#### A REMARK ON A NONLINEAR INTEGRAL EQUATION

EVANS K. AFENYA\* AND CALIXTO P. CALDERON\*

Presentado por Rafael Panzone

**ABSTRACT.** It is shown that a unique solution to a nonlinear integral equation obtained from the heat equation with nonlinear boundary conditions, can be obtained by successive approximations in the spaces  $L^{r}(0, \infty)$ . A natural extension is then considered in the final section.

# **1. INTRODUCTION**

We consider initially, a problem involving the temperature of a semi-infinite heatconducting solid occupying the half-space  $x \ge 0$  and satisfying a nonlinear boundary condition at x = 0. By letting T(x, t) be the temperature, the following initial value problem results.

Ti(x t)	$ = T_{}(x t) $	x > 0, t > 0	(1.1)	)
$+ ((\Lambda, \iota))$	$j = xx(\Lambda, v),$		(1.1)	

$$T_{x}(0, t) = \alpha T^{n}(0, t) - f(t), \qquad t \ge 0$$
(1.2)

$$\Gamma(x, 0) = 0$$
  $x \ge 0$  (1.3)

$$T(x, t) \to 0 \text{ as } x \to \infty \qquad t \ge 0 \tag{1.4}$$

where  $\alpha$  is a given positive constant and n is a positive integer.

It could be observed that the case where n = 1 is equivalent to Newton's Law of Cooling and the case where n = 4 is equivalent to the Stefan-Boltzmann Radiation Law. This problem was considered by Keller and Olmstead [2], Mann and Wolf [4], Padmavally[5], and Roberts and Mann [6].

## 2. ESTIMATES

A solution T(x, t) of equations (1.1) to (1.4) can be obtained in the form

$$T(x, t) = c_1 \int_0^t \frac{\exp[-x/4(t-s)]}{\sqrt{(t-s)}} T^{*}(0, s) ds + c_2 \int_0^t \frac{\exp[-x/4(t-s)]}{\sqrt{(t-s)}} f(s) ds \quad (2.1)$$

where  $c_1$  and  $c_2$  are constants.

By setting x = 0 in equation (2.1), we obtain the integral equation

$$T(0, t) = c_1 \int_0^t \frac{1}{\sqrt{(t-s)}} T^n(0, s) ds + c_2 \int_0^t \frac{1}{\sqrt{(t-s)}} f(s) ds$$
(2.2)

A solution T(0, t) to equation (2.2) will yield the solution T(x, t) of equations (1.1) to (1.4). Keller and Olmstead [2] showed the existence of this solution by successive approximations. In this note, we show that a contraction mapping does exist in an appropriate  $L^{p}(0, \infty)$  space, namely, f;  $\|f\|_{p} = \left(\int_{0}^{\infty} |f(t)|^{p} dt\right)^{1/p} <\infty$  where  $1 \le p <\infty$ . This consequently implies the existence of a unique solution achievable by successive

approximations. More precisely, we state the following theorem.

## Theorem 1

Equation (2.2) has a unique solution in the space  $L^{r}(0, \infty)$ , provided that the function  $F_{2}(t)$ 

 $= c_2 \int_0^t (t-s)^{-1/2} f(s) ds \text{ satisfies the relation } \|F_2\|_r = \left(\int_0^\infty |F(s)^r ds\right)^{1/r} < \varepsilon_0 \text{ where}$ 

 $\epsilon_0 = \epsilon_0(n, c_1, c_2)$  and r = 2(n - 1). The solution is obtainable through the iterations,

$$\phi_{k+1}(t) = c_1 \int_0^t \frac{1}{\sqrt{(t-s)}} \phi_k^n(s) ds + c_2 \int_0^t \frac{1}{\sqrt{(t-s)}} f(s) ds$$

## **Proof (A Version of the Contraction Mapping):**

Let us call  $\phi(t) = T(0, t)$  and define the mapping

$$A(\phi) = c_1 \int_0^t \frac{1}{\sqrt{(t-s)}} \phi^n(s) ds + c_2 \int_0^t \frac{1}{\sqrt{(t-s)}} f(s) ds$$
 From the potential theorem

