmatrix} R(\frac{2\pi s_1}{q}) & & & \\ & \ddots & & \\ & & \ddots & \\ & & & R(\frac{2\pi s_n}{q}) \end{pmatrix} \right\rangle \quad \text{and} \quad R(\theta) = \begin{pmatrix} \cos(\theta) & \sin(\theta) \\ -\sin(\theta) & \cos(\theta) \end{pmatrix}. \tag{2.8}$$

The conditions $\gcd(q, s_j) = 1$ for all j ensure that $|\Gamma_{q,s}| = q$ and $\Gamma_{q,s}$ acts freely on S^{2n-1} , thus $L(q; s)$ is a $(2n - 1)$ -dimensional differentiable manifold with fundamental group isomorphic to $\Gamma_{q,s} \simeq \mathbb{Z}/q\mathbb{Z}$. We will always endow a lens space $L(q; s)$ with the round Riemannian metric (with constant sectional curvature one), which makes it a spherical space form.

We next relax the above conditions to introduce (what we call in this article) lens orbifolds.

We fix a dimension $d \in \mathbb{N}$. We write $n = \lfloor \frac{d+1}{2} \rfloor$, so $d = 2n$ or $d = 2n - 1$, depending on whether d is even or odd, respectively. We consider the maximal torus T of $\text{SO}(d + 1)$ given by

$$T = \{t(\theta_1, \dots, \theta_n) : \theta_1, \dots, \theta_n \in \mathbb{R}\},$$

where

$$t(\theta_1, \dots, \theta_n) = \begin{cases} \text{diag}(R(\theta_1), \dots, R(\theta_n), 1) & \text{if } d \text{ is even,} \\ \text{diag}(R(\theta_1), \dots, R(\theta_n)) & \text{if } d \text{ is odd.} \end{cases}$$

A lens orbifold is a quotient of the form $L_d(q; s) := S^d/\Gamma_{q,s}$, with $\Gamma_{q,s} = \langle t(\frac{2\pi s_1}{q}, \dots, \frac{2\pi s_n}{q}) \rangle$ for some $q \in \mathbb{N}$ and $s \in \mathbb{Z}^n$ satisfying $\gcd(q, s_1, \dots, s_n) = 1$. The last condition ensures that $|\Gamma_{q,s}| = q$. We endow the orbifold $L_d(q; s)$ with the round Riemannian metric induced by the constant sectional curvature one metric on S^d . If the dimension d is odd and is clear from the context, we abbreviate $L_d(q; s)$ by $L(q; s)$, coinciding with the notation of lens spaces.

This peer-reviewed unedited article has been accepted for publication. The final copyedited version may differ in some details. Volume, issue, and page numbers will be assigned at a later stage. Cite using this DOI, which will not change in the final version: <https://doi.org/10.33044/revuma.4943>.

The multiset of eigenvalues of $t(\frac{2\pi s_1}{q}, \dots, \frac{2\pi s_n}{q})^k = t(\frac{2k\pi s_1}{q}, \dots, \frac{2k\pi s_n}{q})$ is

$$\begin{cases} \{\{\xi_q^{ks_j}, \xi_q^{-ks_j} : 1 \leq j \leq n\}\} & \text{if } d \text{ is odd,} \\ \{\{\xi_q^{ks_j}, \xi_q^{-ks_j} : 1 \leq j \leq n\}\} \cup \{1\} & \text{if } d \text{ is even,} \end{cases} \quad (2.9)$$

where $\xi_q = e^{2\pi i/q}$, a primitive q -th root of unity. Now, (2.5) gives

$$F_{\Gamma_{q,s}}(z) = \frac{1-z^2}{q} \sum_{k=0}^{q-1} \left(\prod_{j=1}^n \frac{1}{(z - \xi_q^{ks_j})(z - \xi_q^{-ks_j})} \right) \frac{1}{(1-z)^{d-2n+1}}. \quad (2.10)$$

Let Γ be any cyclic subgroup of $SO(d+1)$. The spherical orbifold S^d/Γ is isometric to some lens orbifold $L_d(q; s)$. Indeed, by setting $q = |\Gamma|$ and letting γ be any generator of Γ , it is well known that γ is conjugate to some element (of order q) in the maximal torus T , say $t(\frac{2\pi s_1}{q}, \dots, \frac{2\pi s_n}{q})$ for some $s_1, \dots, s_n \in \mathbb{Z}$ necessarily satisfying $\gcd(q, s_1, \dots, s_n) = 1$ (otherwise, it has order strictly less than q). Thus, we obtain that S^d/Γ is isometric to $L(q; s)$. Consequently, the next result classifies the isometry classes of lens orbifolds (see e.g. [La16, Prop. 2.9]).

Proposition 2.4. *Given two d -dimensional lens orbifolds $L_d(q; s)$ and $L_d(q'; s')$, the following conditions are equivalent:*

- $L_d(q; s)$ and $L_d(q'; s')$ are homeomorphic.
- $L_d(q; s)$ and $L_d(q'; s')$ are isometric.
- $q = q'$, and there are $l \in \mathbb{Z}$ prime to q , a permutation σ of $\{1, \dots, n\}$, and $\epsilon_1, \dots, \epsilon_n \in \{\pm 1\}$ such that

$$s'_{\sigma(j)} \equiv l\epsilon_j s_j \pmod{q} \quad \forall j = 1, \dots, n. \quad (2.11)$$

We next obtain a useful consequence of Proposition 2.4 after introducing some notation for lens orbifolds.

Notation 2.5. We fix a dimension $d \in \mathbb{N}$. For $q \in \mathbb{N}$, $s_1, \dots, s_l \in \mathbb{Z}$ and $n_1, \dots, n_l \in \mathbb{N}$ satisfying $\gcd(q, s_1, \dots, s_l) = 1$ and $n = \lfloor \frac{d+1}{2} \rfloor = n_1 + \dots + n_l$, we abbreviate

$$L_d(q; s_1^{n_1}, \dots, s_l^{n_l}) = L_d(q; \underbrace{s_1, \dots, s_1}_{n_1\text{-times}}, \dots, \underbrace{s_l, \dots, s_l}_{n_l\text{-times}}),$$

which is a d -dimensional lens orbifold with volume $\text{vol}(S^d)/q$.

Similarly, for $q \in \mathbb{N}$, $s_1, \dots, s_l \in \mathbb{Z}$ and $n_1, \dots, n_l \in \mathbb{N}_0 := \mathbb{N} \cup \{0\}$ satisfying $\gcd(s_i, q) = 1$ for all i , we abbreviate

$$L(q; s_1^{n_1}, \dots, s_l^{n_l}) = L(q; \underbrace{s_1, \dots, s_1}_{n_1\text{-times}}, \dots, \underbrace{s_l, \dots, s_l}_{n_l\text{-times}}),$$

which is a $(2n-1)$ -dimensional lens space with $n = n_1 + \dots + n_l$ with fundamental group of order q .

Lemma 2.6. *Let q be a positive integer satisfying $q \geq 3$, and write $q_0 = \varphi(q)/2$. Let $s_1 < s_2 < \dots < s_{q_0}$ be all positive integers less than $q/2$ and prime to q (so $s_1 = 1$). For any $(2n-1)$ -dimensional lens space with fundamental group of order*

This peer-reviewed unedited article has been accepted for publication. The final copyedited version may differ in some details. Volume, issue, and page numbers will be assigned at a later stage. Cite using this DOI, which will not change in the final version: <https://doi.org/10.33044/revuma.4943>.

q , there are $n_1, \dots, n_{q_0} \in \mathbb{N}_0$ with $n = n_1 + \dots + n_{q_0}$ such that L is isometric to $L(q; s_1^{n_1}, \dots, s_{q_0}^{n_{q_0}})$ and $n_1 \geq \max(n_2, \dots, n_{q_0})$.

Proof. The third condition in Proposition 2.4 allows us to choose the parameters of L among $\{s_1, \dots, s_{q_0}\}$. In other words, there are $n_1, \dots, n_{q_0} \in \mathbb{N}$ such that $L \simeq L(q; s_1^{n_1}, \dots, s_{q_0}^{n_{q_0}})$.