(pages 119 and 120 of [7]), we obtain  $||A(\phi)||_r \le C_1 ||\phi||_r^n + C_2 ||F||_r$  where  $\frac{1}{r} = \frac{1}{p} - \frac{1}{2}$ ,

$$p = \frac{r}{n}$$
,  $r = 2(n - 1)$ , and  $F = c_2 \int_0^t \frac{1}{\sqrt{(t - s)}} f(s) ds$  Now, consider the convex function

 $y = c_1x^n + y_0$  where  $y_0 > 0$  and n > 1. The equation  $x = c_1x^n + y_0$  possesses two positive roots if  $y_0$  is small. Call  $x_1$  the smallest positive root. If  $0 < x \le x_1$ , then we have

 $C_1 x^n + y_0 \le x_1$ . Hence  $||A(\phi)||_r \le x_1$  if  $||\phi||_r \le x_1$ . Secondly, if  $y_0 \to 0^+$  then  $x_1 \to 0^+$ . On the other hand, it can be readily seen that

$$\begin{split} \left|A(\phi_1) - A(\phi_2)\right| &\leq nc_1 \int_0^t \frac{1}{\sqrt{(t-s)}} \left(\left|\phi_1\right| + \left|\phi_2\right|\right)^{n-1} \left|\phi_1 - \phi_2\right| ds. \text{ On applying the potential} \\ \text{theorem [7] again with } \frac{1}{r} &= \frac{n-1}{r} + \frac{1}{r} - \frac{1}{2} \text{ and } r = 2(n-1), \text{ we obtain} \\ \left\|A(\phi_1) - A(\phi_2)\right\|_r &\leq nC_1 \left\|\phi_1\right| + \left|\phi_2\right\|_r^{n-1} \left\|\phi_1 - \phi_2\right\|_r \text{ and if } \left\|\phi\right\|_r &\leq x_1 \text{ then} \\ \left\|A(\phi_1) - A(\phi_2)\right\|_r &\leq 2^{n-1}nC_1x_1^{n-1} \left\|\phi_1 - \phi_2\right\|_r \text{ By selecting } 2^{n-1}nC_1x_1^{n-1} < 1, \text{ the mapping} \\ \phi \to A(\phi) \text{ becomes a contraction mapping in the ball } \left\{\phi_i \quad \left\|\phi\right\|_r &\leq x_1\right\}. \text{ Here it should be} \\ \text{noted that } x_1 \text{ is a function of } y_1 \text{ and moreover, } x_1 \to 0^{1} \text{ if } y_0 \to 0^{1}. \text{ Thus with the} \\ \text{establishment of a contraction mapping, we note that if } f \in L^q(0, \infty) \text{ and } \phi \in L^r(0, \infty) \text{ with} \\ r = 2(n-1) \text{ and } q = \frac{2(n-1)}{n}, \text{ then the solution will always be meaningful for } n > 2. \\ \text{In the particular case of Stefan's Radiation Law, where } n = 4, \text{ we obtain } r = 6 \text{ implying} \\ \text{that } \left\|A(\phi)\right\|_6 \leq C_1 \left\|\phi\right\|_6^4 + C_2 \left\|F\right\|_6. \text{ The equation } y = c_1x^n + y_0 \text{ then becomes } x = c_1x^4 + y_0 \\ \text{ and } x_1 \text{ is its smallest positive root. Then for any } \phi_1 \text{ and } \phi_2, \text{ we can obtain} \\ \left\|A(\phi_1) - A(\phi_2)\right\|_6 \leq c(2x_1)^3 \left\|\phi_1 - \phi_2\right\|_6. \text{ By choosing } c(2x_1)^3 < 1, \text{ we obtain a contraction mapping.} \end{split}$$