Suppose $n_i = \max(n_1, \dots, n_{q_0})$. Let $l \in \mathbb{Z}$ be an inverse of s_i module q , that is, $ls_i \equiv 1 \pmod{q}$. Proposition 2.4 implies that

$$L \simeq L(q; (ls_1)^{n_1}, \dots, (ls_{q_0})^{n_{q_0}}).$$

Clearly, $\{\pm ls_1, \dots, \pm ls_{q_0}\}$ is a representative set of $(\mathbb{Z}/q\mathbb{Z})^\times$. Hence, there is a permutation σ of $\{1, \dots, q_0\}$ such that $ls_j \equiv \epsilon_j s_{\sigma(j)} \pmod{q}$ for some $\epsilon_1, \dots, \epsilon_{q_0} \in \{\pm 1\}$. Write $\tau = \sigma^{-1}$. Note that $\tau(1) = i$. Now, Proposition 2.4 yields that

$$L \simeq L(q; s_1^{n_i}, s_2^{n_{\tau(2)}}, \dots, s_{q_0}^{n_{\tau(q_0)}}),$$

which completes the proof. □

Remark 2.7. Let $L(q; s_1^{n_1}, \dots, s_{q_0}^{n_{q_0}}) = S^{2n-1}/\Gamma_{q,s}$ be a spherical space form written as in Lemma 2.6. Looking at the eigenvalues of the elements in $\Gamma_{q,s}$ in (2.9), it follows that

$$n_1 = \max_{\gamma \in \Gamma(q)} \{\text{multiplicity of } \xi_q \text{ as an eigenvalue of } \gamma\}.$$

Hence, n_1 is determined by $F_{\Gamma_{q,s}}(z)$ by Proposition 2.3(2).

3. HIGHEST VOLUME OF SPHERICAL SPACE FORMS

The main goal of this section is to prove that two isospectral lens spaces with fundamental group of order $q \leq 10$ are necessarily isometric (Theorem 3.2). However, we prove it for all positive integers q such that $\varphi(q) \leq 6$, where φ stands for the Euler’s totient function (i.e., $\varphi(q) = |(\mathbb{Z}/q\mathbb{Z})^\times|$).

Proposition 3.1. *Let q be a positive integer such that $\varphi(q) \leq 6$. Then, two isospectral lens spaces with fundamental groups of order q are necessarily isometric.*

Proof. Let $L = S^{2n-1}/\Gamma$ be a $(2n - 1)$ -dimensional lens space with $|\Gamma| = q$. The goal is to show that $F_\Gamma(z)$ determines L up to isometry, or equivalently, Γ up to conjugation in $O(2n)$. We recall from Remark 2.1 that n and q are determined by $F_\Gamma(z)$.

If $\varphi(q) \leq 2$, then there is up to isometry exactly one $(2n - 1)$ -dimensional lens space with fundamental group of order q . It remains to consider the cases $\varphi(q) = 4$ and $\varphi(q) = 6$ since $\varphi(q)$ is even for any $q \geq 3$.

Assume $\varphi(q) = 4$. Let s_2 be the only integer prime to q satisfying $1 < s_2 < q/2$. Lemma 2.6 ensures that any $(2n - 1)$ -dimensional lens space with fundamental group of order q is isometric to

$$L(q; 1^{n-h}, s_2^h) \quad \text{for some } h \in \{0, 1, \dots, \lfloor n/2 \rfloor\}.$$

Since $n - h$ is determined by $F_\Gamma(z)$ (see Remark 2.7), so is h and consequently the isometry class of L .

Submitted: September 3, 2024

Accepted: February 3, 2025

Published (early view): February 5, 2025

This peer-reviewed unedited article has been accepted for publication. The final copyedited version may differ in some details. Volume, issue, and page numbers will be assigned at a later stage. Cite using this DOI, which will not change in the final version: <https://doi.org/10.33044/revuma.4943>.

From now on we suppose that $\varphi(q) = 6$, that is, $q \in \{7, 9, 14, 18\}$. We denote by $1 = s_1 < s_2 < s_3$ all positive integers less than $q/2$ and prime to q . One can easily check that

$$s_2^2 \equiv \pm s_3 \pmod{q}, \quad s_3^2 \equiv \pm s_2 \pmod{q} \quad s_2 s_3 \equiv \pm 1 \pmod{q}. \quad (3.1)$$

By Lemma 2.6, we do not lose generality by assuming that $L = L(q; 1^{n_1}, s_2^{n_2}, s_3^{n_3})$ for some non-negative integers n_1, n_2, n_3 satisfying $n = n_1 + n_2 + n_3$ and $n_1 \geq \max(n_2, n_3)$. Moreover, we can also assume that

$$\text{either } n_1 > \max(n_2, n_3) \quad \text{or} \quad n_1 = n_2 > n_3 \quad \text{or} \quad n_1 = n_2 = n_3. \quad (3.2)$$

Notice the case $n_1 = n_3 > n_2$ does not appear above since Proposition 2.4 and (3.1) imply that $L(q; 1^{n_1}, s_2^{n_2}, s_3^{n_1}) \simeq L(q; 1^{n_1}, s_2^{n_1}, s_3^{n_2})$ after multiplying the parameters of $L(q; 1^{n_1}, s_2^{n_2}, s_3^{n_1})$ by s_2 .

If γ is any generator of Γ , then the subset of elements of order q is equal to $\Gamma(q) = \{\gamma^k : \gcd(k, q) = 1\}$. Hence, the function introduced in Proposition 2.3(3) is given by

$$\begin{aligned} F_\Gamma^{(q)}(z) &= \sum_{\substack{0 \leq k < q \\ \gcd(k, q) = 1}} \prod_{j=1}^3 \frac{1}{(z - \xi_q^{ks_j})^{n_j} (z - \xi_q^{-ks_j})^{n_j}} \\ &= 2 \sum_{i=1}^3 \prod_{j=1}^3 \frac{1}{(z - \xi_q^{s_i s_j})^{n_j} (z - \xi_q^{-s_i s_j})^{n_j}}. \end{aligned} \quad (3.3)$$

Now, (3.3) and (3.1) imply that

$$\begin{aligned} F_\Gamma^{(q)}(z) &= \frac{2}{(z - \xi_q)^{n_1} (z - \xi_q^{-1})^{n_1} (z - \xi_q^{s_2})^{n_2} (z - \xi_q^{-s_2})^{n_2} (z - \xi_q^{s_3})^{n_3} (z - \xi_q^{-s_3})^{n_3}} \\ &\quad + \frac{2}{(z - \xi_q^{s_2})^{n_1} (z - \xi_q^{-s_2})^{n_1} (z - \xi_q^{s_3})^{n_2} (z - \xi_q^{-s_3})^{n_2} (z - \xi_q)^{n_3} (z - \xi_q^{-1})^{n_3}} \\ &\quad + \frac{2}{(z - \xi_q^{s_3})^{n_1} (z - \xi_q^{-s_3})^{n_1} (z - \xi_q)^{n_2} (z - \xi_q^{-1})^{n_2} (z - \xi_q^{s_2})^{n_3} (z - \xi_q^{-s_2})^{n_3}} \\ &= \frac{2}{\Phi_q(z)^{n_1}} P_\Gamma(z), \end{aligned} \quad (3.4)$$

where $\Phi_q(z) = (z - \xi_q)(z - \xi_q^{-1})(z - \xi_q^{s_2})(z - \xi_q^{-s_2})(z - \xi_q^{s_3})(z - \xi_q^{-s_3})$ is the q -th cyclotomic polynomial and

$$\begin{aligned} P_\Gamma(z) &= (z - \xi_q^{s_2})^{n_1 - n_2} (z - \xi_q^{-s_2})^{n_1 - n_2} (z - \xi_q^{s_3})^{n_1 - n_3} (z - \xi_q^{-s_3})^{n_1 - n_3} \\ &\quad + (z - \xi_q^{s_3})^{n_1 - n_2} (z - \xi_q^{-s_3})^{n_1 - n_2} (z - \xi_q)^{n_1 - n_3} (z - \xi_q^{-1})^{n_1 - n_3} \\ &\quad + (z - \xi_q)^{n_1 - n_2} (z - \xi_q^{-1})^{n_1 - n_2} (z - \xi_q^{s_2})^{n_1 - n_3} (z - \xi_q^{-s_2})^{n_1 - n_3}. \end{aligned} \quad (3.5)$$

Since $F_\Gamma(z)$ determines n_1 (see Remark 2.7) and $F_\Gamma^{(q)}(z)$ by Proposition 2.3(3), it follows that $P_\Gamma(z)$ is also determined by $F_\Gamma(z)$.