## **3. A NATURAL EXTENSION**

The problem in Section 1 can be stated in a more general context. Namely, to solve the integral equation;

$$u(x) = c \int \frac{1}{\rho(x-y)^{|s|-\beta}} (u(y))^{k} dy + f(x)$$
(3.1)

where  $\rho$  is the parabolic distance, that is,  $\rho$  is the unique root of the equation

$$\sum_{1}^{n} \left\{ \frac{X_{i}}{\rho^{a_{i}}} \right\}^{2} = 1 \quad a_{i} \geq 1, \ |a| = a_{1} + a_{2} + \dots + a_{n}, \text{ and } 0 < \beta < |a|. \text{ Kernels of the type shown in}$$

equation (3.1) arise in the modeling of nonisotropic diffusion. The case where k = 4, as

indicated earlier, relates to the Stefan-Boltzmann Radiation Law. Equation (3.1) can be solved by using the method of successive approximations.

Due to the appearance of a parabolic potential operator in equation (3.1) we invoke the following theorem, the proof of which can be found in [1].

## Theorem 2

Let 
$$u(x) = \int \frac{1}{\rho(x-y)^{|a|-\beta}} v(y) \, dy$$
, if  $v \in L^p(\mathbb{R}^n)$  then  $u \in L^r(\mathbb{R}^n)$  where  $\frac{1}{r} = \frac{1}{p} - \frac{\beta}{|a|}$   
 $1 > \frac{1}{p} - \frac{\beta}{|a|} > 0$ , and  $||u||_r \le c ||v||_p$  (c does not depend on v).

A consequence of this theorem is the following:

#### **Theorem 3**

Let 
$$u(x) = \int \frac{1}{\rho(x-y)^{|a|-\beta}} v^{k}(y) \, dy + f(x)$$
 (3.2)  
If  $\frac{|a|}{\beta} > \frac{k}{k-1}$ , then for  $p = (k-1)\frac{|a|}{\beta}$  we have that  $||u||_{p} \le c ||v||_{p}^{k} + ||f||_{p}$ .

The proof of this theorem is a direct consequence of the following theorem, the proof of which follows the same lines used in proving theorem 1.

# Theorem 4

Let  $f_0 = \|f\|_p$  and let  $x_1$  be the smallest positive root of the equation  $y = cx^k + f_0$  when y = x. If v;  $\|v\|_p \le x_1$  then  $\|u\|_p \le x_1$ . Furthermore,  $x_1 \to 0^+$  as  $f_0 \to 0^+$  and if  $\|f\|_p$  is small enough; then the mapping described by equation (3.2) is a contraction mapping in  $L^p(\mathbb{R}^n)$ 

for 
$$p = (k-1)\frac{|a|}{\beta}$$
.

#### REFERENCES

- C. P. Calderon and T. A. Kwembe, Dispersal models, Revista de la Union Matematica Argentina, 37: 212 - 229 (1991).
- 2. J. B. Keller and W. E. Olmstead, Temperature of a nonlinearly radiating semi-infinite solid, Quart. Appl. Math., 29(4): 559 566 (1972).
- 3. T. Kwembe, Nonlinear diffusion problems, Ph.D. Thesis, University of Illinois at

Chicago, 1989.

- 4. W. R. Mann and F. Wolf, Heat transfer between solids and gases under nonlinear boundary conditions, Quart. Appl. Math., 9(2): 163 184 (1951).
- 5. K. Padmavally, On a nonlinear integral equation, J. Math. Mech., 7: 533 555 (1958).
- 6. J. H. Roberts and W. R. Mann, A certain nonlinear integral equation of the Volterra type, Pacific J. Math., 1: 431 445 (1951).
- E. M. Stein, Singular Integrals and Differentiability Properties of Functions, Princeton Univ. Press, Princeton, 1970.

Recibido en junio de 1995.

<sup>\*</sup> Department of Mathematics, Elmhurst College, 190 Prospect Avenue, Elmhurst, IL 60126, USA (evansa@elmhurst.edu)

Department of Mathematics, Statistics, and Computer Science, University of Illinois at Chicago, 1221 Science and Engineering Offices, 851 South Morgan Street, Chicago, IL 60607, USA (u32773@uicvm.uic.edu)