This peer-reviewed unedited article has been accepted for publication. The final copyedited version may differ in some details. Volume, issue, and page numbers will be assigned at a later stage. Cite using this DOI, which will not change in the final version: <https://doi.org/10.33044/revuma.4943>.

We next see that $P_\Gamma(z)$ determines which condition in (3.2) occurs. Clearly, $P_\Gamma \equiv 3$ if and only if $n_1 = n_2 = n_3$. Suppose that Γ has parameters (n_1, n_2, n_3) with $n_1 > \max(n_2, n_3)$ and Γ' has parameters (n_1, n_1, n'_3) with $n_1 > n'_3$. Since $n = n_1 + n_2 + n_3 = 2n_1 + n'_3$, we have $n_2 + n_3 = n_1 + n'_3$. By writing $r_j = (1 - \xi_q^{s_j})(1 - \xi_q^{-s_j}) = 2 - 2 \cos(\frac{2s_j\pi}{q}) \in \mathbb{R}$ for any $j = 1, \dots, 3$, it follows that

$$\begin{aligned} P_\Gamma(1) - P_{\Gamma'}(1) &= r_1^{n_1-n_2} r_2^{n_1-n_3} + r_2^{n_1-n_2} r_3^{n_1-n_3} + r_3^{n_1-n_2} r_1^{n_1-n_3} \\ &\quad - (r_1^{n_1-n'_3} + r_2^{n_1-n'_3} + r_3^{n_1-n'_3}) \\ &= r_1^{n_1-n_2} (r_2^{n_1-n_3} - r_1^{n_1-n_3}) + r_2^{n_1-n_2} (r_3^{n_1-n_3} - r_2^{n_1-n_3}) \\ &\quad - r_3^{n_1-n_2} (r_3^{n_1-n_3} - r_1^{n_1-n_3}) \\ &< r_2^{n_1-n_2} (r_3^{n_1-n_3} - r_1^{n_1-n_3}) - r_3^{n_1-n_2} (r_3^{n_1-n_3} - r_1^{n_1-n_3}) \\ &= (r_2^{n_1-n_2} - r_3^{n_1-n_2})(r_3^{n_1-n_3} - r_1^{n_1-n_3}) < 0 \end{aligned} \tag{3.6}$$

since $n_1 - n'_3 = (n_1 - n_2) + (n_1 - n_3)$ and $0 < r_1 < r_2 < r_3$. We conclude that $F_\Gamma(z)$ distinguishes each of the three conditions in (3.2).

We recall that n_1 is determined by $F_\Gamma(z)$ by Remark 2.7, as well as $n = n_1 + n_2 + n_3$ by Remark 2.1. It only remains to show that the parameters n_2 and n_3 are determined by $F_\Gamma(z)$ in each case in (3.2) separately. If $n_1 = n_2 = n_3$, the assertion is trivial. If $n_1 = n_2 > n_3$, then $n_3 = n - 2n_1$ is determined by $F_\Gamma(z)$.

We next deal with the case $n_1 > \max(n_2, n_3)$. Since $n_3 = n - n_1 - n_2$, we have that

$$\begin{aligned} |P_\Gamma(\xi_q)| &= |(\xi_q - \xi_q^{s_2})(\xi_q - \xi_q^{-s_2})|^{n_1-n_2} |(\xi_q - \xi_q^{s_3})(\xi_q - \xi_q^{-s_3})|^{2n_1-n+n_2} \\ &= |(\xi_q - \xi_q^{s_2})(\xi_q - \xi_q^{-s_2})|^{n_1} |(\xi_q - \xi_q^{s_3})(\xi_q - \xi_q^{-s_3})|^{2n_1-n} \\ &\quad \times \left| \frac{(\xi_q - \xi_q^{s_3})(\xi_q - \xi_q^{-s_3})}{(\xi_q - \xi_q^{s_2})(\xi_q - \xi_q^{-s_2})} \right|^{n_2}. \end{aligned} \tag{3.7}$$

Since n and n_1 are known and $|(\xi_q - \xi_q^{s_3})(\xi_q - \xi_q^{-s_3})| > |(\xi_q - \xi_q^{s_2})(\xi_q - \xi_q^{-s_2})|$ because $1 < s_2 < s_3 < q/2$, we deduce that n_2 is determined by $|P_\Gamma(\xi_q)|$. In fact, the function $n_2 \mapsto |P_\Gamma(\xi_q)|$ is strictly increasing, and consequently it is injective. We conclude that n_2 and $n_3 = n - n_1 - n_2$ are determined by $F_\Gamma(z)$, and this completes the proof. \square

Theorem 3.2. *Two isospectral lens spaces with fundamental group of order $q \leq 10$ are necessarily isometric.*

Proof. The proof follows immediately from Proposition 3.1 since $\varphi(q) \leq 6$ for all $q \leq 10$. \square

4. HIGHEST VOLUME OF SPHERICAL ORBIFOLDS

In this section we prove Theorem 1.2, that is, $\text{vol}(S^d)/8$ is the maximum volume of an isospectral and non-isometric pair of d -dimensional spherical orbifolds for any $d \geq 5$. We already know that $\text{vol}(S^d)/8$ is a lower bound due to the pair from

Submitted: September 3, 2024

Accepted: February 3, 2025

Published (early view): February 5, 2025

This peer-reviewed unedited article has been accepted for publication. The final copyedited version may differ in some details. Volume, issue, and page numbers will be assigned at a later stage. Cite using this DOI, which will not change in the final version: <https://doi.org/10.33044/revuma.4943>.

[LL24] of isospectral and non-isometric spherical space forms S^d/Γ and S^d/Γ' with $\Gamma \simeq \Gamma' \simeq (\mathbb{Z}/2\mathbb{Z})^3$. The equality follows immediately from the next result.

Theorem 4.1. *Fix $d \in \mathbb{N}$, and let Γ, Γ' be finite subgroups of $O(d+1)$. If S^d/Γ and S^d/Γ' are isospectral and $|\Gamma| = |\Gamma'| \leq 7$, then Γ and Γ' are conjugate in $O(d+1)$, and consequently the spherical orbifolds S^d/Γ and S^d/Γ' are isometric.*

Proof. Let Γ be a finite subgroup of $O(d+1)$ with $|\Gamma| \leq 7$. The goal is to show that $F_\Gamma(z)$ determines Γ up to conjugation in $O(d+1)$. We divide the proof in terms of the number of elements in Γ , which is a spectral invariant (see Remark 2.1). The cases $|\Gamma| = 1, 2, 3$ were established in [LL24, Prop. 4.1].

- $|\Gamma| = 4$. As an abstract group there are two possibilities: $\Gamma \simeq \mathbb{Z}/4\mathbb{Z}$ (i.e., Γ is cyclic) or $\Gamma \simeq (\mathbb{Z}/2\mathbb{Z})^2$. We first determine $F_\Gamma(z)$ in terms of the eigenvalues of the elements in Γ in each case.

Suppose first that Γ is cyclic. Let γ_0 be any element in Γ of order 4. The eigenvalues of the matrix γ_0 are necessarily as follows: i and $-i$ have multiplicity m_1 , -1 has multiplicity m_2 , and 1 has multiplicity $d+1-2m_1+m_2$ for some $m_1, m_2 \in \mathbb{N}_0 = \mathbb{N} \cup \{0\}$ satisfying $d+1-2m_1+m_2 \geq 0$. It follows from (2.5) that

$$\begin{aligned} \frac{4}{1-z^2} F_\Gamma(z) &= \frac{1}{(1-z)^{d+1}} + \frac{1}{(1+z)^{2m_1}(1-z)^{d+1-2m_1}} \\ &\quad + \frac{2}{(1-zi)^{m_1}(1+zi)^{m_1}(1+z)^{m_2}(1-z)^{d+1-2m_1-m_2}}. \end{aligned} \tag{4.1}$$

We now suppose that $\Gamma \simeq (\mathbb{Z}/2\mathbb{Z})^2$. Let γ_1 and γ_2 be two distinct non-trivial elements in Γ ; thus $\Gamma = \{I_{d+1}, \gamma_1, \gamma_2, \gamma_3 := \gamma_1\gamma_2\}$. For any $j \in \{1, 2, 3\}$, γ_j has order 2; we denote by m'_j the multiplicity of the eigenvalue -1 of γ_j . We note that for $i, j, k \in \mathbb{Z}$ satisfying $\{i, j, k\} = \{1, 2, 3\}$, since $\gamma_i\gamma_j = \gamma_k$, one can check that $|m'_i - m'_j| \leq m'_k \leq m'_i + m'_j$ and $m'_1 + m'_2 + m'_3 \equiv 0 \pmod{2}$. We have that

$$\begin{aligned} \frac{4}{1-z^2} F_\Gamma(z) &= \sum_{k=0}^3 \frac{1}{\det(1-z\gamma_k)} \\ &= \frac{1}{(1-z)^{d+1}} + \sum_{j=1}^3 \frac{1}{(1+z)^{m'_j}(1-z)^{d+1-m'_j}}. \end{aligned} \tag{4.2}$$

We observe from (4.1) and (4.2) that, for an arbitrary subgroup Γ of $O(d+1)$ having four elements, $F_\Gamma(z)$ has a pole at $z = i$ if and only if Γ is cyclic. Consequently, $F_\Gamma(z)$ determines whether $\Gamma \simeq \mathbb{Z}/4\mathbb{Z}$ or $\Gamma \simeq (\mathbb{Z}/2\mathbb{Z})^2$.

Assume that Γ is cyclic. It is evident from (4.1) that the order of the pole of $F_\Gamma(z)$ at $z = i$ is m_1 . Hence m_1 is determined by $F_\Gamma(z)$, and so is the function

$$\begin{aligned} \frac{4}{1-z^2} F_\Gamma(z) - \frac{1}{(1-z)^{d+1}} - \frac{1}{(1+z)^{2m_1}(1-z)^{d+1-2m_1}} \\ = \frac{2}{(z-i)^{m_1}(z+i)^{m_1}(1+z)^{m_2}(1-z)^{d+1-2m_1-m_2}}, \end{aligned}$$

This peer-reviewed unedited article has been accepted for publication. The final copyedited version may differ in some details. Volume, issue, and page numbers will be assigned at a later stage. Cite using this DOI, which will not change in the final version: <https://doi.org/10.33044/revuma.4943>.

which has a pole of order m_2 at $z = -1$. We conclude that $F_\Gamma(z)$ determines the spectrum of the matrix γ_0 , as well as γ_0 and Γ up to conjugation in $O(d + 1)$.

We now assume that Γ is not cyclic. By reordering the elements of $\Gamma = \{I_{d+1}, \gamma_1, \gamma_2, \gamma_3\}$ if necessary, we can assume that $m'_1 \geq m'_2 \geq m'_3$. It is clear from (4.2) that the function $\frac{4}{1-z^2}F_\Gamma(z) - \frac{1}{(1-z)^{d+1}}$, which is determined by $F_\Gamma(z)$, has a pole at $z = -1$ of order m'_1 . The $(-m'_1)$ -th term in its Laurent series is given by

$$\lim_{z \rightarrow -1} (1+z)^{m'_1} \left(\frac{4}{1-z^2}F_\Gamma(z) - \frac{1}{(1-z)^{d+1}} \right) = \begin{cases} \frac{1}{2^{d+1-m'_1}} & \text{if } m'_1 > m'_2, \\ \frac{1}{2^{d-m'_1}} & \text{if } m'_1 = m'_2 > m'_3, \\ \frac{3}{2^{d+1-m'_1}} & \text{if } m'_1 = m'_2 = m'_3. \end{cases}$$

Since m'_1 is determined by $F_\Gamma(z)$ and the three values in the three rows above are different, it follows that $F_\Gamma(z)$ also determines which condition holds.

In the first case, $m'_1 > m'_2$, $F_\Gamma(z)$ determines the function

$$\begin{aligned} \frac{4}{1-z^2}F_\Gamma(z) - \frac{1}{(1-z)^{d+1}} - \frac{1}{(1+z)^{m'_1}(1-z)^{d+1-m'_1}} \\ = \sum_{j=2}^3 \frac{1}{(1+z)^{m'_j}(1-z)^{d+1-m'_j}}, \end{aligned}$$

so the same argument as above shows that $F_\Gamma(z)$ also determines m'_2 and m'_3 . In the second case, $m'_1 = m'_2 > m'_3$, m'_1 and m'_2 are determined, which gives immediately that so is m'_3 . The third case is obvious. It is clear that the values of m'_1, m'_2, m'_3 determine Γ up to conjugation in $O(d + 1)$, as required.

• $|\Gamma| = 5$. It turns out that Γ is cyclic, that is, $\Gamma \simeq \mathbb{Z}/5\mathbb{Z}$. Let γ_0 be any non-trivial element in Γ . In this case, it is adequate to use the language of Subsection 2.3. Since γ_0 has order odd, then $\gamma_0 \in SO(d + 1)$, and consequently S^d/Γ is isometric to a lens orbifold. Therefore, there are non-negative integers n_0, n_1, n_2 satisfying $n = \lfloor \frac{d+1}{2} \rfloor = n_0 + n_1 + n_2$ such that S^d/Γ is isometric to

$$L := L_d(5; 0^{n_0}, 1^{n_1}, 2^{n_2}).$$

From (2.10), it follows that

$$\begin{aligned} \frac{5}{1-z^2}F_\Gamma(z) &= \frac{1}{(1-z)^{d+1}} \\ &+ \frac{2}{(1-z)^{d+1-2(n_1+n_2)}(1-z\xi_5)^{n_1}(1-z\xi_5^4)^{n_1}(1-z\xi_5^2)^{n_2}(1-z\xi_5^3)^{n_2}} \\ &+ \frac{2}{(1-z)^{d+1-2(n_1+n_2)}(1-z\xi_5)^{n_2}(1-z\xi_5^4)^{n_2}(1-z\xi_5^2)^{n_1}(1-z\xi_5^3)^{n_1}}. \end{aligned}$$

The function $\tilde{F}_\Gamma(z) := \frac{5}{1-z^2}F_\Gamma(z) - \frac{1}{(1-z)^{d+1}}$ is clearly determined by $F_\Gamma(z)$ (recall that d is determined by F_Γ by Remark 2.1). It has a pole of order $d + 1 -$

This peer-reviewed unedited article has been accepted for publication. The final copyedited version may differ in some details. Volume, issue, and page numbers will be assigned at a later stage. Cite using this DOI, which will not change in the final version: <https://doi.org/10.33044/revuma.4943>.

$2(n_1 + n_2)$ at $z = 1$ since

$$\begin{aligned} & \lim_{z \rightarrow 1} (1 - z)^{d+1-2(n_1+n_2)} \tilde{F}_\Gamma(z) \\ &= \lim_{z \rightarrow 1} \left(\frac{2}{(z - \xi_5)^{n_1} (z - \xi_5^4)^{n_1} (z - \xi_5^2)^{n_2} (z - \xi_5^3)^{n_2}} \right. \\ & \quad \left. + \frac{2}{(z - \xi_5)^{n_2} (z - \xi_5^4)^{n_2} (z - \xi_5^2)^{n_1} (z - \xi_5^3)^{n_1}} \right) \tag{4.3} \\ &= \frac{2}{\left(2 - 2 \cos\left(\frac{2\pi}{5}\right)\right)^{n_1} \left(2 - 2 \cos\left(\frac{4\pi}{5}\right)\right)^{n_2}} \\ & \quad + \frac{2}{\left(2 - 2 \cos\left(\frac{2\pi}{5}\right)\right)^{n_2} \left(2 - 2 \cos\left(\frac{4\pi}{5}\right)\right)^{n_1}} > 0. \end{aligned}$$

We deduce that $n_1 + n_2$ is determined by $F_\Gamma(z)$.

Note that $F_\Gamma(z)$ determines

$$\begin{aligned} & (1 - z)^{d+1-2(n_1+n_2)} F_\Gamma(z) \\ &= \frac{1 - z^2}{5} \left(\frac{2}{(1 - z\xi_5)^{n_1} (1 - z\xi_5^4)^{n_1} (1 - z\xi_5^2)^{n_2} (1 - z\xi_5^3)^{n_2}} \right. \\ & \quad \left. + \frac{2}{(1 - z\xi_5)^{n_2} (1 - z\xi_5^4)^{n_2} (1 - z\xi_5^2)^{n_1} (1 - z\xi_5^3)^{n_1}} + \frac{1}{(1 - z)^{2(n_1+n_2)}} \right) \\ &= \frac{1 - z^2}{5} \sum_{k=0}^4 \frac{1}{(1 - z\xi_q^k)^{n_1} (1 - z\xi_q^{4k})^{n_1} (1 - z\xi_q^{2k})^{n_2} (1 - z\xi_q^{3k})^{n_2}}, \tag{4.4} \end{aligned}$$

which is precisely the generating function associated to the lens space $L(5; 1^{n_1}, 2^{n_2})$ of dimension $2n_1 + 2n_2 - 1$ by (2.10). Proposition 3.1 ensures that n_1, n_2 are determined by $F_\Gamma(z)$. We conclude that $F_\Gamma(z)$ determines the whole spectrum of γ_0 . Since any element in $O(d + 1)$ is conjugate to a diagonal matrix over the complex number whose entries are its eigenvalues, $F_\Gamma(z)$ determines γ_0 and Γ up to conjugation in $O(d + 1)$, which is the requested assertion.

- $|\Gamma| = 6$. We have two possibilities: $\Gamma \simeq \mathbb{Z}/6\mathbb{Z} \simeq \mathbb{Z}/2\mathbb{Z} \oplus \mathbb{Z}/3\mathbb{Z}$ or $\Gamma \simeq \mathbb{S}_3$ (the symmetric group in three letters). We next determine $F_\Gamma(z)$ in each case independently.

We first suppose that Γ is cyclic. Let γ_0 be any generator, whose spectrum is as follows: ξ_6, ξ_6^5 have multiplicity m_1 , ξ_6^2, ξ_6^4 have multiplicity m_2 , $-1 = \xi_6^3$ has multiplicity m_3 , $+1$ has multiplicity $d + 1 - 2m_1 - 2m_2 - m_3$. Note that $m_1 > 0$, otherwise γ_0 would not have order 6. It is a simple matter to deduce from (2.5)

This peer-reviewed unedited article has been accepted for publication. The final copyedited version may differ in some details. Volume, issue, and page numbers will be assigned at a later stage. Cite using this DOI, which will not change in the final version: <https://doi.org/10.33044/revuma.4943>.

that

$$\begin{aligned} \frac{6}{1-z^2} F_\Gamma(z) &= \frac{1}{(1-z)^{d+1}} + \frac{1}{(1-z)^{d+1-2m_1-m_3}(1+z)^{2m_1+m_3}} \\ &+ \frac{2}{(1-z)^{d+1-2m_1-2m_2}(1-z\xi_6^2)^{m_1+m_2}(1-z\xi_6^4)^{m_1+m_2}} \\ &+ \frac{2}{(1-z)^{d+1-2m_1-2m_2-m_3}(1-z\xi_6)^{m_1}(1-z\xi_6^5)^{m_1}} \\ &\times \frac{1}{(1-z\xi_6^2)^{m_2}(1-z\xi_6^4)^{m_2}(1+z)^{m_3}}. \end{aligned} \tag{4.5}$$

We now assume that $\Gamma \simeq \mathbb{S}_3$. In this case it is adequate to use the language of representation theory of finite groups. We denote by $\pi : \mathbb{S}_3 \rightarrow \text{GL}(d+1)$ the faithful representation of degree $d+1$ such that $\pi(\mathbb{S}_3) = \Gamma$. This representation can be decomposed as a sum of irreducible representations of \mathbb{S}_3 , which are as follows:

- $\text{id} : \mathbb{S}_3 \rightarrow \text{GL}(\mathbb{R})$, $\text{id}(\gamma) = 1$ for all γ .
- $\rho_1 : \mathbb{S}_3 \rightarrow \text{GL}(\mathbb{R})$, $\rho_1(\gamma) = \text{sgn}(\gamma)$, the sign of the permutation γ .
- $\rho_2 : \mathbb{S}_3 \rightarrow \text{GL}(V)$, where $V = \{(x_1, x_2, x_3) \in \mathbb{R}^3 : x_1 + x_2 + x_3 = 0\}$, $\rho_2(\gamma) \cdot (x_1, x_2, x_3) = (x_{\gamma(1)}, x_{\gamma(2)}, x_{\gamma(3)})$.

Since id and ρ_1 have dimension one and ρ_2 has dimension two, there are non-negative integers m'_1, m'_2 such that

$$\pi = (d+1 - m'_1 - 2m'_2) \text{id} + m'_1 \rho_1 + m'_2 \rho_2. \tag{4.6}$$

Note that $m'_2 > 0$ since otherwise π is not faithful.

We write $\mathbb{S}_3 = \langle \sigma, \tau \mid \sigma^3 = e, \tau^2 = e, \tau\sigma\tau = \sigma^{-1} \rangle = \{e, \sigma, \sigma^2, \tau, \tau\sigma, \tau\sigma^2\}$. One clearly has $\rho_1(\sigma^j) = 1$ and $\rho_1(\tau\sigma^j) = -1$ for $j = 0, 1, 2$. Furthermore, $\rho_2(\sigma)$ and $\rho_2(\sigma^2)$ are rotations in the subspace V by $2\pi/3$ and $-2\pi/3$, respectively, and $\rho_2(\tau), \rho_2(\tau\sigma), \rho_2(\tau\sigma^2)$ are reflections. Therefore, $\rho_2(\sigma)$ and $\rho_2(\sigma^2)$ have eigenvalues ξ_3 and ξ_3^2 , $\rho_2(\tau), \rho_2(\tau\sigma)$, and $\rho_2(\tau\sigma^2)$ have eigenvalues $+1, -1$, and $\rho_2(e)$ has the eigenvalue 1 with multiplicity 2. Combining this information and (4.6), we obtain the following:

- $\pi(e)$ has the eigenvalue 1 with multiplicity $d+1$,
- $\pi(\sigma)$ and $\pi(\sigma^2)$ have eigenvalues ξ_3, ξ_3^2 with multiplicity m'_2 , and 1 with multiplicity $d+1 - 2m'_2$,
- $\pi(\tau\sigma^j)$ has eigenvalues -1 with multiplicity $m'_1+m'_2$ and 1 with multiplicity $d+1 - m'_1 - m'_2$, for any $j = 0, 1, 2$.

Hence

$$\begin{aligned} \frac{6}{1-z^2} F_\Gamma(z) &= \frac{1}{(1-z)^{d+1}} + \frac{3}{(1-z)^{d+1-m'_1-m'_2}(1+z)^{m'_1+m'_2}} \\ &+ \frac{2}{(1-z)^{d+1-2m'_2}(1-z\xi_3)^{m'_2}(1-z\xi_3^2)^{m'_2}}. \end{aligned} \tag{4.7}$$

We observe from (4.5) and (4.7) that, for an arbitrary subgroup Γ of $\text{O}(d+1)$ having six elements, $F_\Gamma(z)$ has a pole at $z = \xi_6$ if and only if Γ is cyclic. Consequently, $F_\Gamma(z)$ determines whether $\Gamma \simeq \mathbb{Z}/6\mathbb{Z}$ or $\Gamma \simeq \mathbb{S}_3$.

This peer-reviewed unedited article has been accepted for publication. The final copyedited version may differ in some details. Volume, issue, and page numbers will be assigned at a later stage. Cite using this DOI, which will not change in the final version: <https://doi.org/10.33044/revuma.4943>.

We first suppose that Γ is cyclic. It follows immediately from (4.5) that the function $\frac{6}{1-z^2}F_\Gamma(z)$ has the following: a pole at $z = \xi_6$ of order m_1 , a pole at $z = \xi_6^2$ of order $m_1 + m_2$, a pole at $z = -1$ of order $2m_1 + m_3$. This forces m_1, m_2, m_3 to be determined by $F_\Gamma(z)$, consequently Γ is also determined up to conjugation in $O(d + 1)$.

We now consider the case $\Gamma \simeq \mathbb{S}_3$, that is, $z = \xi_6$ is not a pole of $F_\Gamma(z)$. It is clear from (4.7) that $F_\Gamma(z)$ has a pole at $z = \xi_3$ of order m'_2 . Thus, $F_\Gamma(z)$ determines the function

$$\begin{aligned} \frac{6}{1-z^2}F_\Gamma(z) - \frac{1}{(1-z)^{d+1}} - \frac{2}{(z-\xi_3)^{m'_2}(z-\xi_3^2)^{m'_2}(1-z)^{d+1-2m'_2}} \\ = \frac{3}{(1+z)^{m'_1+m'_2}(1-z)^{d+1-m'_1-m'_2}}, \end{aligned}$$

which has a pole at $z = -1$ of order $m'_1+m'_2$. Hence m'_1 and m'_2 are both determined by $F_\Gamma(z)$. By (4.6), the representation π is determined and consequently also is Γ up to conjugation in $O(d + 1)$.

• $|\Gamma| = 7$. Let γ_0 be any non-trivial element in Γ . In this case, it is adequate to use the language of Subsection 2.3. Since γ_0 has order odd, then $\gamma_0 \in SO(d + 1)$, and consequently S^d/Γ is isometric to a lens orbifold. Therefore, there are non-negative integers n_0, n_1, n_2, n_3 satisfying $n = n_0 + \dots + n_3$ such that S^d/Γ is isometric to

$$L := L_d(7; 0^{n_0}, 1^{n_1}, 2^{n_2}, 3^{n_3}).$$

It follows from (2.10) that

$$\begin{aligned} \tilde{F}_\Gamma(z) &:= \frac{7}{1-z^2}F_\Gamma(z) - \frac{1}{(1-z)^{d+1}} \\ &= \frac{2}{(1-z)^{d+1-2(n_1+n_2+n_3)}} \\ &\quad \times \left(\frac{1}{(1-z\xi_7)^{n_1}(1-z\xi_7^2)^{n_2}(1-z\xi_7^3)^{n_3}(1-z\xi_7^4)^{n_3}(1-z\xi_7^5)^{n_2}(1-z\xi_7^6)^{n_1}} \right. \\ &\quad + \frac{2}{(1-z\xi_7)^{n_3}(1-z\xi_7^2)^{n_1}(1-z\xi_7^3)^{n_2}(1-z\xi_7^4)^{n_2}(1-z\xi_7^5)^{n_1}(1-z\xi_7^6)^{n_3}} \\ &\quad \left. + \frac{2}{(1-z\xi_7)^{n_2}(1-z\xi_7^2)^{n_3}(1-z\xi_7^3)^{n_1}(1-z\xi_7^4)^{n_1}(1-z\xi_7^5)^{n_3}(1-z\xi_7^6)^{n_2}} \right). \end{aligned}$$

By using the same argument as in (4.3) for the case $|\Gamma| = 5$, one can show that this function, which is determined by $F_\Gamma(z)$, has a pole of order $d + 1 - 2(n_1 + n_2 + n_3)$ at $z = 1$. Hence, $F_\Gamma(z)$ determines $n_1 + n_2 + n_3$; therefore so is n_0 .

With a computation analogous to (4.4), one can see that the function $(1 - z)^{d+1-2(n_1+n_2+n_3)}F_\Gamma(z)$, which is determined by $F_\Gamma(z)$, coincides with the generating function associated to the $(2n_1 + 2n_2 + 2n_3 - 1)$ -dimensional lens space $L(7; 1^{n_1}, 2^{n_2}, 3^{n_3})$. Proposition 3.1 yields that n_1, n_2, n_3 are determined by $F_\Gamma(z)$. We conclude that $F_\Gamma(z)$ determines the spectrum of γ_0 , hence Γ is determined up to isometry in $O(d + 1)$ by $F_\Gamma(z)$, as asserted. \square

This peer-reviewed unedited article has been accepted for publication. The final copyedited version may differ in some details. Volume, issue, and page numbers will be assigned at a later stage. Cite using this DOI, which will not change in the final version: <https://doi.org/10.33044/revuma.4943>.

5. HIGHEST VOLUME OF SPHERICAL SPACE FORMS WITH NON-CYCLIC FUNDAMENTAL GROUPS

Lemma 4.4 in [LL24] classifies the only possible non-cyclic fundamental groups of spherical space forms with order strictly less than 24, namely,

- the quaternion group $Q_8 := \langle B, R \mid B^4 = e, R^2 = B^2, RBR^{-1} = B^3 \rangle$ of order 8,
- the group $P_{12} := \langle A, B \mid A^3 = B^4 = e, BAB^{-1} = A^2 \rangle$ of order 12,
- the generalized quaternion group $Q_{16} := \langle B, R \mid B^8 = e, R^2 = B^4, RBR^{-1} = B^7 \rangle$ of order 16,
- the group $P_{20} := \langle A, B \mid A^5 = B^4 = e, BAB^{-1} = A^4 \rangle$ of order 20.

Furthermore, it claims that

for each group H in the above list and $m \in \mathbb{N}$, there is up to isometry exactly one spherical space form with fundamental group isomorphic to H and dimension $4m - 1$.

This last part is incorrect and the goal of this section is to correct it. The next statement replaces it.

Lemma 5.1. *Let H be a group in the above list and $m \in \mathbb{N}$. Two isospectral $(4m - 1)$ -dimensional spherical space forms with fundamental groups isomorphic to H are isometric.*

Only the last paragraph in the proof of [LL24, Lem. 4.4] is incorrect. It obtains the false fact in the previous statement from [Wo01, Prop. 5.3]. We next fix it modifying only the erroneous parts.

Proof. The ways that a fixed point free group H embeds into $SO(2n)$ via complex fixed point free representations to give all the isometry classes of $(2n - 1)$ -dimensional spherical space forms is quite complicated to be explained. See [Wo01, §4–5] for a nice summary, or [Wo, §7.2–3] for their classifications. The main point is the following:

two d -dimensional spherical space forms $S^d/\rho_1(\Gamma)$ and $S^d/\rho_2(\Gamma)$ (Γ is a fixed point group and $\rho_1, \rho_2 : H \rightarrow SO(d + 1)$ are fixed point free representations) are isometric if and only if there is an automorphism Φ of Γ such that $\rho_1 \cong \rho_2 \circ \Phi$ (i.e., ρ_1 and $\rho_2 \circ \Phi$ are equivalent as representations of Γ).

We begin with the second group in the list, $H = P_{12}$, which is of Type I with parameters $m = 3, n = 4, r = 2$, and $d = 2$ in the notation in [Wo01]. By [Wo01, Prop. 4.19], there is only one irreducible complex fixed point free representation of H up to equivalence, say π , which has dimension 2. This implies that, up to isometry, there is only one $(4m - 1)$ -dimensional spherical space form with fundamental group isomorphic to H , namely

$$S^{4m-1} / \underbrace{((\pi \oplus \bar{\pi}) \oplus \cdots \oplus (\pi \oplus \bar{\pi}))}_{m\text{-times}}(H). \tag{5.1}$$

Submitted: September 3, 2024

Accepted: February 3, 2025

Published (early view): February 5, 2025

This peer-reviewed unedited article has been accepted for publication. The final copyedited version may differ in some details. Volume, issue, and page numbers will be assigned at a later stage. Cite using this DOI, which will not change in the final version: <https://doi.org/10.33044/revuma.4943>.

Of course, they are mutually non-isospectral because they have different dimensions.

The case $H = Q_8$ follows in a very similar way since it has up to equivalence only one irreducible complex fixed point free representation of dimension 2 by [Wo01, Prop. 4.19]. Note that Q_8 is of Type II with parameters $m = 1, n = 4, r = 1, d = 1, s = 1,$ and $t = 3,$ according to the notation in [Wo01].

We now assume $H = P_{20}$. We have that H is Type I, with parameters $m = 5, n = 4, r = 4,$ and $d = 2.$ In this case, [Wo01, Prop. 4.19] yields there are two irreducible complex fixed point free representations of H up to equivalence, which have dimension 2. A representative set is given by $\{\pi_{1,1}, \pi_{2,1}\},$ following the notation in [Wo01, (4.1)].

The 3-dimensional spherical space forms $S^3/(\pi_{1,1} \oplus \bar{\pi}_{1,1})(H)$ and $S^3/(\pi_{2,1} \oplus \bar{\pi}_{2,1})(H)$ are isometric by Proposition [Wo01, Prop. 5.3] since there is $\Phi \in \text{Aut}(H)$ such that $\pi_{1,1} \simeq \pi_{2,1} \circ \Phi.$ By writing $\rho_h = h\pi_{1,1} \oplus (m - h)\pi_{2,1},$ we have that $\rho_h \simeq \rho_{m-h} \circ \Phi$ for integers $0 \leq h \leq m,$ hence $S^{4m-1}/(\rho_h \oplus \bar{\rho}_h)(H)$ and $S^{4m-1}/(\rho_{m-h} \oplus \bar{\rho}_{m-h})(H)$ are isometric. Consequently, the isometry classes of all $(4m - 1)$ -dimensional spherical space forms with fundamental groups isomorphic to H are

$$S^{4m-1}/(\rho_h \oplus \bar{\rho}_h)(H) \quad \text{for } h = 0, \dots, \lfloor \frac{m}{2} \rfloor. \tag{5.2}$$

It remains to show that they are pairwise non-isospectral. To do that, we next prove that $F_{(\rho_h \oplus \bar{\rho}_h)(H)}^{(10)}(z)$ determines $h.$

We have the presentation

$$H = \langle A, B \mid A^5 = B^4 = e, BAB^{-1} = A^4 \rangle. \tag{5.3}$$

The elements in H with order 10 are $(AB^2)^j$ with $\text{gcd}(j, 10) = 1.$ One obtains from [Wo01, (4.1)] that

$$\pi_{k,1}(A) = \begin{pmatrix} \xi_5^k & \\ & \xi_5^{-k} \end{pmatrix}, \quad \pi_{k,1}(B^2) = \begin{pmatrix} -1 & \\ & -1 \end{pmatrix}; \tag{5.4}$$

thus the multiset of eigenvalues of the 4×4 -matrix $(\pi_{k,1} \oplus \bar{\pi}_{k,1})((AB^2)^j)$ is

$$\{\{\xi_{10}^{(2k+5)j}, \xi_{10}^{(2k+5)j}, \xi_{10}^{-(2k+5)j}, \xi_{10}^{-(2k+5)j}\}\}. \tag{5.5}$$

Hence

$$\begin{aligned} & F_{(\rho_h \oplus \bar{\rho}_h)(H)}^{(10)}(z) \\ &= \sum_{j \in \{1,3,7,9\}} \frac{1}{(z - \xi_{10}^{3j})^{2h} (z - \xi_{10}^{-3j})^{2h} (z - \xi_{10}^j)^{2(m-h)} (z - \xi_{10}^{-j})^{2(m-h)}} \\ &= \frac{2}{(z - \xi_{10}^3)^{2h} (z - \xi_{10}^{-3})^{2h} (z - \xi_{10})^{2(m-h)} (z - \xi_{10}^{-1})^{2(m-h)}} \\ & \quad + \frac{2}{(z - \xi_{10})^{2h} (z - \xi_{10}^{-1})^{2h} (z - \xi_{10}^3)^{2(m-h)} (z - \xi_{10}^{-3})^{2(m-h)}}, \tag{5.6} \end{aligned}$$

which has a pole of order $2(m - h)$ at $z = \xi_{10}.$ We conclude that $F_{(\rho_h \oplus \bar{\rho}_h)(H)}(z)$ determines $h,$ as asserted.

This peer-reviewed unedited article has been accepted for publication. The final copyedited version may differ in some details. Volume, issue, and page numbers will be assigned at a later stage. Cite using this DOI, which will not change in the final version: <https://doi.org/10.33044/revuma.4943>.

We now assume $H = Q_{16}$. The proof is very similar to the one for P_{20} , so we omit several details. The group H is of Type II with parameters $m = 1, n = 8, r = 1, d = 1, s = 1,$ and $t = 7,$ according to [Wo01]. The complex fixed point free representations $\alpha_{1,1}$ and $\alpha_{1,3}$ (see [Wo01, (4.2)]) of H are irreducible, non-equivalent, and have dimension 2. The isometry classes of $(4m - 1)$ -dimensional spherical space forms with fundamental groups isomorphic to H are as in (5.2) with $\rho_h = h\alpha_{1,1} \oplus (m - h)\alpha_{1,3}$. A simple computation gives

$$F_{(\rho_h \oplus \bar{\rho}_h)(H)}^{(8)}(z) = \frac{2}{(z - \xi_8)^{2h}(z - \xi_8^{-1})^{2h}(z - \xi_8^3)^{2(m-h)}(z - \xi_8^{-3})^{2(m-h)}} + \frac{2}{(z - \xi_8^3)^{2h}(z - \xi_8^{-3})^{2h}(z - \xi_8)^{2(m-h)}(z - \xi_8^{-1})^{2(m-h)}}, \tag{5.7}$$

which has a pole of order $2(m - h)$ at $z = \xi_8$. This proves that $F_{(\rho_h \oplus \bar{\rho}_h)(H)}(z)$ determines $h,$ and the proof is complete. \square

In [LL24], Lemma 4.4, (essentially) used in the third line of page 701, combined with Prop. 4.3, imply that

any pair of odd-dimensional isospectral and non-isometric spherical space forms $S^{2n-1}/\Gamma_1, S^{2n-1}/\Gamma_2$ with $|\Gamma_i| < 24$ are necessarily lens spaces.

This fact still follows from the new statement in Lemma 5.1, so the correction does not affect any other result in [LL24].

We end the article with another correction to [LL24].

Remark 5.2. The proof of Theorem 4.6 in [LL24] is not correct. The second identity in formula (38) is invalid since $\Phi_q(z) = \prod_{j=1}^{q_0} (z - \xi_q^{ht_j})(z - \xi_q^{-ht_j})$ holds only if $\gcd(h, q) = 1.$

In order to solve it, one has to check that $F_L^{(k)}(z) = F_{L'}^{(k)}(z)$ for every divisor k of $q,$ instead of $F_L(z) = F_{L'}(z).$ Let γ_0 be a generator of the fundamental group Γ of $L.$ Clearly, for any divisor k of $q,$ the elements in Γ with order k are γ_0^h with $0 \leq h < q$ and $\gcd(h, q) = \frac{q}{k}.$ Thus

$$F_L^{(k)}(z) = \frac{1 - z^2}{q} \times \sum_{\substack{0 \leq h \leq q-1, \\ \gcd(h, q) = \frac{q}{k}}} \frac{1}{\prod_{i=1}^n (z - \xi_q^{hs_i})(z - \xi_q^{-hs_i})} \frac{1}{\left(\prod_{j=1}^{q_0} (z - \xi_q^{ht_j})(z - \xi_q^{-ht_j}) \right)^r}. \tag{5.8}$$

This peer-reviewed unedited article has been accepted for publication. The final copyedited version may differ in some details. Volume, issue, and page numbers will be assigned at a later stage. Cite using this DOI, which will not change in the final version: <https://doi.org/10.33044/revuma.4943>.

The sum is over the numbers $h = \frac{\ell q}{k}$ for $0 \leq \ell \leq k - 1$ satisfying $\gcd(\ell, k)$. Note that $\xi_q^h = \xi_q^{\frac{\ell q}{k}} = \xi_k^\ell$. Hence

$$\begin{aligned} F_L^{(k)}(z) &= \sum_{\substack{0 \leq \ell \leq k-1, \\ \gcd(\ell, k)=1}} \frac{1 - z^2}{q \prod_{i=1}^n (z - \xi_k^{\ell s_i})(z - \xi_k^{-\ell s_i})} \frac{1}{\left(\prod_{j=1}^{q_0} (z - \xi_k^{\ell t_j})(z - \xi_k^{-\ell t_j}) \right)^r} \\ &= \frac{1 - z^2}{q} \frac{1}{\Phi_k(z)^{\frac{2q_0 r}{\varphi(k)}}} \sum_{\substack{0 \leq \ell \leq k-1, \\ \gcd(\ell, k)=1}} \frac{1}{\prod_{i=1}^n (z - \xi_k^{\ell s_i})(z - \xi_k^{-\ell s_i})} \tag{5.9} \\ &= \frac{1}{\Phi_k(z)^{\frac{2q_0 r}{\varphi(k)}}} F_{L(q; s)}^{(k)}(z). \end{aligned}$$

Now, using that $F_{L(q; s)}^{(k)}(z) = F_{L(q; s')}^{(k)}(z)$ because $L(q; s)$ and $L(q; s')$ are isospectral by hypothesis, and returning over the steps in (5.8) and (5.9) for s' , we obtain that $F_L^{(k)}(z) = F_{L'}^{(k)}(z)$ as required. \square

REFERENCES

[BH20] N.S. BARI, E. HUNSICKER. *Isospectrality for orbifolds lens spaces*. *Canad. J. Math.* **72**:2 (2020), 281–325. DOI: 10.4153/S0008414X19000178.

[Be14] M. BELOPITSEV. *Hyperbolic orbifolds of small volume*. In *Proceedings of the International Congress of Mathematicians (ICM 2014)*, Seoul, Korea, August 13–21, 2014, Vol. II. Seoul: KM Kyung Moon Sa, 2014.

[BT87] R. BROOKS, R. TSE. *Isospectral surfaces of small genus*. *Nagoya Math. J.* **107** (1987), 13–24. DOI: 10.1017/S0027763000002518.

[Bu] P. BUSER. *Geometry and spectra of compact Riemann surfaces*. *Progr. Math.* **106**. Birkhäuser Boston, 1992. DOI: 10.1007/978-0-8176-4992-0

[CS] J.H. CONWAY, N.J.A. SLOANE. *Sphere packings, lattices and groups*. Third edition. *Grundlehren Math. Wiss.*, Springer-Verlag, New York, 1999. DOI: 10.1007/978-1-4757-6568-7.

[Go12] C. GORDON. *Orbifolds and their spectra*. In *Spectral Geometry*, 49–71, Proc. Sympos. Pure Math. **84**, Amer. Math. Soc., Providence, RI, 2012. DOI: 10.1090/pspum/084.

[Ik80a] A. IKEDA. *On the spectrum of a riemannian manifold of positive constant curvature*. *Osaka J. Math.* **17** (1980), 75–93. DOI: 10.18910/5171

[Ik80b] A. IKEDA. *On the spectrum of a riemannian manifold of positive constant curvature II*. *Osaka J. Math.* **17** (1980), 691–762. DOI: 10.18910/10475

[Ik80c] A. IKEDA. *On lens spaces which are isospectral but not isometric*. *Ann. Sci. École Norm. Sup. (4)* **13**:3 (1980), 303–315. DOI: 10.24033/asens.1384.

[IY79] A. IKEDA, Y. YAMAMOTO. *On the spectra of 3-dimensional lens spaces*. *Osaka J. Math.* **16**:2 (1979), 447–469. DOI: 10.18910/4811.

[La16] E.A. LAURET. *Spectra of orbifolds with cyclic fundamental groups*. *Ann. Global Anal. Geom.* **50**:1 (2016), 1–28. DOI: 10.1007/s10455-016-9498-0.

[LL24] E.A. LAURET, B. LINOWITZ. *The spectral geometry of hyperbolic and spherical manifolds: analogies and open problems*. *New York J. Math.* **30** (2024), 682–721. arXiv:2305.10950, current journal link.

[LMR21] E.A. LAURET, R.J. MIATELLO, J.P. ROSSETTI. *Recent results on the spectra of lens spaces*. *São Paulo J. Math. Sci.* **15**:1 (2021), 240–267. DOI: 10.1007/s40863-019-00154-3.

[LV15] B. LINOWITZ, J. VOIGHT. *Small isospectral and nonisometric orbifolds of dimension 2 and 3*. *Math. Z.* **281**:1–2 (2015), 523–569. DOI: 10.1007/s00209-015-1500-1.

Submitted: September 3, 2024

Accepted: February 3, 2025

Published (early view): February 5, 2025

This peer-reviewed unedited article has been accepted for publication. The final copyedited version may differ in some details. Volume, issue, and page numbers will be assigned at a later stage. Cite using this DOI, which will not change in the final version: <https://doi.org/10.33044/revuma.4943>.

- [Mi64] J. MILNOR. *Eigenvalues of the Laplace operator on certain manifolds*. Proc. Natl. Acad. Sci. USA **51**:4 (1964), 542. DOI: 10.1073/pnas.51.4.542.
- [NRR23] E. NILSSON, J. ROWLETT, F. RYDELL. *The isospectral problem for flat tori from three perspectives*. Bull. Amer. Math. Soc. (N.S.) **60** (2023), 39–83. DOI: 10.1090/bull/1770.
- [Pe95] H. PESCE. *Variétés hyperboliques et elliptiques fortement isospectrales*. J. Funct. Anal. **134**:2 (1995), 363–391. DOI: 10.1006/jfan.1995.1150.
- [RSW08] J.P. ROSSETTI, D. SCHUETH, M. WEILANDT. *Isospectral orbifolds with different maximal isotropy orders*. Ann. Global Anal. Geom. **34**:4 (2008), 351–366. DOI: 10.1007/s10455-008-9110-3.
- [Sc90] A. SCHIEMANN. *Ein Beispiel positiv definiter quadratischer Formen der Dimension 4 mit gleichen Darstellungszahlen*. Arch. Math. (Basel) **54**:4 (1990), 372–375. DOI: 10.1007/BF01189584.
- [Sc99] A. SCHIEMANN. *Ternary positive definite quadratic forms are determined by their theta series*. Math. Ann. **308**:3 (1997), 507–517. DOI: 10.1007/s002080050086.
- [Su85] T. SUNADA. *Riemannian coverings and isospectral manifolds*. Ann. of Math. (2) **121**:1 (1985), 169–186. DOI: 10.2307/1971195.
- [Vá18] J.J. VÁSQUEZ. *Isospectral nearly Kähler manifolds*. Abh. Math. Semin. Univ. Hambg. **88**:1 (2018), 23–50. DOI: 10.1007/s12188-017-0185-2.
- [Vi80] M. VIGNÉRAS. *Variétés riemanniennes isospectrales et non isométriques*. Ann. of Math. (2) **112**:1 (1980), 21–32. DOI: 10.2307/1971319.
- [Wo] J. WOLF. *Spaces of constant curvature*. 6th ed. Providence, RI: AMS Chelsea Publishing, 2011.
- [Wo01] J. WOLF. *Isospectrality for spherical space forms*. Result. Math. **40** (2001), 321–338. DOI: 10.1007/BF03322715.

(Alfredo Álzaga) DEPARTAMENTO DE MATEMÁTICA, UNIVERSIDAD NACIONAL DEL SUR (UNS), BAHÍA BLANCA, ARGENTINA

Email address: aalzaga@uns.edu.ar

(Emilio A. Lauret) INSTITUTO DE MATEMÁTICA (INMABB), DEPARTAMENTO DE MATEMÁTICA, UNIVERSIDAD NACIONAL DEL SUR (UNS)-CONICET, BAHÍA BLANCA, ARGENTINA.

Email address: emilio.lauret@uns.edu.ar

Submitted: September 3, 2024

Accepted: February 3, 2025

Published (early view): February 5, 2025